

RCloud Tasking Form – Part B: Statement of Requirement (SoR)

Title of Requirement	Future Individual Lethality System (FILS) – System update and refresh.
Requisition No.	RQ0000011476
SoR Version	0.1

1. Statement of Requirements

1.1 Summary and Background Information

The FILS system update and refresh, is a task to repair, refresh and update the software and hardware elements of the FILS deliverables from both FILS and FILS 2.0 delivered in previous financial years to Dstl.

Redacted under FOI Exemption

Redacted under FOI Exemption

1.2 Requirement

The key project deliverable are listed below:

UIN	System Requirement (SR)	Threshold (T)	Objective (O)	Remarks
TH1	FILS power rail repair	Must comply		
TH2	FILS sensor module repair	Must comply		
TH3	FILS WCU repair	Must comply		
TH4	FILS Firmware update	Must comply		
TH5	FILS 2.0 P&D integration	Via sling and rail	Via sling, rail and EUD	

The mandatory requirements listed above must be completed before 31 March 2023.

In support of the task the authority shall make available Government Furnished Assets, which can be requested by the supplier. Please refer to ITT document reference '(A4) GFA Scope RQ11476 RCLLOUD PART B additional Info'.

Quality

The Authority confirms that the following quality standards are required for this task:

- ISO9001 - Quality Management Systems
- TickITPlus - Integrated approach to software and IT development

Budget

Redacted under FOI Exemption

The authority sets out to confirm that should the proposed cost of repair for the requirement specified at TH1, TH2, and TH3 be deemed Beyond Economic Repair (BER), the authority may consider re-scoping the requirement, and investigate an alternative technical solution.

1.3 Options or follow on work *(if none, write 'Not applicable')*

The Authority may have a requirement for additional research and development services (Forthwith referred to as "Whiteboard Options"). Should the Authority exert these whiteboard options, a revised Statement of Requirement will be issued for the Supplier to quote against.


The following list of non-exhaustive example tasks are provided as for reference purposes of the potential tasks that could be identified as additional research & development. It should be noted that the authority offer no guarantee that any additional research and development services shall be requested:

Redacted under FOI Exemption

Redacted under FOI Exemption

Redacted under FOI Exemption

Redacted under FOI Exemption

	<p style="text-align: center;">Redacted under FOI Exemption</p> 
1.4	Contract Management Activities
	All aspects of Contract Management will be undertaken via an Authority Project Manager within the Division.
1.5	Health & Safety, Environmental, Social, Ethical, Regulatory or Legislative aspects of the requirement
	None Specified

1.6 Deliverables & Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) – TRL* - denotes Technology Readiness Level						
Ref.	Title	Due by	Format	TRL*	Expected classification (subject to change)	What information is required for deliverables
D-1	Progress Reporting	T0+1 Months and + 2 months thereafter for the duration of the contract.	Presentation (.pptx) or Word (.doc)	N/A	OS	To include but not limited to <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Update on technical progress - Progress report against milestones - Review of risk management - Review of deliverables - Risks/issues. - GFA and supplier performance
D-2	Hardware delivery	31/03/23 (TBC)	Hardware	N/A	O	- Items shipped to Dstl
D-3	Training	31/03/23 (TBC)	Training	N/A	OS	A one off training package for 10 hours on the FILS Red , and configuration, including any training material & guides.

1.7	Deliverable Acceptance Criteria
	<p>All Reports included as Deliverables under the Contract e.g. Progress and/or Final Reports etc. must comply with the Defence Research Reports Specification (DRRS) which defines the requirements for the presentation, format and production of scientific and technical reports prepared for MoD.</p> <p>Interim or Progress Reports: The report should detail, document, and summarise the results of work done during the period covered and shall be in sufficient detail to comprehensively explain the results achieved; substantive performance; a description of current substantive performance and any problems encountered and/or which may exist along with proposed corrective action. An explanation of any difference between planned progress and actual progress, why the differences have occurred, and if behind planned progress what corrective steps are planned.</p> <p>Any Final Reports: shall describe the entire work performed under the Contract in sufficient detail to explain comprehensively the work undertaken and results achieved including all relevant technical details of any hardware, software, process or system developed there under. The technical detail shall be sufficient to permit independent reproduction of any such process or system.</p> <p>All Reports shall be free from spelling and grammatical errors and shall be set out in accordance with the Statement Of Requirement (1) above.</p> <p>Failure to comply with the above may result in the Authority rejecting the deliverables and requesting re-work before final acceptance.</p>
1.7	Deliverable Acceptance Criteria
	The Supplier will provide a fully working FILS systems, which shall be subject to review and acceptance by Dstl Technical Staff, which achieves & demonstrates the requirements defined in Section 1.2

2	Evaluation Criteria		
2.1	Method Explanation		
	Supplier will be subject to a subjective Technical Assessment and a series of Commercial Governance questions to ensure Supplier has to ability to fulfil the Requirement overall.		
2.2	Technical Evaluation Criteria		
	Technical compliance: the Tenderers proposal addresses all the requirements set out within the Terms and Schedules, to the satisfaction of the Authority. The Tenderers proposal must clearly demonstrate the activities / work / Technical Deliverables proposed, and additionally demonstrate how these will be successfully completed within the timescales required and support any costs submitted.		
2.3	Commercial Evaluation Criteria		
	ID	Criteria	Score
	1	The proposal has been submitted as a Firm price for all tasks identified under the core work, reference Part B Section 1.2 and the associated deliverables at Section 1.6. Noting this must be affordable as per the declared budget Redacted	Pass / Fail
	2	The proposal prices do not exceed the R Cloud Rates submitted upon application and acceptance.	Pass / Fail

3	The supplier provides unqualified acceptance of the additional terms and conditions detailed in Tasking Form Part A	Pass / Fail
4	The proposal has included the Suppliers Assurance Questionnaire (SAQ) in response to the specified Cyber Risk Assessment with the appropriate approval and all documents submitted. (Very Low against RAR-699617879)	Pass / Fail
5	The Supplier submits a priced Commercial proposal (Qty 1) and an unpriced Technical Proposal (Qty 1).	Pass / Fail
6	The supplier has submitted a completed RCloud Tasking Form Part C as part of the submission, including a completed Annex A Statement Relating to Good Standing, and Annex B Notification of Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) Restrictions, or a confirmed Nil Return	Pass / Fail
7	The supplier has completed a DEFFORM 528 where any deliverables has been identified as subject to either a) Export Control or b) End Use Restrictions or a Nil Return has been provided.	Pass / Fail