**Request for Information**

**Supplier and stakeholder feedback**

This Request for Information (RFI) aims to gather intelligence to support development of a statement of requirements for an evaluation of the Help to Buy policy.

It aims to understand the views of organisations or individuals interested in tendering for this opportunity, as well as the views of organisations and/or individuals not interested in bidding but with knowledge, insight and/or interest in the Help to Buy policy.

**Please only respond to those points on which you feel you/ your organisation can inform DLUHC’s insight** - do not feel compelled to respond to every point.

To ensure all potential suppliers have equal access to information, insight provided in response to this RFI may be included within future tender documentation. Any information published will not be attributed to specific respondents.

If an organisation or individual wishes to provide insight to DLUHC but does not wish their answers, or specific parts of their answers, to be included within published documentation (for example, because they involve commercially sensitive information or intellectual property), then the potential supplier must state this in their email and provide justification for this.

If DLUHC does not consider that there is sufficient justification for keeping this information confidential, the organisation or individual will be invited to decide whether the information can in fact be published or whether it wishes to withdraw the information.

DLUHC appreciates that potential suppliers wishing to bid for this opportunity may not wish to disclose all thoughts and ideas at this stage – **any insight provided will be appreciated**.

|  |
| --- |
| Name / job title  |
|  |
| Organisation / sector |
|  |
| Email |
|  |
| Are you responding to this RFI as a potential bidder? If not – in what capacity or interest are you responding? |
|  |
| **For potential bidders:** Would you like to receive further updates on this procurement? |
|  |
| What are your views on the proposed scope of the evaluation as set out within this document?Do you agree with the content included? Are there any changes you would make?Is there anything not included you think should be? |
|  |
| What are your views on DLUHC’s current thinking on the primary research, as set out in the table on page 3?Do you agree with DLUHC's current thoughts on the 2024 methodology? Do you have any alternative suggestions?What are your views on the evaluation coverage (i.e. evaluating whole of policy (2013-2023) versus evaluating period since previous evaluation only (2017-2023)) |
|  |
| As noted, DLUHC is keen to explore whether the final evaluation could include more extensive analysis and modelling on both the additionality of the scheme and on any price impacts of the scheme.Do you have suggestions for ways in which this evaluation could develop a more comprehensive understanding of these areas, and are there specific types of analysis or modelling you think DLUHC should require or suggest within its specification? |
|  |
|  It is critical DLUHC balances the need to conduct a robust evaluation with the need to spend public money carefully.In that regard, could you please share any views you have on what should be prioritised, versus what could be deprioritised, in terms of this evaluation’s scope and methodology?  |
|  |
| Finally, do you have any other views or comments on the Help to Buy evaluation that you would like to share? |
|  |