

Invitation to Quote

**Invitation to Quote (ITQ) on behalf of The Department for Business,
Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS)**

**Subject: A Study on the Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Product
Safety**

Sourcing Reference Number: CR20117

UK Shared Business Services Ltd (UK SBS)
www.uksbs.co.uk

Registered in England and Wales as a limited company. Company Number 6330639.
Registered Office Polaris House, North Star Avenue, Swindon, Wiltshire SN2 1FF
VAT registration GB618 3673 25
Copyright (c) UK Shared Business Services Ltd. 2014

Version 4.0

UKSBS
Shared Business Services

Table of Contents

Section	Content
1	<u>About UK Shared Business Services Ltd.</u>
2	<u>About the Contracting Authority</u>
3	<u>Working with the Contracting Authority.</u>
4	<u>Specification</u>
5	<u>Evaluation model</u>
6	<u>Evaluation questionnaire</u>
7	<u>General Information</u>
Appendix	N/A

Section 1 – About UK Shared Business Services

Putting the business into shared services

UK Shared Business Services Ltd (UK SBS) brings a commercial attitude to the public sector; helping our Contracting Authorities improve efficiency, generate savings and modernise.

It is our vision to become the leading service provider for the Contracting Authorities of shared business services in the UK public sector, continuously reducing cost and improving quality of business services for Government and the public sector.

Our broad range of expert services is shared by our Contracting Authorities. This allows Contracting Authorities the freedom to focus resources on core activities; innovating and transforming their own organisations.

Core services include Procurement, Finance, Grants Admissions, Human Resources, Payroll, ISS, and Property Asset Management all underpinned by our Service Delivery and Contact Centre teams.

UK SBS is a people rather than task focused business. It's what makes us different to the traditional transactional shared services centre. What is more, being a not-for-profit organisation owned by the Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy (BEIS), UK SBS' goals are aligned with the public sector and delivering best value for the UK taxpayer.

UK Shared Business Services Ltd changed its name from RCUK Shared Services Centre Ltd in March 2013.

Our Customers

Growing from a foundation of supporting the Research Councils, 2012/13 saw Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS) transition their procurement to UK SBS and Crown Commercial Services (CCS – previously Government Procurement Service) agree a Memorandum of Understanding with UK SBS to deliver two major procurement categories (construction and research) across Government.

UK SBS currently manages £700m expenditure for its Contracting Authorities. Our Contracting Authorities who have access to our services and Contracts are detailed [here](#).

Privacy Statement

At UK Shared Business Services (UK SBS) we recognise and understand that your privacy is extremely important, and we want you to know exactly what kind of information we collect about you and how we use it.

This privacy notice link below details what you can expect from UK SBS when we collect your personal information.

- We will keep your data safe and private.
- We will not sell your data to anyone.

- We will only share your data with those you give us permission to share with and only for legitimate service delivery reasons.

<https://www.uksbs.co.uk/use/pages/privacy.aspx>

Privacy Notice

This notice sets out how the Contracting Authority will use your personal data, and your rights. It is made under Articles 13 and/or 14 of the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR).

YOUR DATA

The Contracting Authority will process the following personal data:

Names and contact details of employees involved in preparing and submitting the bid;
Names and contact details of employees proposed to be involved in delivery of the contract;
Names, contact details, age, qualifications and experience of employees whose CVs are submitted as part of the bid.

Purpose

The Contracting Authority are processing your personal data for the purposes of the tender exercise, or in the event of legal challenge to such tender exercise.

Legal basis of processing

The legal basis for processing your personal data is processing is necessary for the performance of a task carried out in the public interest or in the exercise of official authority vested in the data controller, such as the exercise of a function of the Crown, a Minister of the Crown, or a government department; the exercise of a function conferred on a person by an enactment; the exercise of a function of either House of Parliament; or the administration of justice.

Recipients

Your personal data will be shared by us with other Government Departments or public authorities where necessary as part of the tender exercise. The Contracting Authority may share your data if required to do so by law, for example by court order or to prevent fraud or other crime.

Retention

All submissions in connection with this tender exercise will be retained for a period of 7 years from the date of contract expiry, unless the contract is entered into as a deed in which case it will be kept for a period of 12 years from the date of contract expiry.

YOUR RIGHTS

You have the right to request information about how your personal data are processed, and to request a copy of that personal data.

You have the right to request that any inaccuracies in your personal data are rectified without delay.

You have the right to request that any incomplete personal data are completed, including by means of a supplementary statement.

You have the right to request that your personal data are erased if there is no longer a justification for them to be processed.

You have the right in certain circumstances (for example, where accuracy is contested) to request that the processing of your personal data is restricted.

You have the right to object to the processing of your personal data where it is processed for direct marketing purposes.

You have the right to object to the processing of your personal data.

INTERNATIONAL TRANSFERS

Your personal data will not be processed outside the European Union

COMPLAINTS

If you consider that your personal data has been misused or mishandled, you may make a complaint to the Information Commissioner, who is an independent regulator. The Information Commissioner can be contacted at:

Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire
SK9 5AF
0303 123 1113
casework@ico.org.uk

Any complaint to the Information Commissioner is without prejudice to your right to seek redress through the courts.

CONTACT DETAILS

The data controller for your personal data is:

The Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy (BEIS)

You can contact the Data Protection Officer at:

BEIS Data Protection Officer, Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy, 1 Victoria Street, London SW1H 0ET. Email: dataprotection@beis.gov.uk.

Section 2 – About the Contracting Authority

Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy (BEIS)

The Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS) was created as a result of a merger between the Department of Energy and Climate Change (DECC) and the Department for Business, Innovation and Skills (BIS), as part of the Machinery of Government (MoG) changes in July 2016.

The Department is responsible for:

- developing and delivering a comprehensive industrial strategy and leading the government's relationship with business;
- ensuring that the country has secure energy supplies that are reliable, affordable and clean;
- ensuring the UK remains at the leading edge of science, research and innovation; and
- tackling climate change.

BEIS is a ministerial department, supported by 46 agencies and public bodies.

We have around 2,500 staff working for BEIS. Our partner organisations include 9 executive agencies employing around 14,500 staff.

<http://www.beis.gov.uk>

Section 3 - Working with the Contracting Authority.

In this section you will find details of your Procurement contact point and the timescales relating to this opportunity.

Section 3 – Contact details		
3.1.	Contracting Authority Name and address	The Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS) of 1 Victoria Street, Westminster, London, SW1E 5ND.
3.2.	Buyer name	Alex Thomas
3.3.	Buyer contact details	Research@uksbs.co.uk
3.4.	Maximum value of the Opportunity	£75,000.00 excluding VAT.
3.5.	Process for the submission of clarifications and Bids	All correspondence shall be submitted within the Messaging Centre of the e-sourcing. Guidance Notes to support the use of Delta eSourcing is available here. Please note submission of a Bid to any email address including the Buyer <u>will</u> result in the Bid <u>not</u> being considered.

Section 3 - Timescales		
3.6.	Date of Issue of Contract Advert on Contracts Finder	Thursday, 5th November 2020
3.7.	Latest date / time ITQ clarification questions shall be received through Delta eSourcing messaging system	Thursday, 12 th November 2020 11:00am
3.8.	Latest date / time ITQ clarification answers should be sent to all Bidders by the Buyer through Delta eSourcing Portal	Monday, 16 th November 2020
3.9.	Latest date and time ITQ Bid shall be submitted through Delta eSourcing	Friday, 20th November 2020 11:00am
3.10.	Date Bidders should be available if clarifications are required	Friday, 27th November 2020
3.11.	Anticipated notification date of successful and unsuccessful Bids	Thursday, 3 rd December 2020
3.12.	Anticipated Contract Award date	Thursday, 3 rd December 2020
3.13.	Anticipated Contract Start date	Wednesday, 9 th December 2020
3.14.	Anticipated Contract End date	Friday, 30 th April 2021
3.15.	Bid Validity Period	60 Days

Section 4 – Specification

Background

The Office for Product Safety and Standards (“the Office”) was created in January 2018 by the Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS), and takes forward the work of the previous Regulatory Delivery directorate. Responsibilities of the Office include:

- Giving detailed advice on the interpretation of safety related regulations and sits on many standard making committees.
- Responding to incidents where the safety of a consumer product is called into question.
- Offering policy advice to HMG on product safety issues.
- Enforcement of a wide range of other product standards and regulations, including WEEE, RoHS, Ivory and Conflict Mineral regulations.
- Support of businesses through an expanded “Primary Authority” scheme to act as a single point of information for a wide variety of regulations impacting business.
- The work of the former national Measurement Organisation is also within the Office, which brings a world class test and measurement capability.

This project is being recruited as part of the BEIS Office for Product Safety and Standards Strategic Research Programme (SRP), which was launched in March 2018. This programme provides high quality strategic science-based research to strengthen the evidence base for Safety and Standards policy development, delivery and enforcement, giving business the confidence to innovate and protecting consumers from unsafe products. The wide range of evidence-based research supported by the SRP helps to address critical questions relating to current product safety, and/or issues that might arise due to future market developments.

The popularity of consumer products that incorporate Artificial Intelligence (AI) is increasing, with over 10% of UK households already owning a smart speaker in 2018 (YouGov) and growth in this and other markets for AI controlled consumer products likely to grow. AI features are now commonly marketed in products ranging from toys and childcare equipment, to domestic appliances and security systems.

AI systems often use machine learning and other data analytics techniques to achieve their ‘intelligent’ capabilities. Machine learning enables systems to learn and advance based on experience without using explicit instructions. This means that products are increasingly reliant on data and algorithms, allowing this to influence ‘learned’ behaviour as their decision-making process evolves over time.

The nature of machine learning and similar AI techniques presents a number of new regulatory challenges to the current product safety and liability regime. Some examples include:

- The safety of products is currently assessed before placing on the market. This could mean that a product that is considered safe when placed on the market, could become unsafe as the decision-making process changes due to learned behaviour. It may be the case that algorithms and training data need scrutiny.

- AI systems are complex and therefore it could prove difficult to diagnose the cause of an incident and attribute responsibility when harm is caused. Requirements for transparency of data and explain ability of algorithms, as well as improvements to enforcement capability may be required.
- AI products are now making decisions. Understanding how and where these decisions are made and who is ultimately liable may prove challenging. This also presents new challenges to the consumer who may not be aware of how these products behave and what their responsibilities are.
- There is plenty of evidence of biased AI systems, particularly in applications such as facial recognition. This could impact the integrity of the product and result in undesired outcomes or less effective safety features depending on race or gender.

There are also opportunities for AI to enhance consumer safety, such as reducing human error or identifying risks the designer had not anticipated, which need to be considered.

Aims and Objectives of the Project

This project will examine the current and forecasted future impacts of AI in household products, and what this means for product safety. This is a scoping study, so the aim will be to give OPSS an understanding of the product safety related issues with AI. It will help to inform any further research required on this topic.

The project will have two main objectives:

- To consider the current and likely future applications of AI in the home, the advantages and disadvantages that this will bring to the consumer, and what this might mean for product safety.
- To assess whether the current product safety framework is sufficient for a new generation of products incorporating AI and inform what Regulators should consider when responding to these new challenges to ensure that consumers are kept safe while enabling businesses to innovate.

In particular, the following questions should be addressed:

- What does the current and forecasted future market of AI driven consumer products look like?
- What are the key differences between AI and non-AI products and what new, inherent product safety risks/opportunities does this present to consumers, businesses and regulators?
- What characteristics of AI should be considered when regulating consumer products and how do these challenge existing product safety requirements?

Suggested Methodology

The contractor will undertake the following activities:

1. Attend a formal Kick Off meeting at BEIS London office. This will include a check that the contractor understands the context and objectives of the work, the project working arrangements, and resolution of any queries regarding the detailed programme of work. Write and submit a short Inception report to confirm the agreed final programme of work, a list of suggested stakeholders and project timeline.

2. Undertake preliminary desk research to identify key stakeholders to interview and inform topics for discussion. A topic guide along with a list of identified stakeholders, why they were chosen and methodology for conducting the interviews should be provided to the OPSS Project Management Officer (PMO) for sign off.
3. Carry out Desk analysis and 1:1 phone consultations with key stakeholders and experts in the field of AI and product safety to produce an interim report containing the following:
 - a. A market analysis of the possible applications of AI in consumer products, to include products already on the market and those that could emerge by 2030. This should include an analysis of the different product sectors, an overview of the types of AI that are being incorporated, how it works, how it is being implemented and insights into the development process. There should be a focus on high risk sectors such as toys and electrical appliances/equipment where possible, though it is also important to consider new sectors that may emerge.
 - b. A survey of relevant literature on AI followed by a structured assessment and analysis of the documents identified, both from a product safety perspective and in the context of the applications identified in 3a. This should include a review of relevant standards, initiatives, best practice and requirements in the UK and rest of the world.

Stakeholder interviews will be used to supplement the findings in 3a and 3b. Indicatively, this will include 15-25 interviews from a range of sectors such as manufacturers, developers, industry/government bodies, product safety practitioners, standards bodies and academics. These interviews will be used to gather perspectives on potential safety risks and opportunities, capture suggestions for best practice and provide market insights. Interviews should be structured and analysed systematically.

4. Using the interim report produced in (3), agree the topics of most interest (indicatively 5-8) with the OPSS PMO. Depending on the findings from earlier research, topics may include either particular AI applications or themes.
5. In a draft report, describe in more detail each of the agreed topics, focussing on the characteristics that differ from non-AI products, identifying themes where possible, and considering the product safety benefits and risks to consumers, businesses and regulators. This should include a review of relevant product safety requirements to identify which new characteristics of AI products should be considered by regulators, where the regulatory gaps are and how other regulators in the UK and abroad have responded to the changing market.
6. Produce a framework to assess product safety considerations for AI and incorporate into the draft report. This should highlight the main considerations from (5) and provide guidance on what to consider when assessing these from a regulatory perspective.
7. Finalise the draft report with an assessment of any evidence gaps that should be explored further.
8. Hold a Stakeholder meeting to verify assumptions and gain additional insights to incorporate into the study.

9. Update the draft report, to incorporate the outcomes of the stakeholder meeting and OPSS comments. Submit all of these documents as a Final version to the satisfaction of the OPSS PMO.
10. Create and deliver a presentation to disseminate the findings of the project to BEIS staff at the London office or virtually.

Case study examples based on actual or hypothetical examples should be used where useful to illustrate points made.

NB The scope of this work does not include risks associated with cyber security, other than those that directly impact consumer safety.

OPSS will supply to the successful bidder a list of core stakeholders that it is suggested are consulted as part of this work.

If wished, the Office can send a note of introduction to all stakeholders to explain the nature of the project and the contractor's role in it.

Workshop: under normal circumstances the Office can host at no charge a workshop at its 1 Victoria St, London office, and can send out invitations on behalf of the contractor. Depending on the guidance at the time this may need to be held virtually. Otherwise, to ensure a good choice of dates and rooms, it is suggested that the room is reserved at least 8 weeks in advance.

Project reporting: Generally, a bi-monthly phone call, however this can be discussed at the Kick Off meeting.

Deliverables

1. Project kick off meeting and a short Inception report. (Week 1)
 2. Topic guide and methodology for interviews. (Week 3)
 3. Interim report containing findings from desk analysis and stakeholder interviews (indicatively 20-30 pages long). This should propose the AI topics to be researched in the main work. Formal meeting with OPSS to agree which topics to research further. (Week 10)
 4. Draft report (indicatively 20-30 pages long) containing in depth research and discussion on the agreed topics and summary slides for the stakeholder meeting. (Week 16)
 5. Stakeholder meeting to discuss project findings. (Week 18)
 6. Final agreed report, incorporating stakeholder and OPSS comments. (Week 20)
- Presentation to BEIS staff. (Week 21)

Terms and Conditions

Bidders are to note that any requested modifications to the Contracting Authority Terms and Conditions on the grounds of statutory and legal matters only, shall be raised as a formal clarification during the permitted clarification period.

Section 5 – Evaluation model

The evaluation model below shall be used for this ITQ, which will be determined to two decimal places.

Where a question is 'for information only' it will not be scored.

The evaluation team may comprise staff from UK SBS and the Contracting Authority and any specific external stakeholders the Contracting Authority deems required.

The evaluation and if required team may comprise staff from UK SBS and the Contracting Authority and any specific external stakeholders the Contracting Authority deems required. After evaluation and if required moderation scores will be finalised by performing a calculation to identify (at question level) the mean average of all evaluators (Example – a question is scored by three evaluators and judged as scoring 5, 5 and 6. These scores will be added together and divided by the number of evaluators to produce the final score of 5.33 (5+5+6 =16÷3 = 5.33)

Pass / Fail criteria		
Questionnaire	Q No.	Question subject
Commercial	SEL1.2	Employment breaches/ Equality
Commercial	SEL1.3	Compliance to Section 54 of the Modern Slavery Act
Commercial	SEL2.12	General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR) Act and the Data Protection Act 2018
Commercial	FOI1.1	Freedom of Information
Commercial	AW1.1	Form of Bid
Commercial	AW1.3	Certificate of Bona Fide Bid
Commercial	AW3.1	Validation check
Commercial	AW4.1	Compliance to the Contract Terms
Commercial	AW4.2	Changes to the Contract Terms
Price	AW5.1	Maximum Price
Quality	AW6.1	Compliance to the Specification
Quality	AW6.2	Variable Bids
-	-	Invitation to Quote – received on time within e-sourcing tool
	In the event of a Bidder failing to meet the requirements of a Mandatory pass / fail criteria, the Contracting Authority reserves the right to disqualify the Bidder and not consider evaluation of any of the Award stage scoring methodology or Mandatory pass / fail criteria.	

Scoring criteria

Evaluation Justification Statement

In consideration of this particular requirement the Contracting Authority has decided to evaluate Potential Providers by adopting the weightings/scoring mechanism detailed within this ITQ. The Contracting Authority considers these weightings to be in line with existing best practice for a requirement of this type.

Questionnaire	Q No.	Question subject	Maximum Marks
Price	AW5.2	Price	25%
Quality	PROJ1.1	Approach	20%
Quality	PROJ1.2	Staff to Deliver	20%
Quality	PROJ1.3	Understanding the Environment	15%
Quality	PROJ1.4	Project Plan and Timescales	20%

Evaluation of criteria

Non-Price elements

Each question will be judged on a score from 0 to 100, which shall be subjected to a multiplier to reflect the percentage of the evaluation criteria allocated to that question.

Where an evaluation criterion is worth 20% then the 0-100 score achieved will be multiplied by 20%.

Example if a Bidder scores 60 from the available 100 points this will equate to 12% by using the following calculation:

$$\text{Score} = \{\text{weighting percentage}\} \times \{\text{bidder's score}\} = 20\% \times 60 = 12$$

The same logic will be applied to groups of questions which equate to a single evaluation criterion.

The 0-100 score shall be based on (unless otherwise stated within the question):

0	The Question is not answered, or the response is completely unacceptable.
10	Extremely poor response – they have completely missed the point of the question.
20	Very poor response and not wholly acceptable. Requires major revision to the response to make it acceptable. Only partially answers the requirement, with major deficiencies and little relevant detail proposed.
40	Poor response only partially satisfying the selection question requirements with deficiencies apparent. Some useful evidence provided but response falls well short of expectations. Low probability of being a capable supplier.
60	Response is acceptable but remains basic and could have been expanded upon. Response is sufficient but does not inspire.
80	Good response which describes their capabilities in detail which provides high levels of assurance consistent with a quality provider. The response includes a full description of techniques and measurements currently employed.

100	Response is exceptional and clearly demonstrates they are capable of meeting the requirement. No significant weaknesses noted. The response is compelling in its description of techniques and measurements currently employed, providing full assurance consistent with a quality provider.
-----	--

All questions will be scored based on the above mechanism. Please be aware that there may be multiple evaluators. If so, their individual scores will be averaged (mean) to determine your final score as follows:

Example

Evaluator 1 scored your bid as 60
 Evaluator 2 scored your bid as 60
 Evaluator 3 scored your bid as 40
 Evaluator 4 scored your bid as 40
 Your final score will $(60+60+40+40) \div 4 = 50$

Price elements will be judged on the following criteria.

The lowest price for a response which meets the pass criteria shall score 100. All other bids shall be scored on a pro rata basis in relation to the lowest price. The score is then subject to a multiplier to reflect the percentage value of the price criterion.

For example - Bid 1 £100,000 scores 100.
 Bid 2 £120,000 differential of £20,000 or 20% remove 20% from price scores 80
 Bid 3 £150,000 differential £50,000 remove 50% from price scores 50.
 Bid 4 £175,000 differential £75,000 remove 75% from price scores 25.
 Bid 5 £200,000 differential £100,000 remove 100% from price scores 0.
 Bid 6 £300,000 differential £200,000 remove 100% from price scores 0.
 Where the scoring criterion is worth 50% then the 0-100 score achieved will be multiplied by 50.

In the example if a supplier scores 80 from the available 100 points this will equate to 40% by using the following calculation: $\text{Score/Total Points multiplied by 50}$ ($80/100 \times 50 = 40$)

The lowest score possible is 0 even if the price submitted is more than 100% greater than the lowest price.

Section 6 – Evaluation questionnaire

Bidders should note that the evaluation questionnaire is located within the **e-sourcing questionnaire**.

Guidance on completion of the questionnaire is available at
<http://www.uksbs.co.uk/services/procure/Pages/supplier.aspx>

PLEASE NOTE THE QUESTIONS ARE NOT NUMBERED SEQUENTIALLY

Section 7 – General Information

What makes a good bid – some simple do's 😊

DO:

- 7.1 Do comply with Procurement document instructions. Failure to do so may lead to disqualification.
- 7.2 Do provide the Bid on time, and in the required format. Remember that the date/time given for a response is the last date that it can be accepted; we are legally bound to disqualify late submissions. Responses received after the date indicated in the ITQ shall not be considered by the Contracting Authority, unless the Bidder can justify that the reason for the delay, is solely attributable to the Contracting Authority
- 7.3 Do ensure you have read all the training materials to utilise e-sourcing tool prior to responding to this Bid. If you send your Bid by email or post it will be rejected.
- 7.4 Do use Microsoft Word, PowerPoint Excel 97-03 or compatible formats, or PDF unless agreed in writing by the Buyer. If you use another file format without our written permission, we may reject your Bid.
- 7.5 Do ensure you utilise the Delta eSourcing messaging system to raise any clarifications to our ITQ. You should note that we will release the answer to the question to all Bidders and where we suspect the question contains confidential information, we may modify the content of the question to protect the anonymity of the Bidder or their proposed solution
- 7.6 Do answer the question, it is not enough simply to cross-reference to a 'policy', web page or another part of your Bid, the evaluation team have limited time to assess bids and if they can't find the answer, they can't score it.
- 7.7 Do consider who the Contracting Authority is and what they want – a generic answer does not necessarily meet every Contracting Authority's needs.
- 7.8 Do reference your documents correctly, specifically where supporting documentation is requested e.g. referencing the question/s they apply to.
- 7.9 Do provide clear, concise and ideally generic contact details; telephone numbers, e-mails and fax details.
- 7.10 Do complete all questions in the questionnaire or we may reject your Bid.
- 7.11 Do ensure that the Response and any documents accompanying it are in the English Language, the Contracting Authority reserve the right to disqualify any full or part responses that are not in English.
- 7.12 Do check and recheck your Bid before dispatch.

What makes a good bid – some simple do not's

DO NOT

- 7.13 Do not cut and paste from a previous document and forget to change the previous details such as the previous buyer's name.
- 7.14 Do not attach 'glossy' brochures that have not been requested, they will not be read unless we have asked for them. Only send what has been requested and only send supplementary information if we have offered the opportunity so to do.
- 7.15 Do not share the Procurement documents, they are confidential and should not be shared with anyone without the Buyers written permission.
- 7.16 Do not seek to influence the procurement process by requesting meetings or contacting UK SBS or the Contracting Authority to discuss your Bid. If your Bid requires clarification the Buyer will contact you. All information secured outside of formal Buyer communications shall have no Legal standing or worth and should not be relied upon.
- 7.17 Do not contact any UK SBS staff or the Contracting Authority staff without the Buyers written permission or we may reject your Bid.
- 7.18 Do not collude to fix or adjust the price or withdraw your Bid with another Party as we will reject your Bid.
- 7.19 Do not offer UK SBS or the Contracting Authority staff any inducement or we will reject your Bid.
- 7.20 Do not seek changes to the Bid after responses have been submitted and the deadline for Bids to be submitted has passed.
- 7.21 Do not cross reference answers to external websites or other parts of your Bid, the cross references and website links will not be considered.
- 7.22 Do not exceed word counts, the additional words will not be considered.
- 7.23 Do not make your Bid conditional on acceptance of your own Terms of Contract, as your Bid will be rejected.
- 7.24 Do not unless explicitly requested by the Contracting Authority either in the procurement documents or via a formal clarification from the Contracting Authority send your response by any way other than via e-sourcing tool. Responses received by any other method than requested will not be considered for the opportunity.

Some additional guidance notes

- 7.25 All enquiries with respect to access to the e-sourcing tool and problems with functionality within the tool must be submitted to Delta eSourcing, Telephone 0845 270 7050
- 7.26 Bidders will be specifically advised where attachments are permissible to support a question response within the e-sourcing tool. Where they are not permissible any attachments submitted will not be considered as part of the evaluation process.
- 7.27 Question numbering is not sequential and all questions which require submission are included in the Section 6 Evaluation Questionnaire.
- 7.28 Any Contract offered may not guarantee any volume of work or any exclusivity of supply.
- 7.29 We do not guarantee to award any Contract as a result of this procurement
- 7.30 All documents issued or received in relation to this procurement shall be the property of the Contracting Authority / UKSBS.
- 7.31 We can amend any part of the procurement documents at any time prior to the latest date / time Bids shall be submitted through the Delta eSourcing Portal.
- 7.32 If you are a Consortium you must provide details of the Consortiums structure.
- 7.33 Bidders will be expected to comply with the Freedom of Information Act 2000, or your Bid will be rejected.
- 7.34 Bidders should note the Government's transparency agenda requires your Bid and any Contract entered into to be published on a designated, publicly searchable web site. By submitting a response to this ITQ Bidders are agreeing that their Bid and Contract may be made public
- 7.35 Your bid will be valid for 60 days or your Bid will be rejected.
- 7.36 Bidders may only amend the contract terms during the clarification period only, only if you can demonstrate there is a legal or statutory reason why you cannot accept them. If you request changes to the Contract terms without such grounds and the Contracting Authority fail to accept your legal or statutory reason is reasonably justified, we may reject your Bid.
- 7.37 We will let you know the outcome of your Bid evaluation and where requested will provide a written debrief of the relative strengths and weaknesses of your Bid.
- 7.38 If you fail mandatory pass / fail criteria we will reject your Bid.
- 7.39 Bidders are required to use IE8, IE9, Chrome or Firefox in order to access the functionality of the Delta eSourcing Portal.
- 7.40 Bidders should note that if they are successful with their proposal the Contracting Authority reserves the right to ask additional compliancy checks prior to the award of

any Contract. In the event of a Bidder failing to meet one of the compliancy checks the Contracting Authority may decline to proceed with the award of the Contract to the successful Bidder.

- 7.41 All timescales are set using a 24-hour clock and are based on British Summer Time or Greenwich Mean Time, depending on which applies at the point when Date and Time Bids shall be submitted through the Delta eSourcing Portal.
- 7.42 All Central Government Departments and their Executive Agencies and Non-Departmental Public Bodies are subject to control and reporting within Government. In particular, they report to the Cabinet Office and HM Treasury for all expenditure. Further, the Cabinet Office has a cross-Government role delivering overall Government policy on public procurement - including ensuring value for money and related aspects of good procurement practice.

For these purposes, the Contracting Authority may disclose within Government any of the Bidders documentation/information (including any that the Bidder considers to be confidential and/or commercially sensitive such as specific bid information) submitted by the Bidder to the Contracting Authority during this Procurement. The information will not be disclosed outside Government. Bidders taking part in this ITQ consent to these terms as part of the competition process.

- 7.43 The Government introduced its new Government Security Classifications (GSC) classification scheme on the 2nd April 2014 to replace the current Government Protective Marking System (GPMS). A key aspect of this is the reduction in the number of security classifications used. All Bidders are encouraged to make themselves aware of the changes and identify any potential impacts in their Bid, as the protective marking and applicable protection of any material passed to, or generated by, you during the procurement process or pursuant to any Contract awarded to you as a result of this tender process will be subject to the new GSC. The link below to the Gov.uk website provides information on the new GSC:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/government-security-classifications>

The Contracting Authority reserves the right to amend any security related term or condition of the draft contract accompanying this ITQ to reflect any changes introduced by the GSC. In particular where this ITQ is accompanied by any instructions on safeguarding classified information (e.g. a Security Aspects Letter) as a result of any changes stemming from the new GSC, whether in respect of the applicable protective marking scheme, specific protective markings given, the aspects to which any protective marking applies or otherwise. This may relate to the instructions on safeguarding classified information (e.g. a Security Aspects Letter) as they apply to the procurement as they apply to the procurement process and/or any contracts awarded to you as a result of the procurement process.

USEFUL INFORMATION LINKS

- [Contracts Finder](#)
- [Equalities Act introduction](#)
- [Bribery Act introduction](#)
- [Freedom of information Act](#)