Once complete please email the Tasking Form to:

- Official ASTRID@baesystems.com.
- Official Sensitive <u>ASTRID@baesystems.r.mil.uk</u>.

Note to Commercial Staff:

ASTRID has been let and is owned by Defence Science & Technology Laboratory (Dstl) and any work placed under it is subject to UK Govt DEFCONs. Full DEFCON definitions can be found here: https://www.aof.mod.uk/aofcontent/tactical/toolkit/content/defcons/defcon.htm (note account required to access but easy to set up)

| TASKING FORM | ΓASKING FORM | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| To: CORDA | From (Organisation): | Dstk | | | | |
| | I | | | | | |
| Framework contract number: | DSTL/AGR/01142/01 | | | | | |
| Agreed quotation date (if known): | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| REQUIREMENT SUMMARY AND AUT | THORITY CONTACTS: | | | | | |
| Project Manager (name & telephone) | | | | | | |
| Technical Lead (name & telephone) | | | | | | |
| Commercial Officer (name & telephone) | | | | | | |
| Task title (for Dstl: max 30 characters inc AST/ prefix) | AST 222/ Road Mapping of | Human Augmentation Technologies | | | | |
| Anticipated start date | 3/1/2023 | | | | | |
| Anticipated end date (core work) | 31/5/2023 | | | | | |

Anticipated end date (options)

ASTRID task number

Task description

Requisition or Purchase Order ref

Please see attached Statement of Requirement

31/3/2024

AST 222

RQ0000019515

Brief list of requirements (core and options) – add rows as appropriate (full details appear in the attached Statement of Requirement) Item No **Core or Option Description / Title** D3 Core Technology road map template **D**4 Core Delivery of two technology road maps **TBC Options Development of Additional Road Maps Pricing:** Firm Price \boxtimes Ascertained cost* *only at Authority's discretion Firm Pricing shall be in accordance with DEFCON 127 or DEFCON 643 and DEFCON 648 Ascertained Costs shall be in accordance with DEFCON 653 or DEFCON 802. Cyber Risk: RAR-926019231 Risk level: Assessment ref: Very Low **DEFCON 658** \boxtimes (applicable for all risk levels except 'N/A') Limitation of Contractors Liability Risk: (see attached SOR and Risk Assessment for more detail) **DEFCONS (Defence Conditions):** Please confirm which specific DEFCONs are required for the task (Dstl staff click here for greater DEFCON detail and NIPPY Guidance). If you are unsure, please discuss with your IP contact, or commercial 91 Edn 11/06 Intellectual Property Rights In Software Transparency (automatically included unless removed by Authority Commercial staff for Edn 08/13 539 \boxtimes exemption reasons) Intellectual Property Rights - Vesting In the Authority 703 Edn 08/13 To be specified on the Tasking Form Intellectual Property Rights - Research and Technology 705 Edn 11/02 \boxtimes To be specified on the Tasking Form Acceptance or rejection of deliverables

SCHEDULE OF REQUIREMENTS:

| | | number of days stated in the SOR. The default for reports in up to 60 days'. Please specify if requesting different and dis | | | |
|--|--|--|-------|--|--|
| 524 | Edn 10/98 | Rejection | days | | |
| 525 | Acceptance For the Purposes of schedule of requirements item 2 of this Contract the period for acceptance and rejection of deliverables shall be specified within the Tasking Form at Annex D. | | days | | |
| Defence | Paged Simul | ation and Madalling. | | | |
| Defence | based Simul | ation and Modelling: | | | |
| Standard | 03- 050 | | | | |
| Other | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | |
| DELIVER | RABLES: Plea | se see attached SOR for full details | | | |
| | | | | | |
| GFX: | | | | | |
| Yes | | | | | |
| No | No 🗵 | | | | |
| If yes, please see attached SOR for full details of equipment / information / facilities | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Security Classification of the Work: (delete as appropriate*) | | | | | |
| | | UK Official | | | |
| *Failure t | o delete unne | cessary higher classifications will result in delays at the fire | ewall | | |
| The overarching ASTRID contract contains a Security Aspects Letter (SAL) covering tasks up to Official Sensitive at quotation stage. If the Statement of requirement (SOR) is a higher classification, please complete the relevant SAL and send with this tasking form and SOR. | | | | | |
| | If this is the case, please tick the box to indicate you are attaching a separate SAL for your task | | | | |

| Any task placed as a result of your quotation will be subj number DSTL/AGR/01142/01 | ect to the Terms and Conditions of Dstl contract |
|---|--|
| | ASTRID – TASKING FORM – Part B |
| То: | From: CORDA |
| FAO: | PoC: |
| Tel: | Tel: |

Proposal Reference AST\CMRCL\Prop\02471 (attached)

The proposal shall include, but not be limited to:

- A full technical proposal that meets the individual activities that are detailed in Statement of Requirement (Part A to Draft Tasking Form)
- A Work breakdown structure/project plan with key dates and Deliverables identified including required delivery dates for Government Furnished Assets.
- A clear identification of Dependencies, Assumptions, Risks and Exclusions which underpin your Technical Proposal.

COST BREAKDOWN (to be completed by the Contractor)

You are to use rates that have been previously agreed within the Analysis for Science & Technology Research in Defence (ASTRID) at Annex E.

Please also provide a price breakdown which should include, but is not limited to: labour costs, transportation, travel and subsistence, overheads and profit. In support of your Proposal you are requested to provide clear details of all Dependencies, Assumptions, Risks and Exclusions that underpin your price

Price quotation of £96,287.18 (ex VAT) is submitted for ASTRID Task 222 (RQ0000019515) - Road Mapping of Human Augmentation Technologies and breakdown attached

| mapping of Human Augm | ciitatio | in recimologies and breakdown attached |
|-----------------------|-------------|---|
| Ascertained Price | \boxtimes | |
| Firm Price | | |
| | | *if hybrid, please specify which pricing mechanism applies to which |
| Hybrid* | | work packages and/or deliverables in the "Milestones Deliverables and |
| | | Payments" table |

VALUE FOR MONEY EVIDENCE - KPI 1 (to be completed by the Contractor)

The Collaborative sourcing mechanism was utilised for supplier selection. This maximises Value for Money by:

- Deploying the optimum team to deliver the work (maximising quality)
- Promoting discussions with the customer during proposal work up:

Better aligning the supplier's understanding of the requirement,

Better informing the customer's understanding of their problem and the solution to solving it, Eradicating 'gold plating',

Deploying the appropriate SQEP and;

Reducing technical (and financial) risk.

- Enforcing use of suppliers lowest UK Government rates
- Reviewing effort levels to ensure that they are commensurate with the required level of work
- The Technical Lead will provide assurance that the Statement of Work is delivered as per the specification tpgroup offer value add through our extensive road mapping experience which will allows us to hit the ground running and deliver a reusable roadmap template which:
- 1. combines low level roadmaps for individual technologies to form a complete roadmap for the HA programme; and

2. ensures considerations beyond the 'technology' by including financial, commercial, strategic, and programmatic elements.

In addition to this, we offer access to industry leading experts from a Non-Traditional Defence Supplier (Sagentia Innovation). Using our team of scientific experts, who will work with and compliment the knowledge of Dstl's SMEs, we offer value through industrial insight from the UK commercial sector and the ability to leverage independent knowledge outside of defence

In particular this proposal contains the following elements:

- A team that has a reduced learning curve due to their collective experience; improving efficiency
- A level of innovation judged to have the potential to improve technical quality and/or reduce cost
- An ability for the customer to veer and haul the work depending on technical progress (via LoL), reducing nugatory work when on contract
- Task Lead rates have been scrutinised and actively challenged on framework signup to drive value for money.

| Start date: | T0 (assumed January 2023) | End date: | T0 + 16 weeks | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Signed on behalf of the | Contractor: | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | 0 | | | | | |
| Printed name: | | Date: | 05/01/23 | | | |

Contractor's Cost Breakdown

| PROVISION FROM PRIME: | | | | |
|--|--------|-----|----------|-------|
| Service (Activity)* indicate whether work is pre or post award | Rate £ | Qty | Subtotal | Total |
| le pre er peet award | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Travel & Subsistence UK Road Mileage | | | | |
| Accommodation Day and Night | | | | |
| subsistence Other (Rail/Air) (Provide Detail) | | | | |
| Other e.g. materials (provide detail) | | | | |
| PROVISION FROM SUBCONTRACTORS: | | | | |
| Service | Cost £ | Qty | Subtotal | Total |

| GRAND TOTAL Ex VAT | | | £96,287.18 |
|--------------------|--|--|------------|

| Milestones | Deliverables | and Pay | ments |
|------------|---------------------|---------|-------|
| WILLOUGH | Deliverables | alla la | y |

| Milestone No | Description | Pricing (Ascertained or Firm) | £ Ex VAT | Due Date | Deliverable DEFCON 703 / 705 (14, 90 & 91) |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------------------|------------|------------------|--|
| 1 | Provision of Contractable Scope of Work and Proposal | Firm | £13,045.16 | T0 | n/a |
| 2 | Monthly report 1 | T&M | £16,186.40 | T0 + 4 weeks | 705 |
| 3 | Monthly report 2 | T&M | £24,871.90 | T0 + 8 weeks | 705 |
| 4 | Monthly report 3 | T&M | £27,079.40 | T0 + 12 weeks | 705 |
| 5 | Monthly report 4 | Т&М | £15,104.32 | T0 + 16 weeks | 705 |

TOTAL £ Ex VAT

£96,287.18

Request for Limitation of Liability

The Authority has performed a review of the risk profile for this Task and the proposed limitation of contractors liability is summarised in part A of this Tasking Form, and detailed in the ASTRID Liabilities spreadsheet attached to the Statement of Requirement. If required to do so by the Liabilities spreadsheet, or if the Contractor believes that the risk profile is incorrect, they should complete Annex A providing details of the identified risk, the mitigations in place, and the revised limitation of contractors liability requested.

 \boxtimes

Additional limitations included – see attached completed form at Annex A

Requested Amendments to Framework Conditions

The Prime should detail below any requests for amendments to the terms and conditions of the Framework if deemed necessary for this particular task

It is assumed that there is no requirement for a deliverable quality plan.

Liability Clause

- X.1 Subject to Clauses X.2 and X.3, the Contractor's liability to the Authority under or in connection with this Contract shall be limited as follows:
- (a). under Clauses 3 and 4 of DEFCON 76 shall not exceed £50m per incident; and
- (b). under Clause 2 of DEFCON 514 shall not exceed 150% in aggregate of the Task Value; and
- (c). under Clause 8 of DEFCON 611 shall not exceed 150% in aggregate of the Task Value; and
- (d). under Clause 1 of DEFCON 612 shall not exceed 150% in aggregate of the Task Value; and
- (e) under DEFCON 658 shall not exceed £5m per occurrence or series of connected occurrences; and
- (f) subject to the Task Lead using reasonable endeavours to ensure that the software deliverables or modelling tools used for completion of the Task are free from any known viruses prior to its delivery, liability for loss arising from viruses shall not exceed £5M; and
- (g) liability for breaches excepting breaches under or in connection with X.1(a)-X.1(f) above, shall not exceed £5M in aggregate.
- X.2. Nothing in this Contract shall operate to limit or exclude the Contractor's liability:
- (a). for:
- i. any liquidated damages (to the extent expressly provided for under this Contract);
- ii. any amount(s) which the Authority is entitled to claim, retain or withhold under clause 7 of DEFCON 670 (Tax Compliance), clause 22 and Annex G (Key Performance Indicators and Service Credits, DEFCON 811 and condition 1.3 of Annex I (Insurance Requirements) of this Contract. For the avoidance of doubt, liabilities arising under DEFCON 514 shall be limited in accordance with clause X.1(b) above;
- iii. Any interest payable in relation to the late payment of any sum due and payable by the Contractor to the Authority under this Contract;
- iv. Any amount payable by the Contractor to the Authority in accordance with clause 23 and Schedule H of this Contract;
- (b) Where the Parties have agreed to assign a risk profile of Green or Yellow to a Task in reliance on information (including in relation to insurance) submitted by or on behalf of the Contractor and the Parties jointly assesses such information to be inaccurate or untrue, the Parties agree that the LoCL provisions on the Task may be amended, and if such amendment cannot be mutually agreed the Authority or Contractor may terminate the Task.
- (c). under DEFCONs 91 and 632;
- (d). for death or personal injury caused by the Contractor's negligence or the negligence of any of its personnel, agents, consultants or subcontractors;
- (e) for fraud, fraudulent misrepresentation and wilful misconduct;
- (f) in relation to the termination of this Contract on the basis of abandonment by the Contractor;
- (g), for breach of the terms implied by Section 2 of the Supply of Goods and Services Act 1982; or

- (h). for any other liability which cannot be limited or excluded under general (including statute and common) law.
- X.3 The Contractor shall not be liable, whether in contract, tort (including negligence), statute or otherwise for any indirect or consequential losses.
- X.4. The rights of the Authority under this Contract are in addition to, and not exclusive of, any rights or remedies provided by English (including statute and common) law.
- X.5 The Parties agree that the following amendment shall be inserted as a last sentence in clause 14.1 of the Special Conditions:
- "...For the avoidance of doubt, all information and data of the Authority, and any GFF, shall be treated as Issued Property for the purposes of Defcon 611"
- X.6 For the avoidance of doubt, provisions defined in the Contract and used in this Tasking shall have the meaning set out in the Contract.
- X.7 For the further avoidance of doubt, the Contract, including any previous variations, will remain effective and unaltered except as amended by this Tasking. If there is an inconsistency between any of the provisions of the Pilot and the provisions of the Contract, the provisions of the Contract shall prevail.

| | | Optio | ns and Payments | |
|---|---|-------------|-----------------|--|
| Item No | Description / Title from Part A | £ (ex VAT)* | Expiry Date | |
| 1 | Development of Additional Road Maps (ROM) | £190k (ROM) | n/a | |
| *Price(s) quoted to be held valid until end date of options (If unticked a requote will be required) | | | | |

| | | | A | STRID – | TASKING FORM – Part C |
|---|--------|-------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| 1. Offer of Contract: (to k | e con | npleted by Author | rity Commercial Servic | es) | |
| Commercial Officer: | | | | Tel: | |
| Vendor Agreement No (if applicable): | F | | | | |
| Purchase Order Number | : | DSTL00000121 | 100 | | |
| Start date (T0) is deemed be: | d to | 23/01/2022 | amend the table in F | Part B to s ges, pleas o them in | n permission for you to show actual due dates. If se change the font to RED the 'comments & |
| Commercial comments a | and cl | arifications to p | roposal: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | T | | | |
| Commercial Approval: | | | | | |
| Date: | | 23/01/2022 | | | |
| Please Note: Task Authorisation to be issued by Authority Commercial Services Department once the Vendor Agreement and Purchase Order numbers have been inserted. Any work carried out prior to issue is at the Contractor's own risk | | | | | |
| 2. Unqualified Acceptance and returned to Authority's | | | | completed | I by the Prime Contractor |
| Name: | | | | Tel: | |
| Position in Company: | | | | l | • |
| Signature : | | | | Date: | |
| | | | | -1 | |

| | ASTRID – TASKING FORM – Part D | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| • | COMPLETION OF TASK (to be completed by the Prime Contractor and returned to the nominated Authority Task owner as detailed in Part A - failure to return could result in payment being delayed) | | | | |
| For the avoidance of doubt, Section D confirms the final value of the task. The value stated in this section will be the contracted value for the task and will take precedence over any previous values referred to in sections above. | | | | | |
| Confirmation of Deliverables as | per Part A: | | | | |
| Yes □ | No 🗆 | | | | |
| Actual Task start date: | | | | | |
| Actual Task completion date: | | | | | |
| Final invoice submitted on: | | | | | |
| For firm price of: | £ | | | | |
| For the final LoL price of: | £ | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Comments from Contractor on the task:

| Task completed to Authority's satisfaction (to be completed by nominated Task owner) | |
|---|--|
| Task completed to Authority's satisfaction (to be completed by nominated Task owner) Comments from Task owner on the task: | |
| Commond from rack owner on the tack. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| Anticipated exploitation inc | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| timescales: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Follow-up date with End User | |
| if necessary: | |
| | |
| | |
| Key Performance Indicators (K | Pls): |
| | |
| Timeliness of deliverables: | |
| | and each deliverable will be given a seem of either 1 for meeting the |
| | and each deliverable will be given a score of either 1 for meeting the |
| required date or 0 for failure to me | |
| | endments or changes to the delivery dates have been made, the |
| | de the previous agreed date. Where a Deliverable is late as a result of |
| tne Authority's actions, and this is | s agreed to by the Authority, the deliverable shall be marked as on-time. |

| Total number of deliverables w | ithin task: | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| Of which on time: | | |
| Of which deemed late: | | |
| Comments / Notes: | | |
| | | |
| they are of an acceptable standar | ecepted once the Authority has reviewed and is willing to pay the invoice associate grounds of technical, financial and | ociated with the deliverable. |
| Mark: | Measure: | Number of deliverables in this category: |
| Accepted | Technically and editorially acceptable. Minor changes may be needed to improve exploitability of the output or to tailor the output for the end customer. | |
| Minor revisions | Deliverables require minor editorial and/or technical revisions prior to acceptance. Minor changes may also be needed to improve exploitability of the output or to tailor the output for the customer. | |
| Major revisions | Deliverables require significant editorial and/or technical revisions and further review by the Authority. | |
| Rejected | Deliverables do not meet the requirement and are rejected | |
| Any additional comments / Not | es: | |
| Signed: | | |
| Date: | | |

| DIRECT LOSS - DEFCON 76 (| RECT LOSS - DEFCON 76 (Damage to Government Establishments) | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|----------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------|--------|--|
| RISK (Situation) | Worst Case Scenario | Worst Case Cost £ | Mitigation | Post Mitigation Cost £ | Proposed LOL | Contingent Liability | Probability | Impact | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | TOTAL PROPOSED CONTRACTOR'S LIMIT OF LIABILITY | | | | | | | | |

| DIRECT LOSS - DEFCON 514 (Material Breach) | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------|--------|--|
| RISK (Situation) | Worst Case Scenario | Worst Case Cost £ | Mitigation | Post Mitigation Cost £ | Proposed LOL | Contingent Liability | Probability | Impact | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | TOTAL PROPOSED CONTRACTOR'S LIMIT OF LIABILITY | | | | | | | | |

| DIRECT LOSS - DEF | RECT LOSS - DEFCON 611 (Loss of or damage to Issued Property) | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------|--------|--|--|
| RISK (Situation) | Worst Case Scenario | Worst Case Cost £ | Mitigation | Post Mitigation Cost £ | Proposed LOL | Contingent Liability | Probability | Impact | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | TOTAL PR | ODOSED CONTRACTOR | R'S LIMIT OF LIABILITY | | | | | | | |
| | TOTAL PR | OPOSED CONTRACTOR | K 3 LIWIT OF LIABILITY | | | | | | | |

| DIRECT LOSS - DEFCO | DIRECT LOSS - DEFCON 612 (Loss of or damage to Articles) | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--|----------------------|------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------|--------|--|--|
| RISK (Situation) | Worst Case Scenario | Worst Case Cost £ | Mitigation | Post Mitigation Cost £ | Proposed LOL | Contingent Liability | Probability | Impact | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | TOTAL PROPOSED CONTRACTOR'S LIMIT OF LIABILITY | | | | | | | | | |

| RECT LOSS - NEG | LIGENCE (that is not includ | ed within DEFCON 76, | 514, 611 & 612 above) | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------|--------|
| RISK (Situation) | Worst Case Scenario | Worst Case Cost £ | Mitigation | Post Mitigation Cost £ | Proposed LOL | Contingent Liability | Probability | Impact |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | TOTAL PR | OPOSED CONTRACTO | R'S LIMIT OF LIABILITY | | | | | |

| INDIRECT/CONSEQU | DIRECT/CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------|--------|--|--|
| RISK (Situation) | Worst Case Scenario | Worst Case Cost £ | Mitigation | Post Mitigation Cost £ | Proposed LOL | Contingent Liability | Probability | Impact | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| TOTAL PROPOSED CONTRACTOR'S LIMIT OF LIABILITY | | |
|--|--|--|