General Note:

During the design stages of a project, designers are required to maintain a "Hazard Elimination Checklist" (part B of this document). The 'checklist' records the various significant (high risk) hazards identified by the designer(s) and, were they have been able, details of how they have been eliminated.

It is recognised that not every hazard can be 'designed out' and therefore the checklist will also be used to record the residual risks of which the designer(s) are aware.

The checklist provides an audit trail of the design process and may also be used as evidence in the event that a designer is required to defend his or her actions in any HSE investigation.

Copies of parts A and B should be passed to all members of the project team, especially the Principal Designer. Reference must also be made to GG104 Requirements for safety risk assessment.

Part A: Designer's Hazard Checklist

Project Title:	A30 Woodleigh Onslip EB MP 178.9-1	79.3 RS	Kier Highways Job No.:	570122
Survey Scope of Works – Cores To undertake 3 no. of Cores to determine existing marker testing to confirm the likelihood of the prese For locations, please refer to the following VCS Pla • A30 Woodleigh Onslip - Defect Treatment • A30 Woodleigh Onslip EB MP 178.9 - 179 PLEASE NOTE, THIS DOCUMENT COVERS THE			sence of Tar Bound Mate Plans: nt Plan '9.3 RS - Core Schedule	rials (TBM).
Design Discipline:	gn Discipline: Surveys / Feasibility Stage / Pavement / Cores			
Project Type as deteri	mined by GG104 (if applicable)	Α	Prepared By:	

Notes:

- 1. This section of the document includes a list of potential hazards pertaining to a wide range of situations which may occur across Kier Highways' activities. Where particular categories do not ordinarily affect the scheme.
- 2. An individual item or a whole section (by ticking the heading) can be noted as not applicable showing you have considered the hazard area and judged it to be not applicable.
- 3. The list of potential hazards is not exhaustive, and all sections can be added to, or additional sections added, as required. Reference to the Approved Code of Practice may be helpful.
- 4. All items considered by the designer as having a potential high risk must be addressed on the 'Hazard Elimination Management Schedule'. Low risk activities can also be included if considered appropriate.
- 5. Consideration must be given to all populations that may be affected as follows -

Population 1 – People directly employed by the Client and who work on the site e.g. Traffic Officers. Population 2 – People in a contractual relationship with the client.	'Workers'
Population 3 – Other parties, including road users, the police and emergency services and non-motorised 'Users' such as equestrians, cyclists and pedestrians, as well as those others not in a contractual relationship with the client, such as privately contracted vehicle recovery and vehicle repair providers.	'Users'
Population 4 – Third parties includes any person or persons who could be affected by the works, but who are neither using it, nor working on it, i.e. living or working adjacent to the site.	'Other Parties'



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 1 of 12	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094			
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018	Version: 1.0			
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until: April 2021					



	Potential Hazards Arising From:	Risk (without designer's elimination / management measures)			0	
Ref:		Not Applicable	Low- NO Action Required	High – Action NEEDED	Comments	
1.	Existing Environment					
1.1	Existing buildings	X				
1.2	Previous / existing land / structures	X				
1.3	Roadways		X			
1.4	Railways	X				
1.5	Water course	X				
1.6	Ground conditions:	X				
	Contamination					
	Ground water					
	Instability					
	Mineral / mine workings					
1.7	Access restrictions		Х		Access to residential properties needs to be maintained.	
1.8	Adjacent properties		Х		Potential noise disturbance for all properties within 100m of the survey works.	
1.9	Concurrent site activities	7×>			No information has been supplied by the client about any proposed concurrent site activities.	
1.10	Interface with the public		X			
1.11	Occupied premises		Х			
1.12	Structural instability	Х				
1.13	Fragile materials	Х				
1.14	Hazardous materials		×	1/4	Historic core log information indicate that TBM material is unlikely to be present within this section of carriageway.	
1.15	Land use		×		Mainly agricultural, some adjacent properties.	
1.16	Traffic		X		A30 Live carriageway throughout scheme extents.	
2.	Existing Services					
2.1	Underground					
	Electrical		X		Not Affected by Core Sampling. However The location of all services must	



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 2 of 12	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094			
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018	Version: 1.0			
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until: April 2021					



	Potential Hazards Arising From:		vithout desiç tion / manag measures)	•	
Ref:		Not Applicable	Low- NO Action Required	High – Action NEEDED	Comments
					be checked prior to undertaking any survey works.
	• Gas		X		Unknown Gas located within the scheme extents. However, these are not affected by the survey works.
	Water (Asbestos pipes?)		X		Located within scheme extents. However, these are not affected by the survey works. Not Affected by Core
	Telecommunications	X			Sampling.
2.2	Overhead Services				
	Electrical			X	Located within scheme extents. The location of overhead services to be clearly identified on site prior to undertaking works. However, these are not affected by the survey works.
	Telecommunications	X			Not Affected by Core Sampling.
3.	Earthworks				
3.1	Deep excavations	X			
3.2	Slope / ground stability	X		<i>A</i> .	
3.3	Ground water / water courses	X			
3.4	Plant movements	X		< 1	
3.5	Interface with services (refer 2)			X	Survey will interfere with existing services. The location of all services must be confirmed with the relevant service provider prior to undertaking any survey works.
3.6	Contamination (ground / water) (refer 1.6)	X			
3.7	Adjacent structures (refer 1.8)	Χ			
4.	Foundations	X			
4.1	Adjacent buildings/structures				



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 3 of 12	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094			
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018	Version: 1.0			
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until: April 2021					



Potential Hazards Arising From:		eliminat	vithout desiç tion / manag measures)		
Ref:		Not Applicable	Low- NO Action Required	High – Action NEEDED	Comments
4.2	Deep excavations				
4.3	Plant movements				
4.4	Interface with services				
4.5	Contamination (ground / water)				
4.6	Ground water				
4.7	Confined spaces				
4.8	Piling:				
	Noise				
	Vibration				
	Contamination				
	Plant		•		
4.9	Grouting:				
	Drilling work				
	Dust				
	Pollution				
4.10	Stability of structure				
	Clability of directars				
5.	Services Installation	X			
5.1	Excavations	7			
5.2	Ground water				
5.3	Ground conditions				
5.4	Existing services				
5.5	Testing operations				
5.6	Lifting operations	(
5.7	Adjacent structures / activities				
5.8	Maintenance				
5.9	Contamination) .	
				<i>A</i> .	
6.	Drainage Works	X			
6.1	Excavations				
6.2	Ground water				
6.3	Ground conditions				
6.4	Confined spaces				
6.5	Leptospirosis / Weils disease				
6.6	Existing services (asbestos pipes?)				
6.7	Manual handling				
6.8	Lifting operations				
6.9	Maintenance				
6.10	Sewage				
6.11	Traffic				
6.12	Contamination (ground / water)				
6.13	Hepatitis B / Tetanus				
	,				



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 4 of 12	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094			
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018	Version: 1.0			
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until: April 2021					



Potential Hazards Arising From:		vithout desiç tion / manag measures)		0
	Not Applicable	Low- NO Action Required	High – Action NEEDED	Comments
agement			X	Cores within on slip, TM to be total slip closures and lane 1 closure of mainline carriageway.
affic			X	Live A30 carriageway.
n materials		Х		
vorks	X			
ructures	X			
		x		Works at night. Working adjacent to live traffic.
		x		Works at night. Working adjacent to live traffic.
n pavement		×		Historic core log information confirms that no TBM material is present within this section of carriageway
Construction	X	,		
height				
ations		-		
stability				
IS .				
quence				
e.g. paints				
ion of future maintenance				
Construction	X		~	
height				
ations				
auons				
instability				
nsioning				
<u> </u>				
ce				
obling should not be)				
obl	ing should not be	ling should not be	ling should not be	ling should not be



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 5 of 12	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094			
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018	Version: 1.0			
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until: April 2021					



	Potential Hazards Arising From:	Risk (without designer's elimination / management measures)			0
Ref:		Not Applicable	Low- NO Action Required	High – Action NEEDED	Comments
10.	Masonry Construction	X			
10.1	Manual handling				
10.2	Lifting operations				
10.3	Materials				
10.4	Temporary stability				
10.5	Working at height				
10.6	Dust				
10.7	Durability .				
10.8	Catastrophic collapse				
11.	Timber Construction	X			
11.1	Materials				
11.2	Working at height				
11.3	Temporary stability				
11.4	Lifting operations				
11.5	Manual handling				
11.6	Fire ()				
11.7	Dust	7			
12.	Cladding	X			
12.1	Lifting operations	//			
12.2	Manual handling				
12.3	Maintenance / cleaning				
13.	Glazing	Х	-// .		
13.1	Manual handling				
13.2	Lifting operations				
13.3	Cleaning / maintenance				
14.	Mechanical / Electrical Systems				
14.1	Access			1	
14.2	Existing services (asbestos?)				
14.3	Manual handling				
14.4	Materials / substances				
14.5	Confined spaces				
14.6	Pressure systems				
14.7	Testing operations				
14.8	Fixings				
14.9	Working at height				
14.1	Maintenance				
15.	Railway Activities	X	•		
15.1	Train movements		•		
15.2	Overhead lines	-	•		



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 6 of 12	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094			
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018	Version: 1.0			
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until: April 2021					



	Potential Hazards Arising From:		vithout desiç ion / manag measures)		Commonts
Ref:		Not Applicable	Low- NO Action Required	High – Action NEEDED	Comments
15.3	Electrified track				
15.4	Underground services				
15.5	Adjacent structures				
15.6	Ground stability				
15.7	Contamination				
16.	Demolition of Existing Structures	X			
16.1	Services				
16.2	Adjacent / adjoining structures				
16.3	Materials:				
	Hazardous i.e. asbestos in permanent shuttering, waterproofing to bridge decks, joints etc.				
	fragile				
16.4	Working at height				
16.5	Temporary stability				
16.6	Pre/post tensioning				
16.7	Noise				
16.8	Vibration				
16.9	Dust				
16.1 0	Effect on usage of demolition materials				
17.	Future Demolition / decommissioning of new structure / installation	х			
17.1	Unusual sequence				
17.2	Pre / post tensioned element				
17.3	Materials				
17.4	Adjacent / adjoining structure				
17.5	Temporary stability				
17.6	Contamination during usage of demolition material.			1	
18.	Maintenance and Operation of Facility / Structure etc.	X			
18.1	Access				
18.2	Safety equipment				
18.3	Testing / inspection				
18.4	Procedure				
18.5	Contamination during usage of demolition material.				
19.	Use of the structure as a workplace	X			
	Does the proposed use of the structure /				
19.1	premises include the intention for it to be				



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 7 of 12	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094			
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018	Version: 1.0			
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until: April 2021					



Business Stream Form: Highways Designer's Hazard Checklist and Risk Reduction Schedule

	Potential Hazards Arising From:	Risk (without designer's elimination / management measures)			Comments	
Ref:	Ref:		Low- NO Action Required	High – Action NEEDED	Comments	
	made available to any person as a place of work					
19.2	If yes; the design and materials used must take in to account the provisions of the Workplace (Health, Safety and Welfare) Regulations 1992					





Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 8 of 12	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094			
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018	Version: 1.0			
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until: April 2021					



Part B: Hazard Elimination Checklist

Project Title:	A30 Woodleigh Onslip EB MP 178.9-179.3 RS	Kier Highways Job No.:	570112			
Project Description:	Survey Scope of Works – Cores To undertake 5no. of Cores to determine existing Construction Layers, including PAK presence of Tar Bound Materials (TBM). For locations, please refer to the following VCS Plans: • A30 Woodleigh Onslip - Defect Treatment Plan • A30 Woodleigh Onslip EB MP 178.9 - 179.3 RS - Core Schedule PLEASE NOTE, THIS DOCUMENT COVERS THE SURVEY WORKS ONLY	marker testing to confirm the	likelihood of the			
Design Discipline:	Surveys / Feasibility Stage / Pavement / Cores					
Project Type as deter	mined by GG104 (if applicable) A Prepared By:	Checked By:				

Reviewed and approved by:



Persons at Risk: (1) Workers (2) Users (3) Other parties

Action by:

Principal Designer – Include within the H&S file

Designer – include in the pre-construction information

Principal Contractor – manage risk during the construction phase

Other designer – take into consideration when preparing their designs

Client - pass information to designers / Principal designer



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 9 of 12	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094			
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018	Version: 1.0			
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until April 2021					



Ref.	Activity	Hazard	Persons at Risk *	Design Measures taken, or being taken to eliminate or reduce the hazard	Information on the Residual Risk	Principal Designer Review	Action Req'd by:
1.	Working adjacent to / within live carriageway.	Operatives / plant struck by moving vehicles	Workers	Use of appropriate traffic management to provide safe working zone and enforce lane and/or complete closure of carriageway for duration of works or work element.		No further comment.	PC
2.	Night-time working	Operatives / plant struck by moving vehicles	Workers & users	Where HE restrictions dictate night- time working the scheme steward shall ensure adequate shielded task lighting is provided for the duration of the works and that it does not cause undue glare to residents and road users.		No further comment.	PC
3.	Traffic Management	Alignment. Risk of loss of control accidents due to temporary alignment changes leading to personal injury / fatalities to operatives and motorists.	Workers	Temporary alignment changes are necessary to install TM arrangements cannot be eliminated. The TM design will minimise the risk to road users. Speed restrictions to be in place. Advanced warning signs to be in place prior to the works. TM to be in accordance with TSM Chapter 8.		No further comment.	PC
4.	Statutory Undertakers Returns	Outdated STATS returns	Workers & users	STATS returns become outdated every 3 months. Prior to works, liaison with the relevant utility companies is again necessary to ensure a current STATS plan is produced.	<	No further comment.	PC
5.	Hazardous materials from coring operations.	Presence of coal tar.	Workers	Contractor to ensure that all workers wear appropriate PPE at all times during construction works and any tar arisings will be disposed off		No further comment.	PC



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 10 of 12	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094			
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018	Version: 1.0			
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until April 2021					



Ref.	Activity	Hazard	Persons at Risk *	Design Measures taken, or being taken to eliminate or reduce the hazard	Information on the Residual Risk	Principal Designer Review	Action Req'd by:
				to licensed tips and in line with the Company policy and the requirements for materials containing tar.			
6.	Hand Arm Vibration at Work (HAVS)	Hand Arm Vibration – risk of percussive injury to operatives.	Workers	Working hours for operatives using plant to be restricted as appropriate. Appropriate PPE to be provided and worn. A detailed method statement is to be produced by the Contractor prior to the works and routinely checked during works operations.		No further comment.	PC
7.	Dust	Exposure to excessive dust levels	Workers, Users & other parties	Operations that produce dust, shall control exposure not only for the workforce but also all possible groups and not create an environmental nuisance. Dust exposure shall be limited in line with the COSHH 2002 regulations. Where possible water suppression should be used to reduce dust and the extent of exposure to operatives.		No further comment.	PC
8.	Noise	Excessive noise levels from plant / site activities, damage to operative hearing, nuisance to residents	Workers	Proposed survey works are to be undertaken during night time. Residents are located within 100m of planned activities, hence all plant to be fitted with sound suppression devices. A detailed method statement is to be produced by the Contractor prior	1	No further comment.	PC



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 11 of 12	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094			
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018	Version: 1.0			
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until April 2021					



Ref.	Activity	Hazard	Persons at Risk *	Design Measures taken, or being taken to eliminate or reduce the hazard	Information on the Residual Risk	Principal Designer Review	Action Req'd by:
				to the works and routinely checked during works operations. All plant is to be checked prior to use.			



Authorised By: Head of SHE	Page 12 of 12	SHEMS-FOR-HIG-094
Author: Highways CDM Team	Date: October 2018	Version: 1.0
As part of our systems review, this document is valid until April 2021		

