**Query Log – as at 07 December 2018**

|  **Date received** | **Query** | **LC response** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 30/11 | Do you think it would be possible for us to partner with a specialist during development, or to be more simply used as the platform to deliver and test the self-assessment and peer review? | We are willing to accept applicants partnering with other bidders, for the right pair of bidders wanting to work in this way. Applications would need to detail how they would make this model of working as seamless as possible. The ITT submission form should be filled in accordingly, specifically section 1 of the Suitability Assessment Questionnaire, where it should be stated if you are the prime contractor or if you are tendering as a consortium and providing details of the supply chain. |
| 07/12 | Who is the target audience for the self-assessment tool? Who is the target audience for the peer review tool – who are the peers in that scenario? In other words who is envisaged to actually use these tools once they are developed? | It will be Local Authorities (multi agency – not just Public Health) across London who will be completing the self-assessment. This is a sector Led Improvement piece of work and thus the ‘peer review’ will come from the sector themselves (Local Authorities) either during and/or after the completion of this self-assessment tool locally. |
| To what degree do you see HV and SH workforce 'on the ground' being involved in the work? | The decision from the SLI board was that they are key stakeholders, rather than part of the working group itself to minimise any conflicts of interest. They will of course have regular opportunity to input and influence the project. |
| Is there flexibility in the way the days have been allocated across the tasks as shown under 'project plan and costs'?  | Yes within reason, and as long the tender submission makes clear how this is to be allocated differently and the rationale as to why.  |