

RCloud Tasking Form – Part B: Statement of Requirement (SoR)

Title of Requirement	REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION
Requisition No.	1000167337
SoR Version	1.0

1.	Statement of Requirements
1.1	Summary and Background Information
	REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION
1.2	Requirement
	REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION
1.3	Options or follow on work <i>(if none, write 'Not applicable')</i>
	Options for follow-on work in Year 3 will potentially be available, subject to technical review of Yrs 1 & 2 outputs. Follow on work could for example include, practical support, consultancy etc., subject to agreement between DSTL and the supplier at the end of Yr2. If PhDs are submitted in Year 1 this would be supported throughout the 3 year standard period. <u>The Authority shall not be obliged to exercise these options.</u>
1.4	Contract Management Activities
	<p>All successful bids, after contract award will be initiated by a Project Kick Off Meeting organised by the Authority. It is expected that kick off meetings will be scheduled in promptly after contract award.</p> <p>Submission of Research Worker Forms will be required only prior to contract award, where required. Proposals should state how many Research Workers Forms will be submitted for the proposed contract, or if SC clearance is already held, then how many workers will be presented for verification of SC or above status.</p> <p>Deliverables will be managed by the Authority and expected to the timescale as outlined in Table 1.6</p> <p>At Contract Closure, a wash up meeting will be delivered to discuss next steps, recommendations and feedback which will be captured by the Dstl Project Team.</p>
1.5	Health & Safety, Environmental, Social, Ethical, Regulatory or Legislative aspects of the requirement

<p>All work is to be performed in compliance with relevant UK legislation (including H&S). See also the Requirement (section 1.2) for information relating to Dstl's duty of care when sponsoring work involving energetic and/or potentially energetic materials.</p>
--

1.6	Deliverables & Intellectual Property Rights (IPR)						
Ref.	Title	Due by	Format	TRL*	Expected classification (subject to change)	What information is required in the deliverable	IPR DEFCON/ Condition <i>(Commercial to enter later)</i>
REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION 	DEFCON 705
REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION 	DEFCON 705

REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION 	
REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION 	DEFCON 705

1.7	Deliverable Acceptance Criteria
	<p>Annual technical reports and stakeholder presentation deliverables to be emailed to:</p> <p>REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION</p> <p>Stakeholder presentation deliverables to include attendance of meeting with Dstl and delivery to key stakeholders.</p> <p>All material intended for external publication to be emailed to REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION for technical review. If any published papers include GFI, Dstl must have sight of them and must provide permission to publish prior to the papers being shared.</p>

2	Evaluation Criteria																				
2.1	Method Explanation																				
	<p>The Tender evaluation utilises an absolute method, whereby a Value For Money (VfM) Index is applied to identify the preferred bidder. This approach divides the total score of the non-cost (Technical) criteria by the tender cost. It ranks tenders on the quality (represented by the non-cost score) for each £ (or £k or £m) of cost.</p> <p>An illustrative example is outlined below for reference purposes only:</p> <table><tr><th>Tender</th><th>Non-Cost Score</th><th>Cost (£)[Thousand]</th><th>VfM Index Score</th><th>Rank</th></tr><tr><td>A</td><td>62</td><td>20</td><td>3.10</td><td>3</td></tr><tr><td>B</td><td>85</td><td>24</td><td>3.54</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>C</td><td>100</td><td>29</td><td>3.44</td><td>2</td></tr></table>	Tender	Non-Cost Score	Cost (£)[Thousand]	VfM Index Score	Rank	A	62	20	3.10	3	B	85	24	3.54	1	C	100	29	3.44	2
Tender	Non-Cost Score	Cost (£)[Thousand]	VfM Index Score	Rank																	
A	62	20	3.10	3																	
B	85	24	3.54	1																	
C	100	29	3.44	2																	
2.2	Technical Evaluation Criteria																				
	<p>The technical evaluation shall be scored on the following questions, noting that any proposal that is marked as a Fail against Serial 1 or 2 shall not be considered for task award.</p> <table><tr><th>Serial</th><th>Question</th><th>Weighting</th><th>Score</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>The proposal provides a clear and unambiguous statement which meets the technical requirements of the relevant Lot(s) in the Statement of Requirement.</td><td>N/A</td><td>Pass / Fail</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>The proposal provides evidence of the ability to undertake the proposed work, including REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION</td><td>N/A</td><td>Pass / Fail</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>The proposal demonstrates sufficient prior experience/provenance of the applicant's organisation and/or Principal Investigator in the relevant technical</td><td>20</td><td>0, 3, 7, 10</td></tr></table>	Serial	Question	Weighting	Score	1	The proposal provides a clear and unambiguous statement which meets the technical requirements of the relevant Lot(s) in the Statement of Requirement.	N/A	Pass / Fail	2	The proposal provides evidence of the ability to undertake the proposed work, including REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	N/A	Pass / Fail	3	The proposal demonstrates sufficient prior experience/provenance of the applicant's organisation and/or Principal Investigator in the relevant technical	20	0, 3, 7, 10				
Serial	Question	Weighting	Score																		
1	The proposal provides a clear and unambiguous statement which meets the technical requirements of the relevant Lot(s) in the Statement of Requirement.	N/A	Pass / Fail																		
2	The proposal provides evidence of the ability to undertake the proposed work, including REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	N/A	Pass / Fail																		
3	The proposal demonstrates sufficient prior experience/provenance of the applicant's organisation and/or Principal Investigator in the relevant technical	20	0, 3, 7, 10																		

		fields at an internationally publishable standard or any other equivalent indication of skills and knowledge.		
	4	The proposal is based on and demonstrates a suitable level of novelty/innovation, REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION as appropriate to the Lot.	20	0, 3, 7, 10
	5	The proposal demonstrates REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	20	0, 3, 7, 10
	6	The proposal demonstrates a good probability of successful completion of the work.	20	0, 3, 7, 10
	7	The proposal demonstrates a REDACTED UNDER FOI EXEMPTION	20	0, 3, 7, 10

The technical questions shall be assessed and marked using the following scoring definitions

Definition	Characteristics	Score
Excellent	The response addresses all elements of the requirement, and provides a comprehensive, unambiguous and thorough explanation of how the requirement will be fulfilled.	10
Good	The response addresses all of the elements of the requirement and provides sufficient detail and explanation of how the requirement will be fulfilled.	7
Adequate	The response addresses the majority of elements of the requirement but is weak in some areas and does not fully detail or explain how the requirement will be fulfilled.	3
Inadequate	The response does not address or explain how the requirement will be fulfilled and fails to demonstrate the ability to meet the requirement.	0

Under the Technical Evaluation the maximum available weighted score is 1000, under the VFM Index this score (Non-Cost) shall be divided by the cost of your proposal to generated the Vfm Index Score.

.2.3	Commercial Evaluation Criteria
------	--------------------------------

The commercial evaluation shall be assessed against the following Pass / Fail questions. Please note, a fail against any of the commercial questions will result in your proposal not being considered for Technical Evaluation.

Please note: the bidder must propose all research workers and if successful we will be asked to complete the Research Worker Form for all individuals associated with the ensuing contract.

Serial	Question	Score
1	The proposal has been submitted as a firm i.e. non-variable price for the proposed two year duration (except if a PhD is being offered as a solution, in which case it should be priced for the entire proposed duration of the PhD topic)	Pass / Fail
2	The Tenderers proposal includes a completed RCloud Part C Task Response Form	Pass / Fail
3	The proposal prices do not exceed the R Cloud Rates submitted upon application and acceptance.	Pass / Fail
4	Cyber Security - the Tenderer has completed a Supplier Assurance Questionnaire demonstrating their compliance with the LOW Cyber Security Controls detailed in DEF STAN 05-138. If the Tenderer is unable to demonstrate compliance at ITT return, then the Tenderer must provide a draft Cyber Implementation Plan (CIP) detailing the steps they will take to meet the Controls.	Pass / Fail
5	The Supplier submits a priced Commercial proposal (Qty 1) and an unpriced Technical Proposal (Qty 1).	Pass / Fail
6	The proposal accepts the Additional Terms and Conditions laid out in R1000167337_RCloud_Tasking_Form_Part A-Task_Overview	Pass / Fail