Stanwick Parish Council Grounds Maintenance Tender 2023

Questionnaire evaluation methodology

From the questionnaire, each scoring question or group of questions will initially be awarded points out of 10 according to the following scale:

| Score | Criteria for awarding score |
|-------|--|
| 0 | Response is absent or unacceptable |
| 1 | Response exists but is weak and almost unacceptable, and/or is inconsistent or in conflict with other proposals |
| 2 | Response is weak and falls well below expectation in a number of identifiable respects |
| 3 | Response is poor and is below expectations, not meeting the required standard in most material respects, and/or is lacking or inconsistent in others |
| 4 | Response is below expectations but meets the required standard in some material respects |
| 5 | Response meets expectations regarding the required standard |
| 6 | Response slightly exceeds expectations regarding the required standard |
| 7 | Response is good and is well above expectations in some material respects |
| 8 | Response is very good and is well above expectations in most material respects |
| 9 | Response is outstanding and meeting the required standards in all material respects and exceeds some or all of the major requirements |
| 10 | Response is exceptional and meets the required standard in all material respects and exceeds all the major requirements, and represents significant added value |

The weighting available for a score of 10 points is shown below, and a pro-rata weighting will be applied to the score.

Criteria and weightings for Grounds Maintenance questionnaire 2023

| Criteria that may lead to rejection | Pass/Fail | | |
|--|-------------------------|--------------|----|
| Guilty of corruption etc Financially unacceptable | | | |
| No satisfactory references | | | |
| Insufficient Insurance | | | |
| Health & Safety Enforcement | | | |
| Criteria | Weighting % of total | % of section | |
| BASIC DETAILS | 0% | 100% | 0% |
| EXPERIENCE OF CONTRACTOR | 40% | | |
| Grassed areas | | 20% | 8% |

| Flowers, shrubs, hedges trees etc | | 20% | 8% |
|-----------------------------------|------|--------|--------|
| Recreation grounds | | 20% | 8% |
| Cemeteries/grave yard | | 20% | 8% |
| Graves | | 5% | 2% |
| Highway verges | | 15% | 6% |
| | | 100% | |
| CLIENT REFERENCES | 22% | | |
| Reference 1 | | 33.33% | 7.33% |
| Reference 2 | | 33.33% | 7.33% |
| Reference 3 | | 33.33% | 7.33% |
| | | 100% | |
| QUALITY ASSURANCE | 4% | | |
| Certification | 470 | 60% | 2.40% |
| Management System | | 40% | 1.60% |
| Wanagement System | | 100% | 1.0070 |
| | | 20070 | |
| HEALTH & SAFETY | 28% | | |
| Health & Safety Policy | | 30% | 8.40% |
| Compliance with HASAWA | | 7% | 1.96% |
| Staff Training & consultation | | 7% | 1.96% |
| Risk Assessments | | 12% | 3.36% |
| Advice | | 30% | 8.40% |
| Accident Reports | | 7% | 1.96% |
| Health & Safety management | | 7% | 1.96% |
| Health & Safety enforcement | | 0% | 0% |
| | | 100% | |
| EQUAL OPPORTUNITIES | 4% | 100% | 4% |
| ACCREDITIONS/MEMBERSHIP | | | |
| S | 2% | | |
| Accreditations | | 70% | 1.40% |
| Membership of professional bodies | | 30% | 0.60% |
| | | 100% | |
| Total | 100% | | 100% |