Sheet No:1		Nai	ne: G. Murphy	Project No	: 24060							
Rev: B – 23.09.24 A – 13.09.24				Dat	e: Sept 2024	Project: Pe Brief Desc Alterations new cranes to support t	enzance l cription: to existin internall wo addit	Dry Dock, Penzance ng steelwork frame to accommoda y and provision of new foundation ional cranes externally.	te s	ONSI	J L T I N G	
Activity, Design. Describe including drg Nos etc			1. Nos etc	Haz Outli as a 1	zard / <i>Risk</i> ne what the potential to cause harm esult of column 1	Initial Risk Rating	Actions by Designer to reduce risk a) Is the risk rating >1 If yes consider the need to reduce risk b) Can the risk be avoided or reduced by changing the design c) If the final risk rating is still >1 then explain why and what others need to do to minimise the risk			Final Risk Rating See matrix. If >1 info reqd for File / Plan	Is the final Risk Rating 2 or 3 If so, in what form has the information been Sent to PS Responsible Party:	
Site Set-up / Working in close proximity to main high street with public highway and pedestrians. Working in close proximity to harbour/foreshore Access / Egress to the site.			e in and e re to	1.Da gen clos 2.Po ope nois from 3.Co tide with elev Mar mac Deli equ	anger of access to site by eral public with building in e proximity. ossibility of occupants and ratives being exposed to e, dust and debris arising n works. ontractor to be familiar with times and sea conditions works required to rear <u>ation and sea wall.</u> euverability of plant/heavy hinery in and out of site. very of materials and ipment.	Ser. = M Lik. = M Risk = 2 Ser. = M Lik. = M Risk = 2	Beyond designer's scope to reduce this risk; inherent with the realization of the works. Contractor to ensure site is secure and provide additional barriers and protection to localized areas of work if deemed necessary. Contractor to prepare method of working and sequencing of proposals. Responsibility of Contractor to ensure adequate means by which risk can be acceptably reduced - achievable through adoption of good site practices Contractor to put in place a site management plan to co-ordinate maneuvering of plant and delivery of materials in and out of site, maintaining communication with adjacent site users and neighboring properties.			1	Contractor	
		Н	М	L	SEVERITY		<u> </u>	LIKELYHOOD	RISK	C RATING		
ΥY	Н	3	3	2	H – Fatality, majo disability	r injury causing l	ong term	H – Certain or near certain	3 – H	ligh risk- Action req	uired	
M 3 2			2	1	M – Injury or illne disability	ess causing short t	term M – Reasonably likely 2 – 1		2 – N	2 – Medium risk – Action required unless good reason		
É L 2 1 1			1	L – Other injury or illness			L - Very seldom or never $1 - Low risk - No action required$			required		



Sheet No:2				Nai	me: G. Murphy	Project No): 24060				
Rev: B – 23.09.24 A – 13.09.24				Dat	te: Sept 2024	Project: Pe Brief Desc Alterations new cranes to support t	enzance i cription: to existii internali two addit	Dry Dock, Penzance ng steelwork frame to accomme y and provision of new founda ional cranes externally.	odate tions	O N S I	J L T I N G
Activity, Design. Describe including drg Nos etc				Haz Outli as a 1	zard / <i>Risk</i> ine what the potential to cause harm result of column 1	Initial Risk Rating	Actions by Designer to reduce risk a) Is the risk rating >1 If yes consider the need to reduce risk b) Can the risk be avoided or reduced by changing the design c) If the final risk rating is still >1 then explain why and what others need to do to minimise the risk			Final Risk Rating See matrix. If >1 info reqd for File / Plan	Is the final Risk Rating 2 or 3 If so, in what form has the information been Sent to PS
Exca MBA 2406	avatio \ Drgs 60-10-	ns: : 12,30		Pos exis exca	sibility of encountering ting services during avation works.	Ser. = H Lik. =M Risk = 2	 Prior to any excavation, contractor to carry out comprehensive services sweep; to be advised by Client of any known services in the area. A utility survey is to be carried out if one has not been provided. Contractor to adopt established safe working practices in respect of excavation to safely determine services locations prior to commencement of any excavation work, refer to HSE HS(G) 47 Avoiding danger from underground services, L22 Safe use of work equipment PUWER 			1	Contractor
Excavations: MBA Drgs: 24060-10-12,30				Dee 1.2r four falls of o plan	p, widespread excavations > n in depth provided to ndations. Danger of operative into open excavations. Risk verhead falling objects or nt items.	Ser. = H Lik. = L Risk= 2	Contractor to ensure adequate enclosure and identification of hazardous works areas and to adopt safe, established work practices. Refer to HSE CIS8 Safety in excavations and HS Health and Safety in construction, 2001. Beyond designer's scope to reduce the risk; inherent with the project realization- the depth of				
		Н	М	L	SEVERITY			LIKELYHOOD	RISK	RATING	
ΥΥ	Н	3	3	2	H – Fatality, majo disability	r injury causing l	ong term	H – Certain or near certain	3 – H	igh risk- Action req	uired
VERI	М	3	2	1	M – Injury or illne disability	ess causing short t	term	M – Reasonably likely	2 – M	Iedium risk – Actior good i	n required unless reason
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							ow risk – No action	required			

Shee	et No:	3		Na	me: G. Murphy	Project No	o: 24060				
Rev: B – 23.09.24 A – 13.09.24				Dat	te: Sept 2024	Project: Pe Brief Desc Alterations new cranes to support t	enzance Dry Dock, Penzance cription: to existing steelwork frame to accommodate internally and provision of new foundations two additional cranes externally.	С	ONSU	JLTING	
Activity, Design. Describe including drg Nos etc				Haz Outli as a 1	zard / <i>Risk</i> ine what the potential to cause harm result of column 1	Initial Risk Rating	Actions by Designer to reduce risk a) Is the risk rating >1 If yes consider the need to reduce risk b) Can the risk be avoided or reduced by changing the design c) If the final risk rating is still >1 then explain why and what others need to do to minimise the risk	¢ n at	Final Risk Rating See matrix. If >1 info reqd for File / Plan	Is the final Risk Rating 2 or 3 If so, in what form has the information been Sent to PS Responsible Party:	
							possible, although where excavations exceed 1.20m, side support should be provided to excavations which appear unstable.				
							Contractor to refer to Karn Geo site investigation report (ref: 24093, July '24)	1	1	Contractor	
Excavations cont.: MBA Drgs: 24060-10-12,30			nt.:	Exc be o to d	avations and piling works to carried out in close proximity ock edge.	Ser. = H Lik. = L Risk= 2	Contractor to review existing edge protection and satisfy themselves on the condition of the structures and to adopt safe, established work practices designing temporary works.	d	1	Contractor	
Excavations cont.: MBA Drgs: 24060-10-12-30			nt.:	Exc be o to d	avations and piling works to carried out in close proximity ock edge.	Ser. = H Lik. = L Risk= 2	Contractor to record condition of dock wall before starting on site and monitor during proposed wor	e rks.			
							Piling contractor to review site constraints and position piling rig to limit loading to dock wall.		1	Contractor	
		Н	М	L	SEVERITY	1	LIKELYHOOD	RISK I	RATING	1	
ΤΥ	Н	3	3	2	H – Fatality, majo disability	r injury causing l	injury causing long term H – Certain or near certain		3 – High risk- Action required		
VERI	М	3	2	1	M – Injury or illne disability	ess causing short	term M – Reasonably likely	2 – Medium risk – Action required unless good reason			
SE	L	2	1	1	L – Other injury o	illness L – Very seldom or never		1 – Low risk – No action required			

LIKELYHOOD Z:\24000\24060 Penzance Dry Dock\MBA\DRA\24060 Penzance Dry Dock, Penzance - MBA Designers Risk Assessment REV B 23.09.24.doc

Sheet No:4 Name: G. Murphy				me: G. Murphy	Project No): 24060						
Rev: B – 23.09.24 A – 13.09.24				Dat	te: Sept 2024	Project: Pe Brief Desc Alterations new cranes to support t	enzance cription to existin internal two addit	Dry Dock, Penzance ng steelwork frame to acco ly and provision of new fou tional cranes externally.	mmodate ndations	O N S I	J L T I N G	
Activity, Design. Describe including drg Nos etc				Haz Outli as a r	zard / <i>Risk</i> ine what the potential to cause harm result of column 1	Initial Risk Rating	Actions by Designer to reduce risk a) Is the risk rating >1 If yes consider the need to reduce risk b) Can the risk be avoided or reduced by changing the design c) If the final risk rating is still >1 then explain why and what others need to do to minimise the risk			Final Risk Rating See matrix. If >1 info reqd for File / Plan	Is the final Risk Rating 2 or 3 If so, in what form has the information been Sent to PS Responsible Party:	
<i>Excavations cont.:</i> <i>Karn Geo Investigation</i> <i>Report, (ref: 24093,</i> <i>July '24)</i>				Pos beir con	sibility of site operatives og exposed to sources of site tamination.	Ser. = M Lik. = M Risk = 2	 Refer to Karn Geo site investigation report (ref: 24093, July '24) If any sources of contamination are exposed, Geotechnical Engineer to be contacted to carry of any additional testing required to verify hazard. Contractor to put in place all necessary procedure 					
				_			to ensu	re safe working conditions fo	r site workers.	1	Contractor	
<i>Excavations cont.:</i> <i>Karn Geo Investigation</i> <i>Report, (ref: 24093,</i> <i>July '24)</i>			nt.: igation 93,	Pos duri	sibility of encountering UXO ng substructure works.	Ser. = M Lik. = M Risk = 2	Propos contarr	o Karn Geo site investigation July '24) ed pile locations to be survey ination prior to commenceme	report (ref: ved for UXO ent of piling.	1	Contractor	
<i>Excavations cont.:</i> Karn Geo Investigation Report, (ref: 24093, July '24)				Pos beir Mac	sibility of site operatives ng exposed to Asbestos in de Ground.	Ser. = M Lik. = M Risk = 2	Ser. = M The contractor should review the asbestos regist and prior to any excavations, investigative, demolition or refurbishment works and adopt safe working practices in respect to encountering suspected Asbestos. Operatives should have necessary awareness of Asbestos. Refer to Geotechnical Engineers report for infermareas of Asbestos and refer to report for outlined			1	Contractor	
		Н	М	L	SEVERITY		<i>p</i>	LIKELYHOOD	RISK	RATING		
Y	Н	3	3	2	H – Fatality, majo	or injury causing l	ong term	H – Certain or near certain	3 – H	ligh risk- Action req	uired	
ERIT	М	3	2	1	M – Injury or illno disability	ess causing short t	term	M – Reasonably likely	2 - N	2 – Medium risk – Action required unless		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						1 – L	good reason 1 – Low risk – No action required					

LIKELYHOOD Z:\24000\24060 Penzance Dry Dock\MBA\DRA\24060 Penzance Dry Dock, Penzance - MBA Designers Risk Assessment REV B 23.09.24.doc

Sheet No:5				Na	me: G. Murphy	Project No): 24060					
Rev: B – 23.09.24 A – 13.09.24				Dat	te: Sept 2024	Project: Pe Brief Desc Alterations new cranes to support t	enzance i cription: to existii internali two addit	Dry Dock, Penzance ng steelwork frame to acco y and provision of new fou ional cranes externally.	mmodate Indations	CONS		
Activity, Design. Describe including drg Nos etc				Haz Outli as a 1	zard / <i>Risk</i> ne what the potential to cause harm result of column 1	Initial Risk Rating	Actions by Designer to reduce risk a) Is the risk rating >1 If yes consider the need to reduce risk b) Can the risk be avoided or reduced by changing the design c) If the final risk rating is still >1 then explain why and what others need to do to minimise the risk			Final Risk Rating See matrix. If >1 info reqd for File / Plan	Is the final Risk Rating 2 or 3 If so, in what form has the information been Sent to PS Responsible Party:	
Excavations cont.:				Enc und feat	ountering existing erground obstructions and ures	Ser. = L Lik. = H Risk = 2	Historical drawings show a variety of different structures and layouts in the vicinity of the dock. Therefore a generous allowance should be made for encountering features and obstructions during any piling or other substructure works. Re-working of the design may be required.					
						Probing at the proposed pile positions is recommended ahead of the works.				1	Contractor	
Temporary stability of steelwork framing: General			ility ming:	Coll seve load	apse during high winds / ere weather or accidental Is due to site traffic.	Ser. = HBeyond designers scope to reduce this risk; inherent with the project realization. Contractor to ensure adequate stability of all structural elemen during construction phase. Temporary bracing to be used to ensure stability.			this risk; Contractor to ctural elements ary bracing to	1	Contractor	
Temporary stability of steelwork framing: General			ility ming:	Coll insta exis	apse due to temporary ability during demolition of ting framing.	Ser. = H Lik. = L Risk = 2	Contractor to ensure adequate stability of all structural elements during construction phase. MBA have developed an outline sequence of construction to assist the contractor in developing their proposed sequencing.			1		
											Contractor	
		Н	М	L	SEVERITY			LIKELYHOOD	RIS	K RATING		
ΥŢ	Н	3	3	2	H – Fatality, majo disability	r injury causing l	ong term	H – Certain or near certain	3 –	 3 – High risk- Action required 2 – Medium risk – Action required unless good reason 		
/ERI	М	3	2	1	M – Injury or illno disability	ess causing short t	term	M – Reasonably likely	2 - 1			
SEV	L	2	1	1	L – Other injury o	r illness	L – Very seldom or never 1 –		l – Low risk – No action required			

LIKELYHOOD Z:\24000\24060 Penzance Dry Dock\MBA\DRA\24060 Penzance Dry Dock, Penzance - MBA Designers Risk Assessment REV B 23.09.24.doc

Sheet No:6	Name: G. Murphy	Project No	o: 24060	RЛ		
Rev: B – 23.09.24 A – 13.09.24	Date: Sept 2024	Project: Pe Brief Desc Alterations new cranes to support t	enzance Dry Dock, Penzance cription: to existing steelwork frame to accommodate internally and provision of new foundations two additional cranes externally.			
Activity, Design. Describe including drg Nos etc	Hazard / <i>Risk</i> Outline what the potential to cause harm as a result of column 1	Initial Risk Rating	Actions by Designer to reduce risk a) Is the risk rating >1 If yes consider the need to reduce risk b) Can the risk be avoided or reduced by changing the design c) If the final risk rating is still >1 then explain why and what others need to do to minimise the risk	Final Risk Rating See matrix. If >1 info reqd for File / Plan	Is the final Risk Rating 2 or 3 If so, in what form has the information been Sent to PS	
<i>Existing Structural</i> <i>Elements:</i> <i>General</i>	Collapse/failure of existing structural elements.	Ser. = H Lik. = L Risk = 2	Contractor to expose full extent of existing structure in the areas of the proposed works to ensure the structural elements are stable and in sound	re	Responsible Party:	
			condition.	1	Contractor	
Post Construction: MBA Drg: General	Safe working space for general maintenance of building and	Ser. = M Lik. = L	Contractor, Architect and M&E consultants' responsibility to ensure this is provided following			
	maintenance and replacement of mechanical services etc.	Risk = 1	receipt of structural information.	1	Contractor/Architect/ M&E Consultants	

