

No	Question	Response	Date Response Sent
1	<ul> <li>We note from the "Expected Outputs" at paragraph 5 of the Specification that there is no scope of work which relates to the procuring of EV charging points (EVCPs) and EVs: <ul> <li>does the negotiation of this contract for the installation, commissioning and maintenance of the EVCPs and EVs fall within the scope of work of this tender, or is this being procured separately?</li> <li>we can see that it may be that the CIC is procuring the installation/commissioning/maintenance contract itself, in which case it will be useful to know what assets the Council envisages transferring to the CIC under expected output (f) – is it expected that the transfer deed will relate only to transfer/novation of the contract with the car share platform provider and the hardware supplied under that contract?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	The scope of services is as set out in Annex B – Specification. This does not include services in relation to the contract for supply and install. The CIC will not be procuring the supply and install contract, this will be procured by the Council. The assets to be transferred to the CIC include (but are not limited to) the electric vehicles, solar canopies, electric vehicle chargers, solar PV, on board car share units and all associated ancillaries.	29/08/2019
2	Is the Council expecting to be a party to the contract with the manager of the general use chargers (i.e. the contract referred to at expected output (e)), or is it envisaged that another entity (e.g. the CIC) will enter into that contract (because it will own the assets), and our review is required more to give the Council comfort that the arrangements are suitable for a project of this type?	It is anticipated that the contract referred to at (e) will be with the CIC and the Services required are to provide advice on the suitability of the contract for the CIC.	29/08/2019
3	Expected output (d): It would assist in our developing of fixed pricing if the Council could give some indication of what the current thinking is in terms of ownership of the cars and the operation of the car scheme. We note that no advice is required in respect of the other assets (e.g. the EVCPs) – has the Council already reached a settled conclusion on the ownership of those assets – please could the Council clarify what this view is (see the two clarifications above)?	As indicated above, the Council will be procuring all assets for the project (except for the on board car units which will be part of the car share contract). It is anticipated that the assets will immediately be transferred to the CIC. Part of this decision will be informed by	29/08/2019









		Expected output (d) which is to review ownership models for the electric vehicles. It should be noted that the advice required in relation to insurance is for the whole operation of the car share scheme which will include not only the vehicles themselves but also the chargers, canopies, solar panels and all other infrastructure required for the car share operation.	
4	<ul> <li>The Selection Questionnaire document and 4.2 says:</li> <li>Where we have specified a minimum level of economic and financial standing and/ or a minimum financial threshold within the evaluation criteria for this procurement, please self-certify by answering 'Yes' or 'No' that you meet the requirements set out.</li> <li>Please can you confirm if a minimum level has been specified.</li> </ul>	No minimum level of financial standing has been specified.	29/08/2019
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			













