General Note:

During the design stages of a project, designers are required to maintain a "Hazard Elimination Checklist" (part B of this document). The 'checklist' records the various significant (high risk) hazards identified by the designer(s) and, were they have been able, details of how they have been eliminated.

It is recognised that not every hazard can be 'designed out' and therefore the checklist will also be used to record the residual risks of which the designer(s) are aware.

The checklist provides an audit trail of the design process and may also be used as evidence in the event that a designer is required to defend his or her actions in any HSE investigation.

Copies of parts A and B should be passed to all members of the project team, especially the Principal Designer. Reference must also be made to GG104 Requirements for safety risk assessment.

Part A: Designer's Hazard Checklist

Project Title:		cl Rbt at MP 63.0 NB & 1 RS – Survey works only	Kier Highways Job No.:	570112
Survey Scope of Works – Pavement Coring, Trial Holes and Asbestos Refurbishmon To undertake 48 No. Pavement cores and 15 No. Trial Holes to determine existing Layers, including PAK marker testing to confirm the likelihood of the presence of Tomaterials (TBM). Possible follow up PAH lab testing if any PAK test identifies a poindication of tar bound materials. Works to include DCP testing. The works may also include an asbestos refurbishment survey at instances where waterproofing material is encountered in order to determine presence of Asbestos Materials (ACM).				
Design Discipline:	Surveys / Feasibili	lity Stage / Pavement / Co	res	
Project Type as deter (if applicable)	mined by GG104	A	Prepared By:	

Notes:

- 1. This section of the document includes a list of potential hazards pertaining to a wide range of situations which may occur across Kier Highways' activities. Where particular categories do not ordinarily affect the scheme, Part A should be edited/sections deleted to more accurately reflect the work carried out.
- 2. An individual item or a whole section (by ticking the heading) can be noted as not applicable showing you have considered the hazard area and judged it to be not applicable.
- 3. The list of potential hazards is not exhaustive, and all sections can be added to, or additional sections added, as required. Reference to the Approved Code of Practice may be helpful.
- 4. All items considered by the designer as having a potential high risk must be addressed on the 'Hazard Elimination Management Schedule'. Low risk activities can also be included if considered appropriate.
- 5. Consideration must be given to all populations that may be affected as follows -

Population 1 – People directly employed by the Client and who work on the site e.g. Traffic Officers. Population 2 – People in a contractual relationship with the client.	'Workers'
Population 3 – Other parties, including road users, the police and emergency services and non-motorised 'Users' such as equestrians, cyclists and pedestrians, as well as those others not in a contractual relationship with the client, such as privately contracted vehicle recovery and vehicle repair providers.	'Users'
Population 4 – Third parties includes any person or persons who could be affected by the works, but who are neither using it, nor working on it, i.e. living or working adjacent to the site.	'Other Parties'



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 1 of 15	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094			
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018	Version: 1.0			
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until: April 2021					



	Potential Hazards Arising From:		t designer's e gement meası		
Ref:		Not Applicable	Low- NO Action Required	High – Action NEEDED	Comments
1.	Existing Environment				
1.1	Existing buildings	Х			
1.2	Previous/existing land/ structures	X			
1.3	Roadways		Х		
1.4	Railways		x		6 No. trial holes to be carried out on the A35 Skew Bridge (Railway Overbridge) Initial contact made with Network Rail Asset Protection. Further liaison to be carried out by the HE during procurement of Surveys.
1.5	Water course	Χ			
1.6	Ground conditions:	X			
	Contamination				
	Ground water				
	Instability	\sim			
	Mineral / mine workings				
1.7	Access restrictions		X		
1.8	Adjacent properties		Х		
1.9	Concurrent site activities	x	C	V.	No information has been supplied by HE about any proposed concurrent site activities.
1.10	Interface with the public		X	\	NMU routes along the site. Temporary diversion routes would be necessary if the NMU paths are affected by the works.
1.11	Occupied premises	X			
1.12	Structural instability	X			
1.13	Fragile materials	Χ			
1.14	Hazardous materials			x	Potential hazardous materials from coring/trial hole operations.



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 2 of 15	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094				
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018	Version: 1.0				
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until: April 2021						



	Potential Hazards Arising From:	Risk (without	designer's e gement meas		
Ref:		Not Applicable	Low- NO Action Required	High – Action NEEDED	Comments
					If PAK testing of cores/samples result in a positive test - core/sample to be stored and taken to a lab for further PAH testing to aid with design and to determine the correct method of disposal. If disposal of TBM required - reference should be made to the Environment Agency Regulatory Position Statement on 'The Use of Treated Asphalt Waste Containing Coal Tar in Construction Operations', Ref.: MWRP RPS 075 Version: 4, Issued September 2014.
1.15	Land use		хС	V.	Urban area. A number of residential and commercial properties along the scheme extents
1.16	Traffic			x	Live A36 Traffic. Traffic Management to be provided by Highways England with survey works to be carried out under temporary traffic lights where possible, or full carriageway closures including St Paul's Roundabout. Layout provided to be in accordance with TSM Chapter 8.
1.17	Others (insert as necessary)				



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 3 of 15	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094			
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018	Version: 1.0			
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until: April 2021					



	Potential Hazards Arising From:	Risk (without	t designer's e gement measi		Comments
Ref:		Not Applicable	Low- NO Action Required	High – Action NEEDED	
2.	Existing Services				
2.1	Underground				
2.12	Electrical		x		Low voltage, 11kV, 33kV and 132kV electrical services present. Refer to STATS drawings for approximate location.
2.13	Gas		x		Low and medium pressure gas pipe within site extents.
2.14	Water (Asbestos pipes?)		x		Public foul, public combined gravity and water main located within the site extents.
2.15	Telecommunications		x		BT, Zayo Group and Virgin Media present.
	Others (insert as necessary)	\rightarrow			
2.2	Overhead Services				
2.21	Electrical		X		
2.22	Telecommunications		2/	x	Overhead BT cables present within site extents. Refer to VSC plans and C2 Returns for locations.
2.23	Others (insert as necessary)	X		4,	
3.	Earthworks	X			
3.1	Deep excavations				
3.2	Slope / ground stability				
3.3	Ground water / water courses				
3.4	Plant movements				
3.5	Interface with services (refer 2)				
3.6	Contamination (ground / water) (refer 1.6)				
3.7	Adjacent structures (refer 1.8)				
3.8	Others (insert as necessary)				
4.	Foundations	X			



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 4 of 15	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094			
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018	Version: 1.0			
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until: April 2021					



	Potential Hazards Arising From:	Risk (withou manad	t designer's e gement meas		
Ref:		Not Applicable	Low- NO Action Required	High – Action NEEDED	Comments
4.1	Adjacent buildings/structures				
4.2	Deep excavations				
4.3	Plant movements				
4.4	Interface with services				
4.5	Contamination (ground / water)				
4.6	Ground water				
4.7	Confined spaces				
4.8	Piling:			•	
	Noise Vibration				
	Contamination				
	Plant				
4.9	Grouting:				
4.0	Drilling work				
	Dust				
	Pollution				
4.10	Stability of structure				
4.11	Others (insert as necessary)				
5.	Services Installation	X			
5.1	Excavations		<i>-</i> //		
5.2	Ground water				
5.3	Ground conditions				
5.4	Existing services			///.	
5.5	Testing operations				
5.6	Lifting operations				
5.7	Adjacent structures / activities				
5.8	Maintenance				
5.9	Contamination				
5.10	Others (insert as necessary)				
6.	Drainage Works	X			
6.1	Excavations				
6.2	Ground water				
6.3	Ground conditions				
6.4	Confined spaces				



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 5 of 15	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094				
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018	Version: 1.0				
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until: April 2021						



	Potential Hazards Arising From:	Risk (without	t designer's e gement meas		
Ref:		Not Applicable	Low- NO Action Required	High – Action NEEDED	Comments
6.5	Leptospirosis / Weils disease				
6.6	Existing services (asbestos pipes?)				
6.7	Manual handling				
6.8	Lifting operations				
6.9	Maintenance				
6.10	Sewage				
6.11	Traffic				
6.12	Contamination (ground / water)				
6.13	Hepatitis B / Tetanus				
6.14	Others (insert as necessary)				
	70				
7.	Highways				
7.1				X	Traffic Management to be provided by Highways England with survey works anticipated to be carried out under temporary traffic lights where possible, or full carriageway closures including St Paul's Roundabout. Layout provided to be in accordance with TSM Chapter 8.
7.2	Adjacent traffic			X	Live A36 Traffic
7.3	Construction materials		X		
7.4	Structural works Adjacent structures	X	X		Refer to Appendix D of the Pre-Construction Information for list of structures.
7.6	Noise		X		Works at night. Risk to workers and to residents of adjacent properties due to exposure to high noise levels to be considered within principal



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 6 of 15	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094		
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018	Version: 1.0		
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until: April 2021				



Dusiness Stream Form	s Stream Form	Business Strear
----------------------	---------------	-----------------

	Potential Hazards Arising From:		t designer's e gement meas		
Ref:		Not Applicable	Low- NO Action Required	High – Action NEEDED	Comments
					contractor RAMS when planning/ programming activities.
7.7	Vibration		X		
7.8	Coal TAR in the pavement		D/C	X	Potential hazardous materials from coring/trial hole operations. If PAK testing of cores result in a positive test — core/sample to be stored and taken to a lab for further PAH testing to aid with design and to determine the correct method of disposal. If disposal of TBM required - reference should be made to the Environment Agency Regulatory Position Statement on 'The Use of Treated Asphalt Waste Containing Coal Tar in Construction Operations', Ref.: MWRP RPS 075 Version: 4, Issued September 2014.
7.9	Others (insert as necessary)				
	Steelwork Construction				
8. 8.1		X			
8.1	Working at height Lifting operations				
8.3	Temporary stability				
8.4	Connections				
	Unusual sequence				
8.5	I I Inficial contence				



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 7 of 15	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094		
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018	Version: 1.0		
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until: April 2021				



	Potential Hazards Arising From:		designer's e Jement measi		
Ref:		Not Applicable	Low- NO Action Required	High – Action NEEDED	Comments
8.7	Consideration of future maintenance				
8.8	Others (insert as necessary)				
9.	Concrete Construction	x			
9.1	Working at height				
9.2	Plant restrictions				
9.3	Lifting operations				
9.4	Noise				
9.5	Vibration				
9.6	Temporary instability				
9.7	Pre/post tensioning				
9.8	Materials				
9.9	Maintenance				
9.10	Joints (scabbling should not be undertaken)				
9.11	Others (insert as necessary)				
10.	Masonry Construction	X			
10.1	Manual handling				
10.2	Lifting operations	7)			
10.3	Materials				
10.4	Temporary stability		J _A		
10.5	Working at height				
10.6	Dust				
10.7	Durability				
10.8	Catastrophic collapse				
10.9	Others (insert as necessary)			1	
11.	Timber Construction	X			
11.1	Materials				
11.2	Working at height				
11.3	Temporary stability				
11.4	Lifting operations				
	Manual handling				
11.5		Ĩ	I	i l	
11.5					
11.5 11.6 11.7	Fire Dust				



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 8 of 15	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094		
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018	Version: 1.0		
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until: April 2021				



	Potential Hazards Arising From:	Risk (without	t designer's e gement meas		
Ref:		Not Applicable	Low- NO Action Required	High – Action NEEDED	Comments
12.	Cladding	X			
12.1	Lifting operations				
12.2	Manual handling				
12.3	Maintenance / cleaning				
12.4	Others (insert as necessary)				
13.	Glazing	X			
13.1	Manual handling				
13.2	Lifting operations				
13.3	Cleaning / maintenance				
13.4	Others (insert as necessary)				
14.	Mechanical/Electrical Systems	X			
14.1	Access				
14.2	Existing services (asbestos?)) _			
14.3	Manual handling				
14.4	Materials / substances				
14.5	Confined spaces				
14.6	Pressure systems			•	
14.7	Testing operations			•	
14.8	Fixings				
14.9	Working at height				
14.10	Maintenance			1	
14.11	Others (insert as necessary)				
15.	Railway Activities	X			
15.1	Train movements				
15.2	Overhead lines				
15.3	Electrified track				
15.4	Underground services				
15.5	Adjacent structures				
15.6	Ground stability				
15.7	Contamination				
15.8	Others (insert as necessary)				



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 9 of 15	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094		
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018	Version: 1.0		
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until: April 2021				



	Potential Hazards Arising From:		t designer's e gement meas		
Ref:		Not Applicable	Low- NO Action Required	High – Action NEEDED	Comments
16.	Demolition of Existing Structures				
16.1	Services	X			
16.2	Adjacent / adjoining structures	X			
16.3	Materials:	^			
	Hazardous i.e. asbestos in permanent shuttering, waterproofing to bridge decks, joints etc.		X		Where waterproofing material encountered, an Asbestos Refurbishment Survey is to be carried out to determine presence of ACMs. Trial hole works to be completed by a suitably trained CWF Contractor.
	fragile	X			
16.4	Working at height		Χ		
16.5	Temporary stability	X		•••••	
16.6	Pre/post tensioning	X		•••••	
16.7	Noise		X		See 7.6 above
16.8	Vibration		P/ C	\\\	The Contractor shall ensure that the peak particle velocity and frequency generated from any operation during the associated works does not present risk of damage to any structure.
16.9	Dust	X			
16.10	Effect on usage of demolition materials	X			
16.11	Others (insert as necessary)	X			
17.	Future Demolition / decommissioning of new structure/installation	X			
17.1	Unusual sequence				
17.2	Pre/post tensioned element				
17.3	Materials				
17.4	Adjacent/adjoining structure				



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 10 of 15	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094		
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018	Version: 1.0		
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until: April 2021				



17.6 Con mat 17.7 Oth 18. Mai Str. 18.1 Acc 18.2 Safe 18.3 Tes	mporary stability ntamination during usage of demolition aterial. ners (insert as necessary) intenance and Operation of Facility / ructure etc.	Not Applicable	ement measi Low- NO Action Required	High – Action NEEDED	Comments
17.6 Con mat 17.7 Oth 18. Mai Str. 18.1 Acc 18.2 Safe 18.3 Tes	ntamination during usage of demolition terial. ners (insert as necessary) intenance and Operation of Facility / ructure etc.	X			
17.7 Other 18. Mai Stru 18.1 Acc 18.2 Safe 18.3 Tes	ners (insert as necessary) intenance and Operation of Facility /	X			
18. Mai Stru 18.1 Acc 18.2 Safe 18.3 Tes	intenance and Operation of Facility / ructure etc.	X			
18.1 Acc 18.2 Safe 18.3 Tes	ucture etc.	X			
18.2 Safe	cess				
18.3 Tes					
	fety equipment				
	sting / inspection				
18.4 Pro	ocedure				
	ntamination during usage of demolition terial.				
18.6 Oth	ners (insert as necessary)				
19. Use	e of the structure as a workplace				
	es the proposed use of the structure /				
	emises include the intention for it to be ade available to any person as a place of rk	4			
19.2 If ye take Wor	es; the design and materials used must e in to account the provisions of the orkplace (Health, Safety and Welfare) gulations 1992				



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 11 of 15	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094		
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018	Version: 1.0		
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until: April 2021				



Part B: Hazard Elimination Checklist

Project Title:	A36 Bemerton Incl Rbt at MP 63.0 NB & SB MP 61.6 - 63.1 RS Kier Highways Job No.: 570112					
Project Description:	Survey Scope of Works – Pavement Coring, Trial Holes and Asbestos Refurbishment Survey To undertake 48 No. Pavement cores and 15 No. Trial Holes to determine existing Construction Layers, inc the presence of Tar Bound Materials (TBM). Possible follow up PAH lab testing if any PAK test identifies a include DCP testing. The works may also include an asbestos refurbishment survey at instances where the existing waterproofin presence of Asbestos Containing Materials (ACM).	positive indication of tar bour	nd materials. Works to			
Design Discipline:	Surveys / Feasibility Stage / Pavement / Cores Prepared By:	Checked By:				

Note: If GG104 applies to your contract, the checklist must be approved by an appropriate person: For a Type A project the Scheme PD must approve, for a Type B projects the Senior Manager must approve and for a Type C project the Kier Highways Service Director must approve.

Reviewed and approved by:

Name	
Signature	
Position	

Persons at Risk: (1) Workers

(2) Users

(3) Other parties

Action by:

Principal Designer

- Include within the H&S file

Designer **Principal Contractor**

- include in the pre-construction information - manage risk during the construction phase

Other designer

- take into consideration when preparing their designs

Client

- pass information to designers / Principal designer



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 12 of 15	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094			
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018 Version: 1.0				
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until April 2021					



Ref.	Activity	Hazard	Persons at Risk *	Design Measures taken, or being taken to eliminate or reduce the hazard	Information on the Residual Risk	Principal Designer Review	Action Req'd by:
1.	Working adjacent to / within live carriageway.	Operatives / plant struck by moving vehicles	Workers	Use of appropriate traffic management to provide safe working zone and enforce lane and/or complete closure of carriageway for duration of works or work element.		No further comment.	PC
2.	Night-time working	Operatives / plant struck by moving vehicles	Workers & users	Where HE restrictions dictate night-time working the HE supervisor shall ensure adequate shielded task lighting is provided for the duration of the works and that it does not cause undue glare to residents and road users.		No further comment.	PC
3.	Traffic Management	Alignment. Risk of loss of control accidents due to temporary alignment changes leading to personal injury / fatalities to operatives and motorists.	Workers	Temporary alignment changes are necessary to install TM arrangements cannot be eliminated. The TM design will minimise the risk to road users. Speed restrictions to be in place. Advanced warning signs to be in place prior to the works. TM to be in accordance with TSM Chapter 8.		No further comment.	PC
4.	Statutory Undertakers Returns	Outdated C2 STATS Returns	Workers & users	STATS returns become outdated every 3 months. Prior to works, liaison with the relevant utility companies is again necessary to ensure a current STATS plan is produced. Contractor to set out position of STATS on site prior to commencement of works.		No further comment.	PC
5.	Hazardous materials from pavement coring operations	Presence of coal tar	Workers	Contractor to ensure that all workers wear appropriate PPE at all times during construction works and any tar arisings will be disposed of too licensed tips and in line		No further comment.	PC



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 13 of 15	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094			
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018	Version: 1.0			
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until April 2021					



Ref.	Activity	Hazard	Persons at Risk *	Design Measures taken, or being taken to eliminate or reduce the hazard	Information on the Residual Risk	Principal Designer Review	Action Req'd by:
				with the Company policy and the requirements for materials containing tar.			
6.	Hand Arm Vibration at Work (HAVS)	Hand Arm Vibration – risk of percussive injury to operatives.	Workers	Working hours for operatives using plant to be restricted as appropriate. Appropriate PPE to be provided and worn. A detailed method statement is to be produced by the Contractor prior to the works and routinely checked during works operations.		No further comment.	PC
7.	Dust	Exposure to excessive dust levels	Workers, Users & other parties	Operations that produce dust, shall control exposure not only for the workforce but also all possible groups and not create an environmental nuisance. Dust exposure shall be limited in line with the COSHH 2002 regulations. Where possible water suppression should be used to reduce dust and the extent of exposure to operatives.		No further comment.	PC
8.	Noise	Excessive noise levels from plant / site activities, damage to operative hearing, nuisance to residents	Workers	Proposed survey works are to be undertaken during night time. Residents are located within 100m of planned activities, hence all plant to be fitted with sound suppression devices. A detailed method statement is to be produced by the Contractor prior to the works and routinely checked during works operations. All plant is to be checked prior to use.		No further comment.	PC
9.	Trial Hole Activity	Damage to structure (e.g. waterproofing layer)	Other Parties	Care must be taken to not cause damage to the structure including the waterproofing layer. Any accidental damage shall be	No significant residual risk.		



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 14 of 15	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094			
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018 Version: 1.0				
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until April 2021					



Highways

Designer's Hazard Checklist and Risk Reduction Schedule

Ref.	Activity	Hazard	Persons at Risk	Design Measures taken, or being taken to eliminate or reduce the hazard	Information on the Residual Risk	Principal Designer Review	Action Req'd by:
				notified to the relevant highway authority. Repairs of any accidental damage shall be the responsibility of the Contractor and shall be agreed with Highways England.			



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 15 of 15	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094			
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018 Version: 1.0				
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until April 2021					

