

Tender Clarifications

Eckington Community Fields Phase 1

Land East of Pershore Rd, Eckington

Eckington Parish Council



Clarification No.	Clarification	Date Raised	Responsibility	Response	Date Responded
1	Confirm if we are to base our offer on the quantities stated in the various items of the tender analysis sheet because some of them do not appear to be correct. For example, the sub base and self setting gravel items.	12/12/2022	Tandem	Please use the revised tender analysis sheet attached. It is the responsibility of the contractor to establish all measurements, areas and quantities and price accordingly.	14/12/2022
2	Confirm if we are to include for shaping the proposed viewing mound to the contours as shown on the scheme drawings.	12/12/2022	ONE	There is no requirement for shaping the mound in this phase of works. Please refer to the tender pack drawings.	14/12/2022
3	Confirm if we are to include for the geotextile membrane (see Q20/170) to be laid as part of the new footpaths because there is no specific mention of it in the tender analysis sheet but there is in the Specification.	12/12/2022	ONE	Yes, the geotextile is required. Please include in the cost for the footpath construction	14/12/2022
4	The quantities stated in the tender analysis sheet appear to be significantly different to those stated in the Landscape Specification. For example, the Specification refers to the use of stockpiled type 6F2 capping layer and type 1 sub base materials which are to be laid at 175mm and 50mm thickness respectively (see Q20/110). Whereas the tender analysis states 10mm of sub base only. Which is correct and can we assume that these materials (270m3 capping layer and 90m3 sub base) can be used free of charge.	12/12/2022	ONE	The build up shown in the detailed drawing, and in the spec is correct - 175mm capping layer and 50mm sub-base. Please disregard quantities in the tender analysis. However, the quantities of capping layer and sub-base left on site by the developer is an estimate and it is the bidding contractor's responsibility to confirm the quantities available on site. Materials left on site can be used free of charge.	14/12/2022
5	A query in regards to a drawing not on the system. A number of documents reference drawing P1144-ONE-ZZ-XX-DR-L-2003.	16/12/2022	Tandem	See clarification 7 below.	19/12/2022
6	Within the Phase One Landscape Management Plan there is mention of 2, 3 and even 10 year maintenance. From your clarification can we assume that these maintenance schedules are not included in this tender?	19/12/2022	ONE	The contractors should supply a cost for the maintenance of all items in the landscape management plan for the first year only. All works for year two onwards will be the responsibility of the Client.	19/12/2022

7	Please find the list of design docs recently updated on Contracts Finder	19/12/2022	Tandem	P1144-ONE-ZZ-XX-DR-L-2000 (P03) PHASE ONE MASTERPLAN P1144-ONE-ZZ-XX-DR-L-2002 (P03) PHASE ONE DETAILED PLANTING PLAN P1144-ONE-ZZ-XX-DR-L-2003 (P02) PHASE ONE DETAILED DRAWINGS P1144-ONE-ZZ-XX-DR-L-2004 (P01) PHASE ONE DETAILED DRAWINGS P1144-ONE-ZZ-XX-SP-L-0001 P03 - Landscape Specification Tender Summary Analysis (Rev 2)	19/12/2022
8	Which specification applies to item D on Contract Sum Analysis and confirm overall measurement of the relevant desired fence type - please also confirm overall height of fence with the 2 jump wires.	20/12/2022	Tandem ONE	See updated drawing P1144-ONE-ZZ-XX-DR-L-2004 (P01) PHASE ONE DETAILED DRAWINGS issued 19/12/2022. All fence dimensions to be verified by contractor. Fence heights are shown in drawing NOTE: Contractors should refer to drawing P1144-ONE-ZZ-XX-DR-L-2004 (P02) PHASE ONE DETAILED DRAWINGS as it shows details of the Bee Posts	21/12/2022
9	In the L/S Specification 150 it states High tensile wire not Galvanised mild steel – which is correct?	20/12/2022	ONE	Galvanised mild steel is correct.	21/12/2022
10	Both the drawing P1144-ONE-ZZ-XX-DR-L-2002 P02 and the table on page 11 in the Landscape Management Plan have 6-8cm girth trees listed. These are not included in the Tender Summary Analysis, which only asks for guarding for 6-8cm girth trees. Are the 6-8cm girth trees to be supplied and planted by the Client with only the guards supplied and installed by the contractor, or should the Contractor include the supply and installation of the 6-8cm girth trees in addition to item F?	20/12/2022	Tandem	Please see revised Tender Summary Analysis issued 19/12/2022.	21/12/2022
11	Please advise the specification for the tree guards beyond plastic free – height, style etc	20/12/2022	ONE	Clause Q50/260 in our specification details supplier, dimensions and product reference	21/12/2022
12	The elements stating Wildlife Habitats eg bat boxes etc are listed in schedule but no definition of type or numbers given – are they required?	20/12/2022	Tandem	Please see revised Tender Summary Analysis and Landscape Specification issued 19/12/2022.	21/12/2022
13	There are 2 different area measurements for Wildflower Meadow and three measurements for Grass Land Improvements? Please advise of correct measure to use	20/12/2022	ONE	Our Landscape Masterplan drawing P1144-ONE-ZZ-XX-DR-L-2000 (P03) PHASE ONE MASTERPLAN shows the correct measurements - 18445m2 - Grassland Improvements and 8783m2 Wildflower meadow. The figures in the management plan have been superseded	21/12/2022
14	Are we to use 75mm depth bark mulch for all hedges/ individual trees and large trees as different stated within the Specification	20/12/2022	ONE	50-75mm is OK	21/12/2022
15	Please may we have access to the drawing P1144-ONE-ZZ-DR-L-2003 PHASE ONE DETAILED on the masterplan to ensure we meet the specifications.	20/12/2022	Tandem	P1144-ONE-ZZ-DR-L-2003 PHASE ONE DETAILED is now available to be downloaded from Contracts Finder.	20/12/2022

16	The specification nominates certain manufacturers and suppliers for plants, trees, planting sundries, surfacing etc. There are other more local reputable manufacturers and suppliers that we use that can provide the same or similar product for also less cost. May we use these alternatives? (i.e. Have 'or similar approved' added to the relevant clauses in the specification.)	20/12/2022	ONE	Our specification states: "All plant stock to be sourced from the nominated suppliers set out below or approved by the Project Landscape Architect." If using different suppliers please confirm supplier with Landscape Architect	21/12/2022
17	With relation to the footpath construction – can you please provide a section drawing detail showing depths and material requirements – the specification appears to be conflicting. From your previous clarification sheet states about detail drawing – which we have not received, Do we need to gain permission and visit the contractors site to confirm quantities and also do they have the relevant certificates to validate it is what they claim.	20/12/2022	ONE	Our drawing P1144-ONE-ZZ-XX-DR-L-2003 (P02) PHASE ONE DETAILED DRAWINGS - Detail 2003/01 shows a section through the path. Apologies, specification needed to be updated, the drawing supercedes the specification in this case	21/12/2022
18	Please advise style and material for the double gates shown on the master plan	20/12/2022	ONE	Jackson Wooden Entrance gates. Will send detailed spec by COP	21/12/2022
19	The Contract Sum Analysis Rev 2 Ref A – Self Binding Gravel Paths. Please explain the sets of measurements and item description - listed below: i)The first measurement in RefA suggests the path is 1070m long but in the latter measurements the subbase and self-binding gravel is only to be laid for 70m? Please confirm what the length and area of the path throughout. ii)The excavation depth given (0.15m) is less than that required if detail drawing 2003 P02 is to be followed (approx. 0.25m).	03/01/2023	Tandem	Yes, the path is 70 m long and the subbase and self-binding gravel is only to be laid for 70 m. Yes, the excavation depth to be followed is approx 0.25m as stated in detail drawing 2003 P02.	03/01/2023
20	Grass Seeding - The Contract Sum Analysis Rev 2. xlsx states Emorsgate EG27 and EM4 at 4g/m2, yet the provided specification Q31 310 is EL1F and Q31 312 is EM4F, both with a seeding rate of 1.5g/m2. The Masterplan refers to the specification. Which should be used for pricing?	03/01/2023	ONE	The specification is correct - Q31/310 and Q31/312 with EL1F being used for Grassland Improvement Areas, and EM4F being used for Wild Flower areas. Application rates are 1.5g per m ² Contractor to confirm sowing rates with supplier	05/01/2023
21	With the absence now of the viewing mound - where is the spoil to be transported/stored for re-use?	03/01/2023	ONE	The is no mound in Phase 1. Any spoil generated during footpaths settlement is to be redistributed and spread across the site.	05/01/2023
22	The cost implications of supplying the nominated litter bins; they are manufactured and transported from the USA. The total cost for supplying the 5 Wellspring litter bins would be approximately £11,000. Having spoken with the manufacturer, we have been advised of a less expensive alternative or there are alternatives from other suppliers. Please may we have confirmation on how you would like us to proceed with pricing this item?	04/01/2023	ONE	See clarification 28 below.	05/01/2023
23	If there is no 6F2 or Type 1 stockpiled on site following the completion of the Developer's works, are we to provide a quote for new 6F2 or similar?	04/01/2023	Tandem	There is likely to be an available stockpile created following completion of the adjacent developers works. This cannot yet be quantified or the quality of material verified. Any re-use of material will be negotiated with the selected contractor prior to contract award. Therefore to be clear the submitted tender should make NO allowance for material re-use.	05/01/2023

24	There is a Contractors carpark and Developer's compound currently in place. Will this remain in situ for use during the Community Fields works?	04/01/2023	Tandem	The adjacent developers compound upon closure, will be cleared and the surface material scrapped up into a mound for re-use in this project. However see answer to query 23.	05/01/2023
25	May the Type 1 used in these areas be ultimately recycled for the pathway construction?	04/01/2023	Tandem	See response to query 23.	04/01/2023
26	In addition to query 19.	05/01/2023	Tandem	With relation to the footpath construction, we've re-measured the paths and the correct quantities are 850m long and 1.8 wide providing an area of 1526 m2. The excavation depth to be followed is a minimum of approx 0.25m as stated in detail drawing 2003 P02.	05/01/2023
27	In addition to query 8.	05/01/2023	Tandem	There is only one version of P1144-ONE-ZZ-XX-DR-L-2004 which is P01. P02 does not exist and it has been brought up by mistake.	06/01/2023
28	For updated bin spec please check the most recent Landscape Specification document and revised Tender Summary Analysis.	09/01/2023	ONE	P1144-ONE-ZZ-XX-SP-L-0001 P04 - Landscape Specification Tender Summary Analysis (Rev 4)	09/01/2023
NO MORE CLARIFICATIONS FROM THIS POINT.					