



Invitation to Quote

Questions

Invitation to Quote (ITQ) on behalf of **UK Research and Innovation**

Subject UK SBS **FEBE Quadrupole Magnets**

Sourcing reference number **UK SBS PR19069**

Section 6 – Evaluation questionnaire

Bidders should note that the evaluation questionnaire is located within the **e-sourcing questionnaire**.

Guidance on completion of the questionnaire is available at <http://www.ukpbs.co.uk/services/procure/Pages/supplier.aspx>

PLEASE NOTE THE QUESTIONS ARE NOT NUMBERED SEQUENTIALLY

COMMERCIAL QUESTIONNAIRE

SEL1.1	Please state the full legal name and address and contact details of the organisation tendering (or organisation acting as lead contact where a consortium bid is being submitted).																								
Bidder guidance	The information should be based on the details of the organisation bidding (or organisation acting as lead contact where a consortium bid is being submitted). This is the legal entity with whom we will Contract if successful.																								
Scoring criteria	For information only																								
Bidder response	<table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">Table</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bidders full legal name</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Address line 1</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Address line 2</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Address line 3</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Address line 4</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Town / City</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Country</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Post code (or equivalent)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bidder contact</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Telephone No.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Email</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Table		Bidders full legal name		Address line 1		Address line 2		Address line 3		Address line 4		Town / City		Country		Post code (or equivalent)		Bidder contact		Telephone No.		Email	
Table																									
Bidders full legal name																									
Address line 1																									
Address line 2																									
Address line 3																									
Address line 4																									
Town / City																									
Country																									
Post code (or equivalent)																									
Bidder contact																									
Telephone No.																									
Email																									

SEL1.2	<p>In the last three years, has any finding of unlawful discrimination been made against your organisation by an Employment Tribunal, an Employment Appeal Tribunal or any other court (or in comparable proceedings in any jurisdiction other than the UK)? And/or;</p> <p>In the last three years, has your organisation had a complaint upheld following an investigation by the Equality and Human Rights Commission or its predecessors (or a comparable body in any jurisdiction other than the UK), on grounds of alleged unlawful discrimination?</p>
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes – Fail</p> <p>No – Pass</p> <p>*If you have answered “yes” please provide, as an attachment to this question, a summary of the nature of the investigation and an explanation of the outcome of the investigation to date.</p> <p>If the investigation upheld the complaint against your organisation, please use the attachment to explain what action (if any) you have taken to prevent unlawful discrimination from reoccurring.</p> <p>You may be excluded if you are unable to demonstrate to the Contracting Authority’s satisfaction that appropriate remedial action has been taken to prevent similar unlawful discrimination reoccurring.</p>
Scoring Criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Bidder response	Yes / No

SEL3.11	If you are Commercial organisation with a minimum financial turnover of £36M, please confirm that you are compliant with Section 54 of the Modern Slavery Act 2015.
Bidder guidance	The Bidder shall choose from the following options; A. N/A – our turnover is less than £36M B. Yes – information attached C. No (with justification) – we are not compliant but will be prior to commencement of a contract D. No – we are not and will not be compliant at the time of award of the contract
Scoring Criteria	Mandatory Pass/ Fail
Bidder response	Selection

FOI1.1	FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 2000 (FOI) AND / OR ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION REGULATIONS 2004 (EIR) Information provided in the course of the procurement process may be disclosed under Freedom of Information Act 2000 or Environmental Information Regulations 2004 if requested under an FOI request or EIR request. Please note that some of the information provided may be protected under the FOI Act exemptions and EIR Exceptions. More information on applying the exemptions or exceptions can be found under the Information Commissioners Office (ICO) website http://ico.org.uk Please confirm you have been informed that information provided under this Bid may be disclosed under the FOI Act 2000 and Environmental Information Regulations 2004 and agree to it being published irrespective of submitting a successful or unsuccessful Bid.
Bidder guidance	The Bidder shall answer Yes or No Yes – Pass No – Fail
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Bidder response	Yes / No

FOI1.2	FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 2000 (FOI) AND / OR ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION REGULATIONS 2004 (EIR) EXEMPTIONS Please complete this section <u>only</u> if you have agreed for your information to be disclosed under the FOI Act or EIR in Question FOI1.1.
---------------	--

	<p>If you have not agreed to your information to be disclosed under the FOI Act or EIR in Question FOI1.1 please complete a field 'N/A' (Not applicable)</p> <p>If you have agreed for your information to be disclosed under the FOI Act or EIR in Question FOI1.1 please tell us what exemptions or exceptions may apply to your information and why? If you are not relying on any exemptions or exceptions please complete each field 'N/A' (Not applicable)</p>	
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall provide details of their proposed exemptions/exception in the table below.</p> <p>The Bidder (irrespective of submitting a successful or unsuccessful Bid) shall note that if the Contracting Authority believes that the suggested Exemptions or Exceptions have not been applied properly as per the Act or Regulation, the Contracting Authority will disclose the requested information unless another exemption or exception can be applied by the Contracting Authority.</p> <p>Be aware that by completing FOI1.1 and answering 'Yes' you have agreed for UK SBS to disclose the provided information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 or Environmental Information Regulation 2004, therefore you will not be approached for consent.</p>	
Scoring criteria	For information only	
Bidder response	Confidential Information	Justification for exemption/exception under FOI Act
	Commercially sensitive information	Justification for exemption/exception under FOI Act
AW1.1	<p>FORM OF BID</p> <p>I declare that to the best of my knowledge the answers submitted in this ITQ are correct. I understand that the information will be used in the process to assess my organisation's suitability to be invited to bid for the Contracting Authority's requirement and I am signing on behalf of my organisation. I understand that the Contracting Authority may reject this ITQ if there is a failure to answer all relevant questions fully or if I provide false/misleading information. I understand that the Government's transparency agenda requires that sourcing documents, including ITQ templates such as this, are published on a designated, publicly searchable web site, and, that the same applies to other sourcing documents issued by the Contracting Authority, including the ITQ, and any contract entered into by the Contracting Authority or its customers with its preferred supplier once the procurement is complete.</p> <p>By submitting a response to this ITQ I agree that our participation may be made public.</p> <p>I understand that the answers given in this response will not be published on the web site (but may fall to be disclosed under</p>	

	<p>Freedom of Information Act 2000 or Environmental Information Regulations 2004).</p> <p>By submitting a response to this ITQ I agree and accept the justification for the Contracting Authority's evaluation criteria.</p> <p>By submitting a response to this ITQ I agreed and accept that the Contracting Authority reserves the right to change without notice the procedure for awarding the Contract, to reject any or all bids for the Contract, to stop the process and not award the Contract (in whole or in part) at any time without any liability on its part.</p> <p>By submitting a response to this ITQ I agree and accept that nothing in this process is intended to form any express or implied contractual relationship between the Parties unless and until a Contract is awarded. The Contracting Authority is not liable for any costs resulting from cancellation of this process nor any costs incurred by Bidders taking part in this procurement process.</p> <p>I understand that where sourcing documents issued by the Contracting Authority or contracts with its suppliers fall to be disclosed the Contracting Authority will redact them as it thinks necessary, having regard (inter alia) to the exemptions/exceptions in the FOIA or EIR.</p>
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes – Pass</p> <p>No – Fail</p>
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Bidder response	Yes / No

AW1.3	<p>CERTIFICATE OF BONA FIDE BID</p> <p>The essence of procurement is that the customer shall receive bona fide competitive Bids, from all those Bidding. In recognition of this principle, we certify that this is a bona fide bid, intended to be competitive and that we have not fixed or adjusted the amount of bid by or under or in accordance with any agreement with any other person.</p> <p>We also certify that we have not done and we undertake that we will not do at any time before the hour and date specified for the return of this bid any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Communicate to a person other than the person calling for these bids the amount or approximate amount of the proposed bid, except where the disclosure, in confidence, of the approximate amount of the bid was necessary to obtain insurance premium quotations for the preparation of the bid; (b) Enter into any agreement or arrangement with any other person that he shall refrain from bidding or as to the amount of any bid to be submitted; (c) Offer to pay or agree to pay or give any sum of money or valuable consideration directly or indirectly to any person for doing or having done or causing or have caused to be done in relation to any other bid or proposed bid for the said supply / service any act or thing of the sort described above. <p>In this certificate, the word "person" includes any persons and any body or association, corporate or unincorporated, and any "agreement or arrangement" includes any such transaction, formal or informal, and whether legally binding or not.</p> <p>We acknowledge that the Contracting Authority will be entitled to cancel the contract and to recover from us the amount of any loss resulting from such cancellation if we or our representatives (whether with our without our knowledge)</p>
--------------	--

	<p>shall have practiced collusion in Bidding for this contract or any other contract with the Contracting Authority or shall employ any corrupt or illegal practices either in the obtaining or execution of this contract or any other contract with the Contracting Authority.</p> <p>We agree that the Contracting Authority may disclose the Bidders information/documentation (submitted to the Contracting Authority during this Procurement) more widely within Government for the purpose of ensuring effective cross-Government procurement processes, including value for money and related purposes.</p>
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes – Pass</p> <p>No – Fail</p>
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Bidder response	Yes / No

AW3.1	<p>In the event of a Bidder successfully providing the most advantageous offer to the Contracting Authority against a procurement requirement, the Bidder is expected to provide an answer to the attached questions as a validation check prior to the award of any Contract.</p> <p>If the Bidder fails to meet the Contracting Authority’s expectations we reserve the right to not award the Contract to the relevant Bidder and either award to the Bidder with the second most advantageous response or run a new procurement.</p>
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder is not required to complete the validation check at this stage but will be required to respond to the questions in the event of providing the most advantageous offer to the Contracting Authority’s against a procurement requirement.</p> <p>Yes – Pass</p> <p>No – Fail</p>
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Bidder response	Yes I have understood that I need to complete the validation check in the event of providing the most advantageous offer to the Contracting Authority against a procurement requirement.

AW4.1	Please confirm your acceptance of the attached Contract Terms.
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes, No with justification or No</p> <p>Yes – Pass</p> <p>No with justification – Pass. See question AW4.2 for details of what amounts to a valid justification.</p> <p>No – Fail</p>
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Bidder response	Drop down menu - Yes, No with justification, No

AW4.2	Where a Bidder has answered question AW4.1 with ‘no with justification’ they must detail the justification and the proposed change to the clause.
Bidder guidance	<p>A justification for not accepting a specific clause or series of clauses from the attached Contract Terms is that the Bidder would be in breach of legal requirements or statutory regulations by complying with that clause or series of clauses (i.e. for the avoidance of doubt, being unable to comply with a clause or series of clauses because of an internal policy reason will not be considered to be a justification for a legal requirement or statutory regulation).</p> <p>Where the Bidder has answered question AW4.1 as "no with justification", the Bidder shall provide a Contract mark-up utilising track changes detailing the relevant clause, the proposed changes to the clause and the justification for the changes. In this case, the Bidder shall score a pass if (but only if) the Contracting Authority considers both the following requirements are satisfied:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the reasons stated as justifying the Bidder's statement that it cannot accept the Contract Terms due to legal requirements or statutory regulations are valid; and • the changes submitted do not create significant risk for the Contracting Authority and are reasonably necessary and proportionate to ensure the Bidder complies with the legal requirements and statutory regulations. <p>Where a Bidder has answered Question AW4.1 with ‘Yes’ or ‘No’ it must answer ‘not applicable’ to achieve a Pass to question AW4.2 (noting that an answer of ‘No’ to question AW4.1 has already resulted in a fail). In the event of a Bidder answering Yes or No to Question AW4.1 and then providing a proposed mark up, rejection of a clause or a justification for a change then the response will be a Fail.</p>
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Bidder response	<p>Drop down menu ‘N/A’ ‘I have answered AW4.1 ‘No with justification’ and attach modifications / requested / justification’.</p>

PRICE QUESTIONNAIRE

AW5.2	<p>Bidders are required to complete the Excel Pricing Schedule attached in the Bidder Response section.</p> <p>All prices shall be exclusive of VAT.</p> <p>All costs appearing elsewhere in the Bid but not mentioned in this Pricing Schedule shall be presumed waived.</p>																								
Bidder guidance	<p>Bidders shall confirm they have completed the Pricing Schedule.</p> <p>The scoring methodology for this question shall be: The lowest price for a response which meets the pass criteria shall score 100.</p> <p>All other bids shall be scored on a pro rata basis in relation to the lowest price. The score is then subject to a multiplier to reflect the percentage value of the price criterion.</p> <p>Where the scoring criterion is worth 50% then the 0-100 score achieved will be multiplied by 50</p> <p>In the example if a supplier scores 80 from the available 100 points this will equate to 40% by using the following calculation: Score/Total Points multiplied by 50 (80/100 x 50 = 40)</p> <p>The lowest score possible is 0 even if the price submitted is more than 100% greater than the lowest price.</p> <p>The lowest price for a response which meets the pass criteria shall score 100. All other bids shall be scored on a pro rata basis in relation to the lowest price.</p> <p>The lowest score possible is 0.</p> <p>For example, assuming the lowest bid is £100,000.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="424 1469 1390 1816"> <thead> <tr> <th>Bid Price</th> <th>Differential to the lowest price which meets the mandatory pass criteria</th> <th>Score</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>£100,000</td> <td>0</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>£120,000</td> <td>20%</td> <td>80</td> </tr> <tr> <td>£140,000</td> <td>40%</td> <td>60</td> </tr> <tr> <td>£150,000</td> <td>50%</td> <td>50</td> </tr> <tr> <td>£175,000</td> <td>75%</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>£200,000</td> <td>100%</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>£300,000</td> <td>200%</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Bid Price	Differential to the lowest price which meets the mandatory pass criteria	Score	£100,000	0	100	£120,000	20%	80	£140,000	40%	60	£150,000	50%	50	£175,000	75%	25	£200,000	100%	0	£300,000	200%	0
Bid Price	Differential to the lowest price which meets the mandatory pass criteria	Score																							
£100,000	0	100																							
£120,000	20%	80																							
£140,000	40%	60																							
£150,000	50%	50																							
£175,000	75%	25																							
£200,000	100%	0																							
£300,000	200%	0																							
Scoring criteria	Maximum Marks 35.00%																								
Bidder response	Yes																								

AW5.5	<p>The Contracting Authority is committed to delivering payments to suppliers within the timescales stated within our Contract terms and intend to embrace e-invoicing.</p> <p>There are a number of options for suppliers to choose from outlined in the attached FAQ. Please confirm your acceptance of e-payment.</p> <div style="text-align: center;">  iSupplier Fact Sheet </div>
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes – we will utilise an e-invoicing option - Pass No – we will not utilise an e-invoicing option - Fail</p>
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Bidder response	Yes / No

AW5.6	<p>Please confirm if you are successfully awarded this contract your implementation team will work with the Contracting Authority to ensure that e-invoicing is established within 28 days of Contract award by the Contracting Authority.</p>
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes – Pass No – Fail</p>
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Bidder response	Yes / No

QUALITY QUESTIONNAIRE

AW6.1	COMPLIANCE: Please confirm your compliance to the requirements of document PR19069 Specification.
Bidder guidance	The Bidder shall answer Yes or No Yes – Pass No – Fail
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Bidder response	Yes / No

AW6.2	Variable Bids
Bidder guidance	The Contracting Authority shall not accept variable bids as part of this Procurement. The criteria in regards to variable bids for this Procurement is outlined below. The Bidder shall answer Yes or No Yes - We have provided a variable bid only – Fail No - We have chosen to only offer a main bid and have not chosen to provide an alternative bid submission – Pass
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Bidder response	Yes / No

AW6.3	FACILITIES: Please detail the facilities to be used by the Bidder in the manufacture of this requirement.
Bidder guidance	The Bidder shall provide provide a full description of how they meet the requirements, including, but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Magnetic and mechanical design software available to the Bidder • Facilities available for machining to the high precision required to meet this specification • Facilities available for assembly, testing and fiducialisation of the magnets • Facilities available for winding and potting coils for electromagnets • Magnetic measurement facilities to be used to characterise the magnets

Scoring criteria	0	The Question is not answered, or the response is completely unacceptable.
	10	Extremely poor response – they have completely missed the point of the question.
	20	Very poor response and not wholly acceptable. Requires major revision to the response to make it acceptable. Only partially answers the requirement, with major deficiencies and little relevant detail proposed.
	40	Poor response only partially satisfying the selection question requirements with deficiencies apparent. Some useful evidence provided but response falls well short of expectations. Low probability of being a capable supplier.
	60	Response is acceptable but remains basic and could have been expanded upon. Response is sufficient but does not inspire.
	80	Good response which describes their capabilities in detail which provides high levels of assurance consistent with a quality provider. The response includes a full description of techniques and measurements currently employed.
	100	Response is exceptional and clearly demonstrates they are capable of meeting the requirement. No significant weaknesses noted. The response is compelling in its description of techniques and measurements currently employed, providing full assurance consistent with a quality provider.
Maximum Marks – 4.00%		
Bidder response	Text	

AW6.4	DESIGN: In reference to section 5.1 of the PR19069 Specification document, please fully describe the approach to the design, including solutions for major design features.	
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall provide an attachment fully detailing their approach to the design, including solutions for major design features for the following sections of the PR19069 Specification document:</p> <p>5.1 Quadrupole Specification</p> <p>The response must also state the steel grade to be used in the magnet yokes and the B-H curve of this material.</p> <p>Maximum character count – maximum 4096 characters Note: Further detail is permitted by attachment.</p>	
Scoring criteria	0	The Question is not answered, or the response is completely unacceptable.
	10	Extremely poor response – they have completely missed the point of the question.

	20	Very poor response and not wholly acceptable. Requires major revision to the response to make it acceptable. Only partially answers the requirement, with major deficiencies and little relevant detail proposed.
	40	Poor response only partially satisfying the selection question requirements with deficiencies apparent. Some useful evidence provided but response falls well short of expectations. Low probability of being a capable supplier.
	60	Response is acceptable but remains basic and could have been expanded upon. Response is sufficient but does not inspire.
	80	Good response which describes their capabilities in detail which provides high levels of assurance consistent with a quality provider. The response includes a full description of techniques and measurements currently employed.
	100	Response is exceptional and clearly demonstrates they are capable of meeting the requirement. No significant weaknesses noted. The response is compelling in its description of techniques and measurements currently employed, providing full assurance consistent with a quality provider.
	Maximum Marks – 18.00%	
Bidder response	Text	

AW6.5	ALIGNMENT: Please provide an outline proposal of the alignment process as per Section 5.6 of the PR19069 Specification document.	
Bidder guidance	The bidder shall provide an outline proposal of the alignment process. The response must include how the issues described in section 5.6 Survey and Alignment of the PR19069 Specification document will be addressed. Maximum character count – maximum 4096 characters Note: Further detail is permitted by attachment.	
Scoring criteria	0	The Question is not answered, or the response is completely unacceptable.
	10	Extremely poor response – they have completely missed the point of the question.
	20	Very poor response and not wholly acceptable. Requires major revision to the response to make it acceptable. Only partially answers the requirement, with major deficiencies and little relevant detail proposed.
	40	Poor response only partially satisfying the selection question requirements with deficiencies apparent. Some useful evidence provided but response falls well short of expectations. Low probability of being a capable supplier.
	60	Response is acceptable but remains basic and could have been expanded upon. Response is sufficient but does not inspire.

	80	Good response which describes their capabilities in detail which provides high levels of assurance consistent with a quality provider. The response includes a full description of techniques and measurements currently employed.
	100	Response is exceptional and clearly demonstrates they are capable of meeting the requirement. No significant weaknesses noted. The response is compelling in its description of techniques and measurements currently employed, providing full assurance consistent with a quality provider.
	Maximum Marks – 5.00%	
Bidder response	Text	

AW6.6	TOOLING: Please confirm the duration that the tooling will be in storage following completion of the contract.	
Bidder guidance	The bidder shall confirm the duration that the tooling used in the manufacture of the magnets will be kept in satisfactory storage, from the completion of the contract.	
Scoring criteria	<p>The scoring methodology for this question shall be:</p> <p>Up to 5 years or greater – 100 marks Up to 3 years – 60 marks Up to 2 years – 30 marks Up to 1 year – 10 marks No storage – 0 marks</p> <p>Maximum Marks – 3.00%</p>	
Bidder response	Selection	

AW6.7	MAGNETIC MEASUREMENTS: Please describe how the magnetic measurements will be performed and provide a list of measurement equipment that will be used.	
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall describe how the magnetic measurements as detailed in section 5.10.3 Magnetic Measurements of the PR19069 Specification document will be performed, and provide a list of measurement equipment that will be used.</p> <p>In your response, please confirm that the magnets meet the specification required and ensure that the expected accuracy of field and position measurements are provided.</p> <p>Maximum character count – maximum 4096 characters Note: Further detail is permitted by attachment.</p>	

Scoring criteria	0	The Question is not answered, or the response is completely unacceptable.
	10	Extremely poor response – they have completely missed the point of the question.
	20	Very poor response and not wholly acceptable. Requires major revision to the response to make it acceptable. Only partially answers the requirement, with major deficiencies and little relevant detail proposed.
	40	Poor response only partially satisfying the selection question requirements with deficiencies apparent. Some useful evidence provided but response falls well short of expectations. Low probability of being a capable supplier.
	60	Response is acceptable but remains basic and could have been expanded upon. Response is sufficient but does not inspire.
	80	Good response which describes their capabilities in detail which provides high levels of assurance consistent with a quality provider. The response includes a full description of techniques and measurements currently employed.
	100	Response is exceptional and clearly demonstrates they are capable of meeting the requirement. No significant weaknesses noted. The response is compelling in its description of techniques and measurements currently employed, providing full assurance consistent with a quality provider.
	Maximum Marks – 4.00%	
Bidder response	Text	

AW6.8	DELIVERY TIMESCALES: Please confirm the best delivery timescales that can be contractually committed to, assuming a contract award date of September 2019.
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall confirm the best order lead time that can be contractually committed to for the delivery of all magnets, assuming a contract award date of August 2019.</p> <p>Please note the lead time proposed will form part of the contractual agreement between UKRI and the Bidder.</p> <p>Whilst the final delivery date of 29th February 2020 must be achieved (as per specification section 5.12.2), it is of benefit to the Contracting Authority that an earlier delivery date can be accomplished.</p> <p>Bidders must state their delivery time in the format of T + X days, whereby T is the date of purchase order and X is the number of days within which products can be supplied by. For example, T + 90 days.</p>

<p>Scoring criteria</p>	<p>The scoring methodology for this question shall be:</p> <p>The shortest delivery timescale for a response which meets the pass criteria shall score 100.</p> <p>All other bids shall be scored on a pro rata basis in relation to the shortest project duration. The score is then subject to a multiplier to reflect the scoring criterion (same scoring methodology as AW5.2).</p> <p>Where the scoring criterion is worth 15% then the 0-100 score achieved will be multiplied by 15.</p> <p>In the example below, if a supplier scores 75 from the available 100 points this will equate to 11.25% by using the following calculation: Score/Total Points multiplied by 15 (75/100 x 15 = 11.25)</p> <p>The lowest score possible is 0 even if the delivery timescale submitted is more than 100% greater than the shortest timescale.</p> <p>The shortest timescale for a response which meets the pass criteria shall score 100. All other bids shall be scored on a pro rata basis in relation to the shortest timescale.</p> <p>The lowest score possible is 0.</p> <p>For example, assuming the shortest timescale is T + 60 days.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="424 1086 1385 1361"> <thead> <tr> <th>Delivery Timescales</th> <th>Differential to the shortest delivery timescale which meets the mandatory pass criteria</th> <th>Score</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>T + 60 days</td> <td>0</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>T + 75 days</td> <td>25%</td> <td>75</td> </tr> <tr> <td>T + 90 days</td> <td>50%</td> <td>50</td> </tr> <tr> <td>T + 105 days</td> <td>75%</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>T + 120 days</td> <td>100%</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Maximum Marks – 15.00%</p>	Delivery Timescales	Differential to the shortest delivery timescale which meets the mandatory pass criteria	Score	T + 60 days	0	100	T + 75 days	25%	75	T + 90 days	50%	50	T + 105 days	75%	25	T + 120 days	100%	0
Delivery Timescales	Differential to the shortest delivery timescale which meets the mandatory pass criteria	Score																	
T + 60 days	0	100																	
T + 75 days	25%	75																	
T + 90 days	50%	50																	
T + 105 days	75%	25																	
T + 120 days	100%	0																	

<p>Bidder response</p>	<p>Text</p>
-------------------------------	-------------

<p>AW6.9</p>	<p>SCHEDULE OF WORK: In support of your response to AW6.8, please provide an outline schedule/programme of work that identifies the major project milestones and fully detail how each stage will be met.</p>
<p>Bidder guidance</p>	<p>The Bidder shall provide a project schedule/programme of work that details the key project milestone stages, how these will be met and the lead time from contract award to delivery.</p> <p>The response must include but shall not be limited to:</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preliminary design review • Final design review • Sourcing/delivery of materials to the factory • Start of manufacture • Start of measurements • Delivery of all magnets <p>Maximum character count – maximum 4096 characters Note: Further detail is permitted by attachment.</p>														
Scoring criteria	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>0</td> <td>The Question is not answered, or the response is completely unacceptable.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10</td> <td>Extremely poor response – they have completely missed the point of the question.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>20</td> <td>Very poor response and not wholly acceptable. Requires major revision to the response to make it acceptable. Only partially answers the requirement, with major deficiencies and little relevant detail proposed.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>40</td> <td>Poor response only partially satisfying the selection question requirements with deficiencies apparent. Some useful evidence provided but response falls well short of expectations. Low probability of being a capable supplier.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>60</td> <td>Response is acceptable but remains basic and could have been expanded upon. Response is sufficient but does not inspire.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>80</td> <td>Good response which describes their capabilities in detail which provides high levels of assurance consistent with a quality provider. The response includes a full description of techniques and measurements currently employed.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>100</td> <td>Response is exceptional and clearly demonstrates they are capable of meeting the requirement. No significant weaknesses noted. The response is compelling in its description of techniques and measurements currently employed, providing full assurance consistent with a quality provider.</td> </tr> </table> <p>Maximum Marks – 8.00%</p>	0	The Question is not answered, or the response is completely unacceptable.	10	Extremely poor response – they have completely missed the point of the question.	20	Very poor response and not wholly acceptable. Requires major revision to the response to make it acceptable. Only partially answers the requirement, with major deficiencies and little relevant detail proposed.	40	Poor response only partially satisfying the selection question requirements with deficiencies apparent. Some useful evidence provided but response falls well short of expectations. Low probability of being a capable supplier.	60	Response is acceptable but remains basic and could have been expanded upon. Response is sufficient but does not inspire.	80	Good response which describes their capabilities in detail which provides high levels of assurance consistent with a quality provider. The response includes a full description of techniques and measurements currently employed.	100	Response is exceptional and clearly demonstrates they are capable of meeting the requirement. No significant weaknesses noted. The response is compelling in its description of techniques and measurements currently employed, providing full assurance consistent with a quality provider.
0	The Question is not answered, or the response is completely unacceptable.														
10	Extremely poor response – they have completely missed the point of the question.														
20	Very poor response and not wholly acceptable. Requires major revision to the response to make it acceptable. Only partially answers the requirement, with major deficiencies and little relevant detail proposed.														
40	Poor response only partially satisfying the selection question requirements with deficiencies apparent. Some useful evidence provided but response falls well short of expectations. Low probability of being a capable supplier.														
60	Response is acceptable but remains basic and could have been expanded upon. Response is sufficient but does not inspire.														
80	Good response which describes their capabilities in detail which provides high levels of assurance consistent with a quality provider. The response includes a full description of techniques and measurements currently employed.														
100	Response is exceptional and clearly demonstrates they are capable of meeting the requirement. No significant weaknesses noted. The response is compelling in its description of techniques and measurements currently employed, providing full assurance consistent with a quality provider.														
Bidder response	Text														

AW6.10	PACKAGING AND SHIPPING: Please provide details of the packaging and shipping arrangements.
Bidder guidance	<p>The bidder shall provide a proposal for the packaging and shipping arrangements that demonstrates its compliance to the requirements in section 5.12.1 Packaging of the PR19069 Specification document.</p> <p>Maximum character count – maximum 4096 characters Note: Further detail is permitted by attachment.</p>

Scoring criteria	0	The Question is not answered, or the response is completely unacceptable.
	10	Extremely poor response – they have completely missed the point of the question.
	20	Very poor response and not wholly acceptable. Requires major revision to the response to make it acceptable. Only partially answers the requirement, with major deficiencies and little relevant detail proposed.
	40	Poor response only partially satisfying the selection question requirements with deficiencies apparent. Some useful evidence provided but response falls well short of expectations. Low probability of being a capable supplier.
	60	Response is acceptable but remains basic and could have been expanded upon. Response is sufficient but does not inspire.
	80	Good response which describes their capabilities in detail which provides high levels of assurance consistent with a quality provider. The response includes a full description of techniques and measurements currently employed.
	100	Response is exceptional and clearly demonstrates they are capable of meeting the requirement. No significant weaknesses noted. The response is compelling in its description of techniques and measurements currently employed, providing full assurance consistent with a quality provider.
Maximum Marks – 3.00%		
Bidder response	Text.	

AW6.11	WARRANTY Please confirm the duration of warranty available from date of installation.
Bidder guidance	The bidder shall confirm the duration of warranty available from date of installation. The warranty must include but shall not be limited to, cover against defects due to either faulty components or faulty manufacture. The warranty period will commence from installation of each magnet.
Scoring criteria	The scoring methodology for this question shall be: Warranty of 48 months or more from installation – 100 marks Warranty of 36 months from installation – 75 marks Warranty of 24 months from installation – 50 marks Warranty of 12 months from installation – 25 marks Maximum Marks – 5.00%
Bidder response	Selection