Required SpeedKts	Observations	Notes	
Speed achieved (Knots)			
Craft response to helm commands	Satisfactory Yes □ / No □		
Craft control during the turns	Satisfactory Yes □ / No □		
Craft stability during the turn	Satisfactory Yes □ / No □		
Any overshoot noticed during the turn	Yes □ / No □		
Craft ability to take up a new course quickly	Satisfactory Yes □ / No □		
Any hunting noticed when taking up the new course	Yes □ / No □		
General trial o	bservations		
Did any mechanical defects or alarms occur during the trial?	Yes □ / No □		
Was the craft stable as it accelerated?			

General trial observa	tions
Did any mechanical defects or alarms occur during the trial?	Yes □ / No □
Was the craft stable as it accelerated?	Yes □ / No □
Was the craft stable on a straight-line transit?	Yes □ / No □
Was the craft stable as it de-accelerated?	Yes □ / No □
Were any of the following conditions observed during the trial: chine walking, craft lol, proposing/ nose diving, excessive slamming, poor trim?	Yes □ / No □
Was the craft responsive and controllable during the course changes?	Yes □ / No □
Was the coxswain able to trim the craft as required for craft performance?	Yes □ / No □
Was the craft easily controlled by the coxswain without need for significant input of control?	Yes □ / No □
Were the craft controls and their positions suitable for the coxswain?	Yes □ / No □
Was the craft considered noisy during the trial	Yes □ / No □
Were there any WBV issues observed during the trial?	Yes □ / No □
Detail any observations.	

	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Notes
Craft Stability			
Craft course keeping			
Craft manoeuvrability			<u> </u>
Craft speed performance			
Craft acceleration			
Craft ability to stop			
Sea Keeping			

Survey and Trials form 2A		Endurance And Fuel Consumption Trial V 1.0							
Boat Type:			Boat No	umber:			Trial I	Date:	1000
Trials Loca	ition:	= 4							
Sea State:		Wind Fo			Air Tempe	rature	Sea (°C):	Temperature	
Craft loade condition:	Craft loaded Weight of craft (Kg):			(Kg):	Ballast added (Kg): Type of ballast: Fuel (Itr):				
Time trial s	started:				Time t	rial finished:			
to its plann hour. Read Endurance Craft Plan Craft rang	ing speedings are and Ra ining sp	ed as give to be tainge of the eed / Er	en in th ken eve ne craft nduran	e BR. T ery 15 m to be ca	he craft inutes. Iculated	perature. The is to maintain Fuel consument. I. iven in the E	n its pla ption is	nning s to be r	speed for 1 ecorded,
Required speed:	Kts	s	Time Record						
Engine 1 = Sin Port Engine. Engine 2 = STI		Control of the Control of the Control	start at idle)	T1 (15	Smin)	T2 (30min)	T3 (4	5min)	(T4 - 60min)
Actual average achieved (knot									
	RPM								
	Oil								
Engine 1	(BAR) FW				B.L.				
	Temp				100				86
	(°C)								
	RPM								
Engine 2	(BAR)								
Engine 2	FW Temp (°C)			,					
Fuel	litres								
Distance	nm								

covered

Average speed achieved: F knots	uel used in one h	our: _ltr	Distance covered in one hour:	nm	
				 ,	
The craft fuel tanks hold	ltr		,		
From the data recorded the nm/lt	of the craft was:	nm	n/ltr		
For a craft with full fuel tanks this	would give the cr	aft a Range	ofnm.		
From the data recorded the craft				•	
For a craft with full fuel tanks this			•		
•				•	
Are these figures compliant with t	ne craπ BR – Ye	S⊠/NO□			
	Seneral trial obs	ervations			
Did any mechanical defects or a during the trial?	larms occur		Yes □ / No □	`	
Was the craft stable as it accele	rated?		Yes □ / No □		
Was the craft stable on a straigh	nt-line transit?		Yes □ / No □	•	
Was the craft stable as it de-acc	elerated?	·	Yes □ / No □		
Were any of the following condi- observed during the trial: <i>chine</i> lol, proposing/ nose diving, excess slamming, poor trim?	walking, craft	-	Yes □ / No □		
Was the craft responsive and coduring the course changes?	ontrollable	<u>.</u>	Yes □ / No □		
Was the coxswain able to trim t required for craft performance?	ne craft as	Yes □ / No □			
Was the craft easily controlled to coxswain without need for signicontrol?	by the ficant input of		Yes □ / No □		
Were the craft controls and the suitable for the coxswain?	r positions		Yes □ / No □	ţ	
Was the craft considered noisy	during the	·-	Yes □ / No □		
trial Were there any WBV issues ob	served during		Yes □ / No □	 ,	
the trial?		· ·	163 [] 110 []		
Detail any observations.	,	•			

Observation Summary of Craft Performance During the Trial								
	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Notes					
Craft Stability								
Craft course keeping								
Craft manoeuvrability			The state of the s					
Craft speed performance								
Craft acceleration								
Craft ability to stop								
Sea Keeping								

Survey and Trials form 2A	8 95 emp.		Sea	Keepi	nġ	a' e 6. P v g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g	V 1.0 16/10/23
Boat Type:	1	Boat Nu	mber:			Trial D	Date:
Trials Location:		·					
Sea State:	Wind F	orce:	Wind Directi	on:	Air Temper (°C):	ature	Sea Temperature: (°C):
Craft loaded condition:	Weight	of craft (Kg):	Ballast a Type of	added (Kg): ballast:		Fuel (ltr):
				,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Time trial started:		·	` ,	Time tria	al finished:		
	Direction of sea/s	id a			Direction of sea/	tide 	
	Wave buoy	Craft				raft ,	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Fig 1	<i></i>			Wave buoy Fig 2	<u> </u>	

A trials wave buoy should preferably be employed to record sea data. If one is not available, then the nearest fixed national wave buoy should be used. Various sea keeping trials courses are promoted, 2 options are displayed above. Selection is dependent on trial area used and craft. All courses contain a run in to sea, a run with the sea, a run into the sea at 45°, a run with the sea at 45° and a run parallel to the sea. Each leg should be conducted for 5 minutes for Fig 1 and 10 minutes for Fig 2. The performance of the craft during the turn is to be monitored.

Provided the sea state is within the operating boundaries of the craft, the trial should be conducted at the maximum planning speed for the craft. However, depending on the sea conditions it is the responsibility of the coxswain to moderate the craft speed to the safest speed for the craft and passengers.

Required	A THE SHALL		Trial Legs	A 10	de grande en
SpeedKts	Into sea	With the sea	Into the sea at 45	With the sea at 45	Parallel to the sea
Speed achieved (Kts)					·
Craft stability	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
	Yes □ / No □	Yes □ / No □	Yes □ / No □	Yes □ / No □	Yes □ / No □
Craft course keeping	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Şatisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
	Yes □ / No □	Yes □ / No □	Yes □ / No □	Yes □ / No □	Yes □ / No □
Craft manoeuvrability	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
	Yes □ / No □	Yes □ / No □	Yes □ / No □	Yes □ / No □	Yes □ / No □
Sea keeping	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
	Yes □ / No □	Yes □ / No □	Yes □ / No □	Yes □ / No □	Yes □ / No □

General trial of	bservations
Did any mechanical defects or alarms occur during the trial?	Yes □ / No □
Was the craft stable as it accelerated?	Yes □ / No □
Was the craft stable on a straight-line transit?	Yes 🗆 / No 🗆
Was the craft stable as it de-accelerated?	Yes □ / No □
Were any of the following conditions observed during the trial: chine walking, craft lol, proposing/ nose diving, excessive slamming, poor trim?	Yes □ / No □
Was the craft responsive and controllable during the course changes?	Yes □ / No □
Was the coxswain able to trim the craft as required for craft performance?	Yes □ / No □
Was the craft easily controlled by the coxswain without need for significant input of control?	Yes □ / No □
Were the craft controls and their positions suitable for the coxswain?	Yes □ / No □
Was the craft considered noisy during the trial	Yes □ / No □
Were there any WBV issues observed during the trial?	Yes □ / No □
Detail any observations.	

Observation Summary of Craft Performance During the Trial									
	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Notes						
Craft Stability									
Craft course keeping									
Craft manoeuvrability									
Craft speed performance									
Craft acceleration									
Craft ability to stop									
Sea Keeping									

Survey and Trials form 2A		Во	llard P	ull			V 1.0 16/10/23
Boat Type:	Boat Nu	ımber:			Trial [)ate: 	<u>.</u>
Trials Location:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· .
Sea State:	Wind Force:	Wind Directi	ion:	Air Tempera	ature	(°C):	nperature:
Craft loaded condition:	Weight of craft (Kg):		Ballast added (Kg): Type of ballast:			Fuel (ltr):	
Time trial started:			Time tri	al finished:		. ,	
		• Bolla	Load E	Craft		· ;	

This trial is only for craft which have undergone with changes to new engines, gear boxes or propulsion units or concern that existing power trains are not producing the required thrust.

This trial requires the use of a load cell.

Craft engines are to be run in and at operating temperature. The craft is to slowly take up the slack of the stop with the load cell. The craft is to then apply the RPMs as given in the table below.

(The RPM used below are indicative and may be changed to meet the actual engines parameters)

Engine 1 = Si Port Engine.		Engine 1 (RPM)								
Engine 2 = STBD Engine		1500	2000	2500	3000	3500	MAX			
Load	kg									
	Engine 2 (RPM)									
		1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500			
Load	kg				,		·			
			4 (Engine 1	& 2 (RPM)	,				
		1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500			
Load	kg	-				'	. •			

Survey and Trials Ove form 2A			view Of Trials		. /		V 1.0 16/10/23	
Boat Type:		Boat Num	Boat Number:		Trial Date:			
		Trial	s Cond	ucted				
Trial 1 Speed Trial Yes □ / No □								
Trial 2. Acceleration					Yes □ / No □			
Trial 3. Emergency Stopping					Yes □ / No □			
Trial 4. Turning Circles					Yes □ / No □			
Trial 5. Zig Zag				Yes □ / No □				
Trial 4. Endurance and Fuel Consumption					Yes □ / No □			
Trial 5. Sea Keeping				Yes □ / No □				
Trial 6. Boll		Yes □ / No □						
Craft Performance								
		Satisfactory	Sat	Not Satisfactory		Notes		
Craft Stability								
Craft course	Craft course keeping							
Craft manoeuvrability					·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Craft speed performance						·		
Craft acceleration								
Craft ability to stop						<u> </u>		
Sea Keeping								
			Defec	ts				
Detail any de	efects noted	during the trial:	,					
					1			
, ,							•	
,								
Declaration								
contained i	is a true rec	ompleted the trials ord of the Boats p vorthy condition fo	erforma	ance on the	date snov	vn. The cr	formation aft <u>is /is</u>	
Trial witnessed by Contractor representative Signature Company Date								
		Name			ompany			
Trial witnessed by Authority representative								
		Name			ection	Date		
	,			. •		,		