



# Invitation to Quote

**Invitation to Quote (ITQ) on behalf of The Medical Research Council**

**Subject UK SBS: Survey & Electrical Engineering**

**Sourcing reference number: FM150037**

**UK Shared Business Services Ltd (UK SBS)**  
[www.uksbs.co.uk](http://www.uksbs.co.uk)

Registered in England and Wales as a limited company. Company Number 6330639.  
Registered Office North Star House, North Star Avenue, Swindon, Wiltshire SN2 1FF  
VAT registration GB618 3673 25  
Copyright (c) UK Shared Business Services Ltd. 2014

**UKSBS**  
  
*Shared Business Services*

## Table of Contents

Section	Content
1	<a href="#"><u>About UK Shared Business Services Ltd.</u></a>
2	<a href="#"><u>About our Customer</u></a>
3	<a href="#"><u>Working with UK Shared Business Services Ltd.</u></a>
4	<a href="#"><u>Specification</u></a>
5	<a href="#"><u>Evaluation model</u></a>
6	<a href="#"><u>Evaluation questionnaire</u></a>
7	<a href="#"><u>General Information</u></a>

# Section 1 – About UK Shared Business Services

## Putting the business into shared services

UK Shared Business Services Ltd (UK SBS) brings a commercial attitude to the public sector; helping our customers improve efficiency, generate savings and modernise.

It is our vision to become the leading provider for our customers of shared business services in the UK public sector, continuously reducing cost and improving quality of business services for Government and the public sector.

Our broad range of expert services is shared by our customers. This allows our customers the freedom to focus resources on core activities; innovating and transforming their own organisations.

Core services include Procurement, Finance, Grants Admissions, Human Resources, Payroll, ISS, and Property Asset Management all underpinned by our Service Delivery and Contact Centre teams.

UK SBS is a people rather than task focused business. It's what makes us different to the traditional transactional shared services centre. What is more, being a not-for-profit organisation owned by its customers, UK SBS' goals are aligned with the public sector and delivering best value for the UK taxpayer.

UK Shared Business Services Ltd changed its name from RCUK Shared Services Centre Ltd in March 2013.

## Our Customers

Growing from a foundation of supporting the Research Councils, 2012/13 saw Business Innovation and Skills (BIS) transition their procurement to UK SBS and Crown Commercial Service (CCS – previously Government Procurement Service) agree a Memorandum of Understanding with UK SBS to deliver two major procurement categories (construction and research) across Government.

UK SBS currently manages £700m expenditure for its Customers, our growth projections anticipate this will rise to £1bn in 2013/14.

Our Customers who have access to our services and Contracts are detailed [here](#).

## Our achievements

In 2012/13 the Company grew in turnover from £44.7m to £52.4m, within that growth we:

- Reduced the Research Councils' 'back office' expenditure from £32m to £31.3m
- Saved £33m for the Research Councils in verified procurement savings, being greater than the entire cost of the services we provided to them
- Grew our customers from 7 to 22 (this will likely grow by a further 10 in 2013/14)
- Grew our customer base from 11,000 to 18,000 and will likely expand to 23,000+ in 2013/14

- Achieved an annual spend with SMEs that stands out across Central Government as a leading light at 32% (that's over £104.5M) against the 25% Government target

## **Our Procurement ambition**

Our vision is to be recognised as a centre of excellence and deliver a broad range of procurement services across the public sector; to maintain and grow a procurement service unrivalled in public sector.

Procurement is a market-shaping function. Industry derived benchmarks indicate that UK SBS is already performing at or above “best in class” in at least three key measures (percentage savings, compliant spend, spend under management) and compare well against most other measures.

Over the next five years, it is the function's ambition to lead a cultural change in procurement in the public sector. The natural extension of category management is to bring about a fundamental change in the attitude to supplier relationship management.

Our philosophy sees the supplier as an asset to the business and the route to maximising value from supply. This is not a new concept in procurement generally, but it is not a philosophy which is widely employed in the public sector.

We are ideally positioned to “lead the charge” in the government's initiative to reform procurement in the public sector.

UK SBS Procurement's unique selling points are:

- Focus on the full procurement cycle
- Leaders in category management in common and specialised areas
- Expertise in the delivery of major commercial projects
- That we are leaders in procurement to support research
- Use of cutting edge technologies which are superior to those used generally used across the public sector.
- Use of market leading analytical tools to provide comprehensive Business Intelligence
- Active customer and supplier management

***‘UK SBS’ contribution to the Government Procurement Agenda has been impressive. Through innovation and leadership UK SBS has built an attractive portfolio of procurement services from P2P to Strategy Category Management.’***

**John Collington**

**Former Government Chief Procurement Officer**

## Section 2 – About Our Customer

### Medical Research Council

The Medical Research Council is a publicly-funded organisation dedicated to improving human health.

The organisation supports research across the entire spectrum of medical sciences, in universities and hospitals, in its own units, centres and institutes in the UK, and in our units in Africa.

#### Supporting scientists

- Around 5,700 research staff are supported by the MRC, either employed directly in our institutes and units or funded through grants and fellowships.
- We spent £86m on training awards for postgraduate students and fellows in 2011/12, including those in the MRC's own institutes and units.
- At March 2012 there were around 1,900 MRC-funded PhD students and around 400 MRC fellows in higher education institutes and MRC research establishments.

#### Research examples

- The benefits of MRC research have a national and global impact; from infections in Africa, stem cell advances that can potentially combat brain and heart diseases and improvements in the design of tests for treatments. As well as more and better healthcare, medical research can lead to wider impacts; many millions more lives saved, a vastly improved quality of life and hence a more productive workforce and economic benefits to nations.
- MRC researchers have found markers for cancer cells that may help detect thousands of new cases of cancer a year. The markers are already part of an MRC-developed device that screens for cancer of the oesophagus, are being trialled for cervical cancer screening and could potentially be used in a test for bowel cancer.
- The NHS newborn hearing screening programme, introduced in 2002, improves the early detection of hearing impairment in babies, allowing earlier and more effective treatment for the 900 babies born each year in the UK with permanent hearing loss.
- An estimated 73,000 adults are living with HIV in the UK, according to 2006 figures, but around a third of those people haven't been diagnosed and don't know they're infected. Black and ethnic minority populations accounted for just over half of all 7,000 new cases in 2006. Among many other aspects of HIV research, such as the molecular basis of the condition, treatments and diagnosis, MRC scientists are also researching social and behavioural factors.

<http://www.mrc.ac.uk>

## Section 3 - Working with UK Shared Business Services Ltd.

In this section you will find details of your Procurement contact point and the timescales relating to this opportunity.

Section 3 – Contact details		
3.1	Customer Name and address	Medical Research Council Unit, The Gambia Atlantic Boulevard, Fajara Banjul The Gambia
3.2	Buyer name	Nicola Turner
3.3	Buyer contact details	01793 867301 Nicola.turner@uksbs.co.uk
3.4	Estimated value of the Opportunity	£40,000.00 - £60,000.00 Excluding VAT
3.5	Process for the submission of clarifications and Bids	<b>All correspondence shall be submitted within the Emptoris e-sourcing tool. Guidance Notes to support the use of Emptoris is available <a href="#">here</a>. Please note submission of a Bid to any email address including the Buyer <u>will</u> result in the Bid <u>not</u> being considered.</b>

Section 3 - Timescales		
3.6	Date of Issue of Contract Advert and location of original Advert	Friday 10 <sup>th</sup> April 2015 Contracts Finder
3.7	Latest date/time ITQ clarification questions should be received through Emptoris messaging system	Monday 4 <sup>th</sup> May 2015 11:00am
3.8	Latest date/time ITQ clarification answers should be sent to all potential Bidders by the Buyer through Emptoris	Tuesday 5 <sup>th</sup> May 2015 14:00pm
3.9	Latest date/time ITQ Bid shall be submitted through Emptoris	Friday 8 <sup>th</sup> May 2015 11:00am
3.10	Anticipated rejection of unsuccessful Bids date	Week Commencing 11 <sup>th</sup> May 2015
3.11	Anticipated Award date	Week Commencing 11 <sup>th</sup> May 2015
3.12	Anticipated Contract Start date	Week Commencing 18 <sup>th</sup> May 2015
3.13	Anticipated Contract End date	30th August 2015
3.15	Bid Validity Period	60 Days

## Section 4 – Specification

### UPGRADE OF ELECTRICAL INFRASTRUCTURE MRC SITE AT FAJARA, THE GAMBIA

#### SCOPE OF WORKS FOR SURVEY AND DESIGN PHASE

##### Introduction

The MRC Unit in The Gambia is the UK's single largest investment in medical research in a developing country and is internationally recognised for its track record of research into tropical infectious diseases. Its success is based on innovative laboratory based research, excellent clinical studies and field-oriented science and the translation of research into clinical and public health practice. Notable achievements have included:

- pioneering demonstration of the impact of insecticide treated bed nets as a preventive measure against malaria, an intervention that is now the cornerstone of malaria control activities worldwide;
- establishing the efficacy of Haemophilus influenzae type b vaccine in The Gambia for the prevention of both meningitis and pneumonia, with subsequent studies showing the near elimination of Hib disease following the introduction of the vaccine into the national programme of immunisation;
- landmark work confirming the impact of conjugate pneumococcal vaccines on pneumonia and demonstrating that the vaccine has a significant impact on child mortality;
- the demonstration of a dramatic and long-lasting reduction in the carriage of Hepatitis B virus in The Gambia following the phased national introduction of infant Hepatitis B vaccination accompanied by a 40-year study to establish the duration of protection and the impact on the incidence of liver cancer.

The Unit continues to implement its vision 'to lead scientific research to save lives and improve health across the developing world' and aims to further this through major research themes and the development of new networking and funding opportunities. In 2014 the MRC International Nutrition Group at LSHTM became fully incorporated into MRC Unit, The Gambia. Nutrition research was historically centred around the MRC Keneba Field station, but will now diversify to conduct studies countrywide in partnership with the other research themes.

The Unit is providing also a research platform to researchers from all over the world with facilities, which enable highest standard of medical research in Africa. To ensure that this can be continued and expanded, the Unit has to ensure that appropriate and sufficient infrastructure is in place.


##### MRC The Gambia, Fajara

The Unit's main operational base is situated in Fajara, approximately 9 miles down the coast from the capital Banjul. About half of the Unit's overall staff and all the main administrative,



procurement, infrastructural and technical support departments are based here.

The 100 acre site at Fajara includes a range of research and clinical laboratory facilities (up to category 3 containment level). Fajara site is also home to the busy Clinical Services Department, the Unit's DNA bank, as well as around 40 staff residential units.



There is a strategy, which is looking to add facilities to the site, such as a Microbiology Laboratory, Lecture theatre, and further accommodation, which requires additional power. The site is in easy reach from the Air- and Seaport and has accommodation on site or, if no accommodation can be provided on site, good hotels are located in the immediate vicinity.

### Power provision

Power capacity of the facility at Fajara is currently 1MVA, with backup provided via 3 generators of various ages. These cover essential supplies, such as for the biobank, CL3 laboratory, some clinical services and various laboratory equipment.

The site renewed the cables throughout the site in 2004, to improve the reliability of the network.

#### **Additional facilities and requirements for the site are assumed as follows:**

New molecular biology lab (app. 1000m<sup>2</sup>) – assumed consumption 1000kWh/day

Biobank extension (app. 160 m<sup>2</sup>) – assumed consumption 600kWh/day

Lecture theatre (app. 600m<sup>2</sup>) – assumed consumption 300kWh/day

Accommodation (app. 800m<sup>2</sup>) – assumed consumption 400kWh/day

Training centre (app. 1000m<sup>2</sup>) – assumed consumption 500kWh/day

The facility experiences difficulties during peak times, usually due to increased use of air conditioning, resulting in the excessive use of the generators. This in turn results in high costs due to fuel consumption and more frequent replacement of generators.

### **Scope of Works**

The bidders are requested to provide a bid for the following services:

#### PHASE 1

##### Survey

The first phase of this project is to undertake a survey of the electrical infrastructure of the site in Fajara. This needs to include the following aspects:



- Establishing current total capacity including identification of peak times in consumption with potential causes;
- establishment of a replacement schedule for equipment associated with the electrical infrastructure including respective time frames;
- Identification of installations, which are unsafe or not in accordance with current standards;
- Identification of the required power capacity for the site in order to future proof and ensure liable power provision for current and planned activities/ proposal for scope of works for electrical upgrade.

We expect that as part of the information, which this survey will establish, indications of wastage of power will be given and potential measures for energy savings to be identified. However, sustainability measures are not part of this project.

Prior to concluding the survey work/report the successful bidder is required to present the initial findings to the client for discussion. After this discussion the successful bidder is to compile a comprehensive report concluding the survey work.

Please note that award of this contract is to conduct the initial Survey Phase ONLY.

Based on the outcome of the completed Survey, if Phase 2 is approved we would then look to enlist the winning bidders services to conclude the Design phase of this requirement.

Pricing will be requested for both Phases however only Phase 1 will be used for evaluation to generate a pricing score.

Pricing for both Phase 1 and Phase 2 must be Firm and Fixed.

## PHASE 2

### Design

Following the initial Survey, the successful bidder is to design a scheme to upgrade the electrical infrastructure for the Fajara site to RIBA stage F or recognised equivalent including the following:

- full working drawings (RIBA Design Stage F or recognised equivalent);
- fully measured bill of quantities and specifications of materials to be procured (all materials are to be procured from the UK);
- fully measured bill of quantities and specification of the works to be undertaken (including all relevant ground works, construction of substations, etc. as well as connection, installation and commissioning tasks). *Please note that these works will be undertaken by a contractor procured in The Gambia.*
- Specification of the testing and commissioning requirements;
- Coordination of the design with the requirements of the Utility provider (the initial survey report will inform the application for capacity upgrade to the utility provider). This can be done via communication with our staff on site.

- Assistance (via telephone/email) during construction phase regarding Requests for Information from Contractors, Suppliers or Project Manager;
- Witnessing and certifying commissioning and testing.

Programme

The project envisages the following programme:

Completion of tender exercise/appointment confirmation	18 <sup>th</sup> May 2015
Survey work during May 2015	
Submission of report	26 <sup>th</sup> June 2015
Design work resulting in full tender packages (RIBA F)	30 <sup>th</sup> August 2015

***Please note, it is critical for this appointment, that these dates can be achieved.***

## Section 5 – Evaluation model

The evaluation model below shall be used for this ITQ, which will be determined to two decimal places.

Where a question is 'for information only' it will not be scored.

To maintain a high degree of rigour in the evaluation of your bid, a process of moderation will be undertaken to ensure consistency by all evaluators.

After moderation the scores will be finalised by performing a calculation to identify (at question level) the mean average of all evaluators (Example – a question is scored by three evaluators and judged as scoring 5, 5 and 6. These scores will be added together and divided by the number of evaluators to produce the final score of 5.33 ( $5+5+6=16 \div 3 = 5.33$ ))

### Pass / fail criteria

Questionnaire	Q No.	Question subject
Commercial	FOI1.1	Freedom of Information Exemptions
Commercial	AW1.1	Form of Bid
Commercial	AW1.3	Certificate of Bona Fide Bid
Commercial	AW3.1	Validation check
Commercial	AW4.1	Contract Terms
Quality	AW6.1	Compliance to the Specification
Quality	PROJ1.1	References
Quality	PROJ1.4	Project Team
-	-	Invitation to Quote – received on time within e-sourcing tool

### Scoring criteria

#### Evaluation Justification Statement

In consideration of this particular requirement UK SBS has decided to evaluate Potential Providers by adopting the weightings/scoring mechanism detailed within this ITQ. UK SBS considers these weightings to be in line with existing best practice for a requirement of this type.

Questionnaire	Q No.	Question subject	Maximum Marks	
Price	AW5.2	Price	30%	100%
Quality	PROJ1.2	Undertaking Survey	70%	30%
Quality	PROJ1.3	Survey Risk		20%
Quality	PROJ1.5	Undertaking Design		30%
Quality	PROJ1.6	Design Risk		20%

## Evaluation of criteria

### Non-Price elements

Each question will be judged on a score from 0 to 100, which shall be subjected to a multiplier to reflect the percentage of the evaluation criteria allocated to that question.

Where an evaluation criterion is worth 20% then the 0-100 score achieved will be multiplied by 20.

**Example** if a Bidder scores 60 from the available 100 points this will equate to 12% by using the following calculation: Score/Total Points available multiplied by 20 ( $60/100 \times 20 = 12$ )

Where an evaluation criterion is worth 10% then the 0-100 score achieved will be multiplied by 10.

**Example** if a Bidder scores 60 from the available 100 points this will equate to 6% by using the following calculation: Score/Total Points available multiplied by 10 ( $60/100 \times 10 = 6$ )

The same logic will be applied to groups of questions which equate to a single evaluation criterion.

The 0-100 score shall be based on (unless otherwise stated within the question):

0	The Question is not answered or the response is completely unacceptable.
10	Extremely poor response – they have completely missed the point of the question.
20 or 30	Very poor response and not wholly acceptable. Requires major revision to the proposal to make it acceptable. Only partially answers the requirement, with major deficiencies and little relevant detail proposed.
40 or 50	Poor response only partially acceptable with deficiencies apparent. Some useful evidence provided but response falls well short of providing full confidence in the approach / solution described. Low probability of success.
60 or 70	Response is acceptable but remains basic and could have been expanded upon. Response is sufficient but does not inspire. Good probability of success, weaknesses can be readily corrected.
80 or 90	Good response which describes in detail an approach / solution which provides high levels of assurance consistent with a quality provider. Great probability of success, no significant weaknesses noted.
100	Excellent response – comprehensive and useful, demonstrating a detailed understanding of the requirement. High probability of success, no weaknesses noted. The response includes a full description of techniques and measurements to be employed, providing full assurance consistent with a quality provider.

Please be aware that the final score returned may be different as there will be multiple evaluators and their individual scores after a moderation process will be averaged (mean) to determine your final score.

### Example

Evaluator 1 scored your bid as 60

Evaluator 2 scored your bid as 60

Evaluator 3 scored your bid as 50  
Evaluator 4 scored your bid as 50  
Your final score will  $(60+60+50+50) \div 4 = 55$

**Price elements** will be judged on the following criteria.

The lowest price for a response which meets the pass criteria shall score 100.  
All other bids shall be scored on a pro rata basis in relation to the lowest price. The score is then subject to a multiplier to reflect the percentage value of the price criterion.

For example - Bid 1 £100,000 scores 100.

Bid 2 £120,000 differential of £20,000 or 20% remove 20% from price scores 80

Bid 3 £150,000 differential £50,000 remove 50% from price scores 50.

Bid 4 £175,000 differential £75,000 remove 75% from price scores 25.

Bid 5 £200,000 differential £100,000 remove 100% from price scores 0.

Bid 6 £300,000 differential £200,000 remove 100% from price scores 0.

Where the scoring criterion is worth 50% then the 0-100 score achieved will be multiplied by 50.

In the example if a supplier scores 80 from the available 100 points this will equate to 40% by using the following calculation:  $\text{Score/Total Points multiplied by 50}$  ( $80/100 \times 50 = 40$ )

The lowest score possible is 0 even if the price submitted is more than 100% greater than the lowest price.

## **Section 6 – Evaluation questionnaire**

Bidders should note that the evaluation questionnaire is located within the **e-sourcing questionnaire**.

Guidance on completion of the questionnaire is available at <http://www.uksbs.co.uk/services/procure/Pages/supplier.aspx>

**PLEASE NOTE THE QUESTIONS ARE NOT NUMBERED SEQUENTIALLY**

## Section 7 – General Information

### What makes a good bid – some simple do's 😊

#### DO:

- 7.1 Do comply with Procurement document instructions. Failure to do so may lead to disqualification.
- 7.2 Do provide the Bid on time, and in the required format. Remember that the date/time given for a response is the last date that it can be accepted; we are legally bound to disqualify late submissions.
- 7.3 Do ensure you have read all the training materials to utilise e-sourcing tool prior to responding to this Bid. If you send your Bid by email or post it will be rejected.
- 7.4 Do use Microsoft Word, PowerPoint Excel 97-03 or compatible formats, or PDF unless agreed in writing by the Buyer. If you use another file format without our written permission we may reject your Bid.
- 7.5 Do ensure you utilise the Emptoris messaging system to raise any clarifications to our ITQ. You should note that typically we will release the answer to the question to all bidders and where we suspect the question contains confidential information we may modify the content of the question to protect the anonymity of the Bidder or their proposed solution
- 7.6 Do answer the question, it is not enough simply to cross-reference to a 'policy', web page or another part of your Bid, the evaluation team have limited time to assess bids and if they can't find the answer, they can't score it.
- 7.7 Do consider who your customer is and what they want – a generic answer does not necessarily meet every customer's needs.
- 7.8 Do reference your documents correctly, specifically where supporting documentation is requested e.g. referencing the question/s they apply to.
- 7.9 Do provide clear and concise contact details; telephone numbers, e-mails and fax details.
- 7.10 Do complete all questions in the questionnaire or we may reject your Bid.
- 7.11 Do check and recheck your Bid before dispatch.



## What makes a good bid – some simple do not's 🙄

### DO NOT

- 7.12 Do not cut and paste from a previous document and forget to change the previous details such as the previous buyer's name.
- 7.13 Do not attach 'glossy' brochures that have not been requested, they will not be read unless we have asked for them. Only send what has been requested and only send supplementary information if we have offered the opportunity so to do.
- 7.14 Do not share the Procurement documents, they are confidential and should not be shared with anyone without the Buyers written permission.
- 7.15 Do not seek to influence the procurement process by requesting meetings or contacting UK SBS or the Customer to discuss your Bid. If your Bid requires clarification the Buyer will contact you.
- 7.16 Do not contact any UK SBS staff or Customer staff without the Buyers written permission or we may reject your Bid.
- 7.17 Do not collude to fix or adjust the price or withdraw your Bid with another Party as we will reject your Bid.
- 7.18 Do not offer UK SBS or Customer staff any inducement or we will reject your Bid.
- 7.19 Do not seek changes to the Bid after responses have been submitted and the deadline for Bids to be submitted has passed.
- 7.20 Do not cross reference answers to external websites or other parts of your Bid, the cross references and website links will not be considered.
- 7.21 Do not exceed word counts, the additional words will not be considered.
- 7.22 Do not make your Bid conditional on acceptance of your own Terms of Contract, as your Bid will be rejected.

## Some additional guidance notes

- 7.23 All enquiries with respect to access to the e-sourcing tool and problems with functionality within the tool may be submitted to Crown Commercial Service (previously Government Procurement Service), Telephone 0345 010 3503.
- 7.24 Bidders will be specifically advised where attachments are permissible to support a question response within the e-sourcing tool. Where they are not permissible any attachments submitted will not be considered.
- 7.25 Question numbering is not sequential and all questions which require submission are included in the Section 6 Evaluation Questionnaire.
- 7.26 Any Contract offered may not guarantee any volume of work or any exclusivity of supply.
- 7.27 We do not guarantee to award any Contract as a result of this procurement
- 7.28 All documents issued or received in relation to this procurement shall be the property of UK SBS.
- 7.29 We can amend any part of the procurement documents at any time prior to the latest date / time Bids shall be submitted through Emptoris.
- 7.30 If you are a Consortium you must provide details of the Consortiums structure.
- 7.31 Bidders will be expected to comply with the Freedom of Information Act 2000 or your Bid will be rejected.
- 7.32 Bidders should note the Government's transparency agenda requires your Bid and any Contract entered into to be published on a designated, publicly searchable web site. By submitting a response to this ITQ Bidders are agreeing that their Bid and Contract may be made public
- 7.33 Your bid will be valid for 60 days or your Bid will be rejected.
- 7.34 Bidders may only amend the Contract terms if you can demonstrate there is a legal or statutory reason why you cannot accept them. If you request changes to the Contract and UK SBS fail to accept your legal or statutory reason is reasonably justified we may reject your Bid.
- 7.35 We will let you know the outcome of your Bid evaluation and where requested will provide a written debrief of the relative strengths and weaknesses of your Bid.
- 7.36 If you fail mandatory pass / fail criteria we will reject your Bid.
- 7.37 Bidders are required to use IE8, IE9, Chrome or Firefox in order to access the functionality of the Emptoris e-sourcing tool.
- 7.38 Bidders should note that if they are successful with their proposal UK SBS reserves the right to ask additional compliancy checks prior to the award of any Contract. In

the event of a Bidder failing to meet one of the compliancy checks UK SBS may decline to proceed with the award of the Contract to the successful Bidder.

- 7.39 All timescales are set using a 24 hour clock and are based on British Summer Time or Greenwich Mean Time, depending on which applies at the point when Date and Time Bids shall be submitted through Emptoris.
- 7.40 All Central Government Departments and their Executive Agencies and Non Departmental Public Bodies are subject to control and reporting within Government. In particular, they report to the Cabinet Office and HM Treasury for all expenditure. Further, the Cabinet Office has a cross-Government role delivering overall Government policy on public procurement - including ensuring value for money and related aspects of good procurement practice.

For these purposes, UK SBS may disclose within Government any of the Bidders documentation/information (including any that the Bidder considers to be confidential and/or commercially sensitive such as specific bid information) submitted by the Bidder to UK SBS during this Procurement. The information will not be disclosed outside Government. Bidders taking part in this ITQ consent to these terms as part of the competition process.

- 7.41 From 2nd April 2014 the Government is introducing its new Government Security Classifications (GSC) classification scheme to replace the current Government Protective Marking System (GPMS). A key aspect of this is the reduction in the number of security classifications used. All Bidders are encouraged to make themselves aware of the changes and identify any potential impacts in their Bid, as the protective marking and applicable protection of any material passed to, or generated by, you during the procurement process or pursuant to any Contract awarded to you as a result of this tender process will be subject to the new GSC from 2nd April 2014. The link below to the Gov.uk website provides information on the new GSC:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/government-security-classifications>

UK SBS reserves the right to amend any security related term or condition of the draft contract accompanying this ITQ to reflect any changes introduced by the GSC. In particular where this ITQ is accompanied by any instructions on safeguarding classified information (e.g. a Security Aspects Letter) as a result of any changes stemming from the new GSC, whether in respect of the applicable protective marking scheme, specific protective markings given, the aspects to which any protective marking applies or otherwise. This may relate to the instructions on safeguarding classified information (e.g. a Security Aspects Letter) as they apply to the procurement as they apply to the procurement process and/or any contracts awarded to you as a result of the procurement process.

## USEFUL INFORMATION LINKS

- [Emptoris Training Guide](#)
- [Emptoris e-sourcing tool](#)
- [Contracts Finder](#)
- [Tenders Electronic Daily](#)
- [Equalities Act introduction](#)
- [Bribery Act introduction](#)
- [Freedom of information Act](#)