**CLARIFICATIONS TO TEN481 DATED 27 JULY 2020**

Question 4: Are the 27 logos/companies listed on the AeroSpace Cornwall [website](https://eur03.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Faerospacecornwall.co.uk%2Fspace%2F&data=02%7C01%7Cgail.eastaugh%40cornwalldevelopmentcompany.co.uk%7C564cd36e4168468bc5d508d82fa80bc3%7Cefaa16aad1de4d58ba2e2833fdfdd29f%7C0%7C1%7C637311746082985630&sdata=6EaJH2wYzYGiGMKJhat3j%2FsQkGYOgbDXafysh%2Bbqcis%3D&reserved=0) the total number of enterprises supported to-date?

Answer 4: No, the 27 companies listed are representative of the enterprises

supported to date, but the total number is over 50.

Question 3: Is an Appendix acceptable?

Answer 3: An Appendix is acceptable, but would count towards the page limit

outlined for the relevant section

Question 2: Is it acceptable to provide a response to tender sections 8.2., 8.3, and 8.4, within a single document (better flow when reading)? I.e., *overall* page count exceeds 20 pages (just) – alternatively, separate files for 8.2 and 8.4 would be provided ensuring response to 8.3 is well within 20 page limit.

Answer 2: Either option is acceptable, providing the page limit for section 8.3

is not exceeded

Question 1: Does the 20 page limit refers to Section 8.3 only, or does it refer to the whole proposal (including covering letter)?

Answer 1: The 20 page limit applies to the proposal requested in section 8.3 only