**Design for Improvement, Supply and Fit - 4x Play Areas**

**Specification**

Tendring District Council are seeking designs for the improvement of the below four play areas:

1. Roydon Way, Heronsgate, Frinton-on-Sea, CO13 0AW - **Value of £9,000**
2. Burrs Road, Clacton-on-Sea, CO15 4LF – **Value of £25,000** (Including the installation of toddlers swing set and removal of play panels).
3. Foots Farm, Chingford Avenue, Clacton-on-Sea, CO15 4US – **Value of £27,000** (Including the installation of toddlers swing set and removal of play panels).
4. Halstead Road, CO13 0LW – **Value of £29,000**

**You are able to bid for 1 or more of the Play Area’s.**

**Each Play Area is being evaluated separately.**

Designs should complement the area with consideration of use of colour, type of material used, landscaping and the addition to the play value and variety of the park.

Any new equipment is to be as inclusive as possible to allow children of all abilities to play together as well as being challenging to the age of children the site is designed for.

All quotations should include **design, supply, and fit** of any new equipment including any safety surfacing requirements, planning permission requirements, removal of waste, surveying costs and any welfare facilities. A site visit is recommended especially for access requirements.

Designs can include a scale plan of the area and a breakdown of costs. A description of the equipment and why it has been included in the design is beneficial.

As these areas are open space, you are able to visit the site during the working hours.

**Evaluation Criteria**

Each design will be selected based upon the following Evaluation Criteria:

40% Quality weighting: 60% price weighting

* The originality of the design in line with our specification and how it complements the local area. Including the quality and suitability of the product for the location.

**40% Quality** (Section A: Technical questions below)

* Value for money such as how it maximises the play value and the variation of play against current equipment

**60% Price** (Section B: Pricing Matrix in separate document)

**Bidders are able to submit bids for one or more of the areas.**

**If you wish to bid for all four areas, please complete the questions below Pg. 2-5 (as well as the pricing schedule) for EACH design.**

**SECTION A – QUALITY**

**You must pass the Mandatory question to be considered for the tender.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Mandatory** | **Question** | **Evaluation Criteria** | **Weighting and Page Limit** |
|  | All equipment supplied and installed must be in accordance with EN1176 and EN1177 or equivalent. | You demonstrate the ability to comply with EN1176 and EN1177 or equivalent.  This is pass/fail | 1x A4 page maximum (plus relevant attachments). |

**Scores will be awarded on a 0-5 basis and then weighted in accordance with the table below.**

**If on any question you score below 3, this will classify as a failed score and a failed bid overall.**

**Scoring Methodology Table A:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Unacceptable Response** The response is not relevant to the question, or the question has simply not been answered. Where the question has been answered, the response raises major concerns about understanding or approach which are potentially highly detrimental to satisfactory service delivery or Contract performance. The submission failed to cover any of all areas that should have been addressed within the response |
| **1** | **Poor Response** The response suggests significant shortcomings of understanding or approach which is likely to impact on service delivery or Contract performance. The submission failed to cover a number of all areas that should have been addressed within the response |
| **2** | **Fair Response** The response suggests minor shortcomings of understanding or approach which may impact to a limited extent on service delivery or Contract performance. The submission partially covered all areas that should have been addressed within the response |
| **3** | **Satisfactory Response** The response raises no concerns about understanding or approach to service delivery or Contract performance.  The submission covered all areas that should have been addressed within the response |
| **4** | **Good Response** The response raises no concerns about understanding or approach to service delivery or Contract performance. The response also demonstrates how relevant added value will be provided.  The submission covered all areas that should have been addressed within the response to a high standard |
| **5** | **Excellent Response**  The response raises no concerns about understanding or approach to service delivery or Contract performance. The response demonstrates how relevant added value will be provided including examples of the application of good practice, demonstration of how the study could be consider other perspectives not considered by the client or explores innovative ways to address climate change and net zero targets  The submission covered all areas that should have been addressed within the response to a high standard and demonstrated a commitment to go above and beyond requirements |

**The following parameters are shown as a guide to the scoring:**

The total score for each Technical Question statement scored will not exceed 5 and will carry equal weight. There are mandatory minimum assessments set out below; failure to reach these scores in anyone may result in a failure mark and the consultant will be excluded before price evaluation takes place.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Questions 1-4 | Mandatory Minimum |
| Q1 – Technical Question 1 | Score 3 – Satisfactory Response |
| Q2 – Technical Question 2 | Score 3 – Satisfactory Response |
| Q3 – Technical Question 3 | Score 3 – Satisfactory Response |
| Q4 – Social Value | TOMS Calculator and Supporting Statement |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Technical Evaluation**  **40%** | **Question** | **Evaluation Criteria** | **Weighting and Page Limit** |
| **1.** | How will the design add value to the current play area? | Explain how the design of the play area adds value? | **10%**  Up to 1x A4 page **per Play Area Bid**  Scoring methodology: Table A (please see pg 3) |
| **2.** | Show the value for money and durability of the equipment, explaining what maintenance schedules should be applied. | Number of items included, quality of product and minimum maintenance required 20% | **10%**  Up to 1x A4 page **per Play Area Bid**  Scoring methodology: Table A (please see pg 3) |
| **3.** | Give as accurate as possible time scales for installation and how disruption to the use of the play area will be kept to a minimum. | Show how quickly the project can be completed.  Can the area be opened while work carried out, what reinstatement of ground is needed after installation? | **10%**  Up to 1x A4 page **per Play Area Bid**  Scoring methodology: Table A (please see pg 3) |
| **4.** | Social Value  (Please see the bidder’s guidance) |  | **5%** TOMs  (The TOMs will be evaluated as stated in the bidder’s guidance).  **5%** Supporting Statement  (1x A4 page **per Play Area Bid)** |

**SECTION B – PRICING SCHEDULE**

Bidders are required to complete and submit a full Pricing Matrix demonstrating their costs associated with delivering the requirement as set out in the specification.

For more information, please refer to the Bidder’s Guidance.