|  |
| --- |
| **ASTRID – TASKING FORM – Part A** |
| Once complete please email the Tasking Form to:  • Official – ASTRID@baesystems.com.   • Official Sensitive – ASTRID@baesystems.r.mil.uk.    |
|  |
| Note to Commercial Staff: ASTRID has been let and is owned by Defence Science & Technology Laboratory (Dstl) and any work placed under it is subject to UK Govt DEFCONs. Full DEFCON definitions can be found here: <https://www.aof.mod.uk/aofcontent/tactical/toolkit/content/defcons/defcon.htm> (note account required to access but easy to set up) |
|  |
| **TASKING FORM** |
| **To:** | CORDA | **From (Organisation):** | MOD |
|  |
| **Framework contract number:** | DSTL/AGR/01142/01 |
| **Agreed quotation date (if known):** |  |
|  |
| **REQUIREMENT SUMMARY AND AUTHORITY CONTACTS:** |
| **Project Manager** **(name & telephone)** | REDACTED |
| **Technical Lead****(name & telephone)** | REDACTED |
| **Commercial Officer****(name & telephone)** | REDACTED |
| **Task title** (for Dstl: max 30 characters inc AST/ prefix) | Armoured Brigade Combat Team – Optimisation and Experimentation Campaign |
| **Anticipated start date** | 1st April 2023 |
| **Anticipated end date (core work)** | 31st March 2027 |
| **Anticipated end date (options)** | N/A |
| **Requisition or Purchase Order ref** | TBC |
| **ASTRID task number** | Task 268 |
| **Task description** | Please see attached Statement of Requirement LWC/ORB/Exp/ABCT\_v0.3 dated 14 Dec 22  |

|  |
| --- |
| **SCHEDULE OF REQUIREMENTS:**Brief list of requirements (core and options) – add rows as appropriate (full details appear in the attached Statement of Requirement) |
| **Item No** | **Core or Option** | **Description / Title** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |
| **Pricing:**  |
| Firm Price  |[ ]
| Ascertained cost\*\*only at Authority’s discretion |[x]
| Firm Pricing shall be in accordance with DEFCON 127 or DEFCON 643 and DEFCON 648Ascertained Costs shall be in accordance with DEFCON 653 or DEFCON 802. |
|  |
| **Cyber Risk:** |
| Risk level: | Moderate |
| Assessment ref: | RAR-853708708 |
| DEFCON 658 |[x]  (applicable for all risk levels except ‘N/A’) |
|  |
| **DEFCONS:**  |
| Please confirm which specific DEFCONs are required for the task (Dstl staff click [here](http://wiki/o/Defining_IP_Requirements_using_the_NIPPY_process#tab=The_NIPPY_Guide) for greater DEFCON detail and NIPPY Guidance). If you are unsure, please discuss with your IP contact, or commercial |
| 76 | Edn 12/06 | Contractor's Personnel at Government Establishments(The Contractor's liability under Condition 3 of DEFCON 76 (Edn 12/06) shall be limited to £50M per incident in accordance with the terms of the agreement between MOD and BAE Systems Plc reference DCS/04/02/32/01/07 dated 17/06/2014). |[x]
| 91 | Edn 11/06 | Intellectual Property Rights In Software |[ ]
| 703 | Edn 08/13 | Intellectual Property Rights - Vesting In the AuthorityTo be specified on the Tasking Form |[x]
| 705 | Edn 11/02 | Intellectual Property Rights - Research and TechnologyTo be specified on the Tasking Form |[ ]
| Acceptance or rejection of deliverables This MUST match the number of days stated in the SOR. The default for reports is ‘up to 30 days’, and the default for software is ‘up to 60 days’. Please specify if requesting different and discuss with commercial |
| 524 | Edn 10/98 | Rejection | 30 | days |
| 525  | Edn 10/98 | AcceptanceFor the Purposes of schedule of requirements item 2 of this Contract the period for acceptance and rejection of deliverables shall be specified within the Tasking Form at Annex D.  | 30 | days |
|  |
| **DELIVERABLES:** |
| Please see attached SOR for full details |
|  |
| **GFX:**  |
| Yes |[x]
| No |[ ]
| If yes, please see attached SOR for full details of equipment / information / facilities |
|  |
| **Security Classification of the Work:** (delete as appropriate\*) |
| Up to and including NATO SECRET and UK SECRET |
| *\*Failure to delete unnecessary higher classifications will result in delays at the firewall* |
|  |
| The overarching ASTRID contract contains a Security Aspects Letter (SAL) covering tasks up to Official Sensitive at quotation stage. If the Statement of requirement (SOR) is a higher classification, please complete the relevant SAL and send with this tasking form and SOR.  |
| If this is the case, please tick the box to indicate you are attaching a separate SAL for your task |[x]
|  |
| Any task placed as a result of your quotation will be subject to the Terms and Conditions of Dstl contract number DSTL/AGR/01142/01 |

|  |
| --- |
| **ASTRID – TASKING FORM – Part B** |
| **To:** | LWC | **From:** | CORDA |
| **FAO:** | REDACTED | **PoC:** | REDACTED |
| **Tel:** |  | **Tel:** | REDACTED |
|  |
| **Proposal Reference** **AST\CMRCL\Prop\02546 (attached)****The proposal shall include, but not be limited to:*** A full technical proposal that meets the individual activities that are detailed in Statement of Requirement (Part A to Draft Tasking Form)
* A Work breakdown structure/project plan with key dates and Deliverables identified including required delivery dates for Government Furnished Assets.
* A clear identification of Dependencies, Assumptions, Risks and Exclusions which underpin your Technical Proposal.
 |
|  |
| **COST BREAKDOWN (to be completed by the Contractor)**You are to use rates that have been previously agreed within the Analysis for Science & Technology Research in Defence (ASTRID) at Annex E. Please also provide a price breakdown which should include, but is not limited to: labour costs, transportation, travel and subsistence, overheads and profit. In support of your Proposal you are requested to provide clear details of all Dependencies, Assumptions, Risks and Exclusions that underpin your price |
| Price quotation of £2,049,990.23 (ex VAT) is submitted for task ref Task 0268 – Armoured Brigade Combat Teams (ABCT) and breakdown attached |
| Ascertained Price |[ ]
| Firm Price |[ ]
| Hybrid\* |[x]  \*if hybrid, please specify which pricing mechanism applies to which work packages and/or deliverables in the “Milestones Deliverables and Payments” table |
|  |
| **VALUE FOR MONEY EVIDENCE - KPI 1 (to be completed by the Contractor)** The Targeted sourcing mechanism was utilised for supplier selection. This maximises Value for Money by:- Deploying the optimum team to deliver the work (maximising quality)- Promoting discussions with the customer during proposal work up:  Better aligning the supplier's understanding of the requirement,  Better informing the customer's understanding of their problem and the solution to solving it, Eradicating 'gold plating',  Deploying the appropriate SQEP and; Reducing technical (and financial) risk.  - Enforcing use of suppliers lowest UK Government rates - Reviewing effort levels to ensure that they are commensurate with the required level of work - The Technical Lead will provide assurance that the Statement of Work is delivered as per the specificationSirius and Cervus have assembled a strong team with extensive experience of delivering and executing IAECPs and working to conduct Operational Research within the Land Domain. This proposal offers Value for Money as it makes best reuse of previous work (including ASTRID 213) that the core team has undertaken into this problem. It also exploits the existing partnership between the Sirius and Cervus teams, reducing project overhead, and benefiting from relationships with existing relationship these organisations have with key stakeholder such as Field Army including 3 UK Div and Dstl. This will help with stakeholder access and engagement, reducing the time taken to build a collaborative team, and help with exploiting other initiatives. In particular this proposal contains the following elements: - Reuse of supplier's IP and/or toolsets, reducing the amount of effort required in delivering the Task and/or improving quality - A team that has a reduced learning curve due to their collective experience; improving efficiency - A level of innovation judged to have the potential to improve technical quality and/or reduce cost - An ability for the customer to veer and haul the work depending on technical progress (via LoL), reducing nugatory work when on contract - Removal of the supplier's risk budget (via LoL contract with the supplier), allowing for a lower price - Task Lead rates have been scrutinised and actively challenged on framework signup to drive value for money. |
| Start date: | T0 | End date: | 31st March 2026 |
| Signed on behalf of the Contractor:  |
| Printed name: | REDACTED | Date: | 3rd May 2023 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Contractor’s Cost Breakdown** |
| **PROVISION FROM PRIME:** |
| **Service (Activity**)\* indicate whether work is pre or post award | **Rate £** | **Qty** | **Subtotal** | **Total** |
|  REDACTED, Senior Principle, Shape & Source (Pre-Award) | REDACTED | 0.16 | REDACTED | REDACTED |
| REDACTED, Senior Principle, Deliver, (Post Award) | REDACTED | 0.25 | REDACTED | REDACTED |
| REDACTED, Senior Principle, Shape & Source (Pre-Award) | REDACTED | 0.72 | REDACTED | REDACTED |
| REDACTED Senior Principle, Deliver(Post Award) | REDACTED | 3.05 | REDACTED | REDACTED |
| REDACTED Senior Principle, Shape & Source (Pre-Award) | REDACTED | 1.19 | REDACTED | REDACTED |
| REDACTED Senior Principle, Deliver (Post Award) | REDACTED | 7.86 | REDACTED | REDACTED |
| REDACTED, Principle, Shape & Source (Pre-Award) | REDACTED | 2.21 | REDACTED | REDACTED |
| REDACTED, Principle, Deliver(Post Award) | REDACTED | 10.42 | REDACTED | REDACTED |
| REDACTED, Senior Principle, Shape & Source (Pre-Award) | REDACTED | 0.29 | REDACTED | REDACTED |
| REDACTED,Senior Principle, Deliver (Post Award) | REDACTED | 0.90 | REDACTED | REDACTED |
| Commercial Manager TBA, Principle, Shape & Source (Pre-Award) | REDACTED | 3.07 | REDACTED | REDACTED |
| Commercial Manager TBA, Principle, Deliver(Post Award) | REDACTED | 8.85 | REDACTED | REDACTED |
| REDACTED, Project Manager, Shape & Source (Pre-Award) | REDACTED | 2.7 | REDACTED | REDACTED |
| REDACTED, Project Manager, Deliver(Post Award) | REDACTED | 5.9 | REDACTED | REDACTED |
| REDACTED, Assistant Project Manager, Shape & Source (Pre-Award) | REDACTED | 1.78 | REDACTED | REDACTED |
| REDACTED, Assistant Project Manager, Deliver(Post Award) | REDACTED | 15.9 | REDACTED | REDACTED |
| REDACTED, Senior, Shape & Source (Pre-Award) | REDACTED | 0.8 | REDACTED | REDACTED |
| REDACTED, Senior, Deliver (Post Award) | REDACTED | 12.7 | REDACTED | REDACTED |
| REDACTED,Assistant Project Manager, Shape & Source (Pre Award) | REDACTED | 0.2 | REDACTED | REDACTED |
| REDACTED, Assistant Project Manager, Deliver (Post Award) | REDACTED | 1.5 | REDACTED | REDACTED |
| Travel & SubsistenceUK Road MileageAccommodation Day and Night subsistenceOther (Rail/Air) (Provide Detail) |  |  |  | £0.00 |
| Other e.g. materials (provide detail) |  |  |  | £0.00 |
| **PROVISION FROM SUBCONTRACTORS:** |
| **Service** | **Cost £** | **Qty** | **Subtotal** | **Total** |
| Roke Manor Research Limited (Technical Lead) | REDACTED | 1 | REDACTED | REDACTED |
| Sirius Anlaysis Limited (Task Lead)  | REDACTED | 1 | REDACTED | REDACTED |
| **GRAND TOTAL Ex VAT** | £2,049,990.23 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Milestones Deliverables and Payments** |
| **Milestone No** | **Description** | **Pricing (Ascertained or Firm)** | **£ Ex VAT** | **Due Date** | **Deliverable DEFCON****703 / 705****(14, 90 & 91)** |
| **1** | Provision of Contractable Scope of Work and Proposal | **Firm** | REDACTED | T0  | **703** |
| **2** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-May-23 | **703** |
| **3** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Jun-23 | **703** |
| **4** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Jul-23 | **703** |
| **5** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Aug-23 | **703** |
| **6** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Sep-23 | **703** |
| **7** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Oct-23 | **703** |
| **8** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Nov-23 | **703** |
| **9** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Dec-23 | **703** |
| **10** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Jan-24 | **703** |
| **11** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Feb-24 | **703** |
| **12** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Mar-24 | **703** |
| **13** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Apr-24 | **703** |
| **14** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-May-24 | **703** |
| **15** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Jun-24 | **703** |
| **16** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Jul-24 | **703** |
| **17** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Aug-24 | **703** |
| **18** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Sep-24 | **703** |
| **19** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Oct-24 | **703** |
| **20** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Nov-24 | **703** |
| **21** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Dec-24 | **703** |
| **22** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Jan-25 | **703** |
| **23** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Feb-25 | **703** |
| **24** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Mar-25 | **703** |
| **25** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Apr-25 | **703** |
| **26** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-May-25 | **703** |
| **27** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Jun-25 | **703** |
| **28** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Jul-25 | **703** |
| **29** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Aug-25 | **703** |
| **30** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Sep-25 | **703** |
| **31** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Oct-25 | **703** |
| **32** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Nov-25 | **703** |
| **33** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Dec-25 | **703** |
| **34** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Jan-26 | **703** |
| **35** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Feb-26 | **703** |
| **36** | Monthly Project Review | **Ascertained** | REDACTED | 24-Mar-26 | **703** |
| **TOTAL £ Ex VAT** | **£2,049,990.23** |  |
|  |
| **Request for Limitation of Liability** |
| Risk should sit with the party best placed to manage that risk. If the contractor believes that should be the Authority, they should provide a justification detailing the perceived risk, the limitation of liability requested, and link it to the relevant DEFCON where applicable.  |
|[ ]  No limitation requested |
|[ ]  Limitations requested – to be absorbed by Prime  |
|[x]  Limitations requested – see attached justification at Annex A |

|  |
| --- |
| **Requested Amendments to Framework Conditions** |
| The Prime should detail below any requests for amendments to the terms and conditions of the Framework if deemed necessary for this particular task |
| It is assumed that there is no requirement to produce a deliverable quality plan for this task. BAE Systems’ offer for ASTRID Task 268, is subject to the following additions and amendments to the framework conditions; **DEFCON 697 (edn 11/22) – (Contractors on Deployed Operations)**For the purposes of this task, and in addition to the rights and obligations conferred on both parties under DEFCON 697 (Edn 6/21), the following shall apply where Contractor personnel are deployed to a CONDO Applicable Area (CAA): a. where either party withholds or withdraws authority to deploy, either under clauses 7 and 36 (Authority) or 26 and 27 (Contractor), and not withstanding any other provision of this contract, the Contractor shall not be considered to be in breach of its obligations under the contract and shall be entitled to: i. claim relief from performing that obligation;ii. delay their performance of that obligation; iii. claim a corresponding adjustment to the Contract Price and/or relevant delivery date”;For the purposes of interpretation of Clause 62.b), the Contractor shall not have invalidly exercised the right to withdraw under Clauses 26 and 27 where the Contractor has acted in good faith, using the information available at the time, in the best interests of the CONDO Personnel.b. In the event that the Authority exercises it rights under Clause 29 (Termination for Convenience) of the Contract, and for the purposes of DEFCONs 656A and 656B Edn 08/16, “unavoidable loss” shall be interpreted such that it may include without limitation: i. all costs incurred as a consequence of or in connection with the repatriation of personnel and/or equipment from outside of the UK;ii. all costs, damages and expenses which the Contractor incurs in connection with the redundancy, reassignment, relocation and/or re-training of its employees;iii. disruption costs such as waiting and idle time; andiv. all costs, damages and expenses which the Contractor incurs as a result of terminating the engagement with its agents and Sub-Contractors.”c. Notwithstanding any other provision of this contract, the deployment of the Contractor’s Employees and/or a Sub-Contractor’s Employees (collectively “CONDO Personnel”), and the movement of CONDO Personnel to a new operating location, is subject to the express written consent in advance of the Contractor (BAE Systems CORDA), save that consent is not required if the Authority deems it necessary to relocate the CONDO Personnel for their own safety because their current location is no longer deemed to be sufficiently safe and secure. Such consent can only be given by the Managing Director of the Contractor, or by another senior officer of the Contractor acting on his behalf, and cannot be given by the CONDO Personnel themselves. The Contractor may, at its absolute discretion:- Withhold its consent in respect of the Deployment of CONDO Personnel into a CAA;- Withhold its consent to the movement of Deployed CONDO Personnel to a new operating location within a CAA; or - Require the withdrawal of CONDO Personnel from a particular location or the entire CAA if the Contractor's risk assessment of the relevant safety environment is adverse, or if the Contractor does not have the necessary information to inform a proper risk assessment. In making such determinations the Contractor shall actively engage with the Authority to ensure that there is an informed exchange of information and that the Authority has visibility of the Contractor's intentions. The Contractor shall not be in breach of its contractual obligation to provide CONDO Personnel or to provide services to the Authority, and shall not be liable to any penalty or other sanction, if it cannot make CONDO Personnel available for safety reasons as set out above.================================================================================**Force Majeure**In addition to the above, we also request that the following Clause be added to the Contract * 1. For the purposes of this Clause 1 (Force Majeure) the following words and expressions shall have the meanings given to them save in so far as the context requires a different meaning:

“Force Majeure Event” means any circumstance not within a Party’s reasonable control, including without limitation: (a) acts of God, drought, flood, earthquake or other natural disaster; (b) epidemic or pandemic; (c) terrorist attack, civil war, civil commotion or riots, war; (d) nuclear, chemical or biological contamination or sonic boom; and (e) any labour or trade dispute, national strikes, industrial action or lock-outs or other industrial disputes (but only where it involves the workforce of a third party and does not involve a Party).* 1. If a Force Majeure Event occurs which prevents, hinders or delays a Party (the "Affected Party") from performing any of its obligations under this Contract to the other Party (the "Other Party"), the Affected Party shall not be liable to the Other Party and shall be released from performance of its obligations under this Contract to the extent that its ability to perform such obligations has been affected by the Force Majeure Event, provided that:
1. the Affected Party notifies the Other Party in writing as soon as reasonably practical of the occurrence of the Force Majeure Event and the nature and likely duration of its impact upon the Other Party;
2. the Affected Party takes all reasonable steps to limit the impact of the Force Majeure Event;
3. the Affected Party continues to perform all its obligations which have not been affected by the Force Majeure Event; and
4. the Affected Party has complied with its obligations pursuant to Clause 1.3.
	1. The Parties shall meet as soon as reasonably practicable following notification pursuant to Clause 1.1a) above to seek to agree what steps should be taken in order to meet the requirements of Clauses 1.1b) and 1.1c), and shall (if the Other Party requires) seek to agree a mitigation plan which details such steps. The Affected Party shall comply with any steps agreed to be taken and the provisions of any mitigation plan agreed by the Parties pursuant to this Clause 1.
	2. Where a Force Majeure Event occurs where such Force Majeure Event would cause the Contractor to be unable to deliver a Deliverable on or before any date specified in the Contract, the Contractor shall be entitled to an adjustment of any such date such that the Contractor is put in a position that is no better and no worse (save for the benefit of the adjustment) than it would have been in if the Force Majeure Event giving rise to the adjustment had not occurred.
	3. The Affected Party shall resume normal performance of all affected obligations as soon as reasonably possible after the Force Majeure Event ceases to have an impact, and shall notify the Other Party in writing promptly of such resumption.
	4. Nothing in this Clause 1 (Force Majeure) affects the obligations of the Authority to pay the Contract Price.

=======================================================================Liability ClauseX.1 Subject to Clauses X.2 and X.3, the Contractor's liability to the Authority under or in connection with this Contract shall be limited as follows: (a). under Clauses 3 and 4 of DEFCON 76 shall not exceed REDACTED per incident; and (b). under Clause 2 of DEFCON 514 shall not exceed REDACTED in aggregate of the Task Value; and(c). under Clause 8 of DEFCON 611 shall not exceed REDACTED in aggregate of the Task Value; and(d). under Clause 1 of DEFCON 612 shall not exceed REDACTED in aggregate of the Task Value; and (e) under DEFCON 658 shall not exceed REDACTEDper occurrence or series of connected occurrences; and(f) subject to the Task Lead using reasonable endeavours to ensure that the software deliverables or modelling tools used for completion of the Task are free from any known viruses prior to its delivery, liability for loss arising from viruses shall not exceed REDACTED; and(g) liability for breaches excepting breaches under or in connection with X.1(a)-X.1(f) above, shall not exceed REDACTED in aggregate. X.2. Nothing in this Contract shall operate to limit or exclude the Contractor's liability: (a). for: i. any liquidated damages (to the extent expressly provided for under this Contract); ii. any amount(s) which the Authority is entitled to claim, retain or withhold under clause 7 of DEFCON 670 (Tax Compliance), clause 22 and Annex G (Key Performance Indicators and Service Credits, DEFCON 811 and condition 1.3 of Annex I (Insurance Requirements) of this Contract . For the avoidance of doubt, liabilities arising under DEFCON 514 shall be limited in accordance with clause X.1(b) above; iii. Any interest payable in relation to the late payment of any sum due and payable by the Contractor to the Authority under this Contract; iv. Any amount payable by the Contractor to the Authority in accordance with clause 23 and Schedule H of this Contract;  (b) Where the Parties have agreed to assign a risk profile of Green or Yellow to a Task in reliance on information (including in relation to insurance) submitted by or on behalf of the Contractor and the Parties jointly assesses such information to be inaccurate or untrue, the Parties agree that the LoCL provisions on the Task may be amended, and if such amendment cannot be mutually agreed the Authority or Contractor may terminate the Task.  (c). under DEFCONs 91 and 632;  (d). for death or personal injury caused by the Contractor’s negligence or the negligence of any of its personnel, agents, consultants or subcontractors; (e) for fraud, fraudulent misrepresentation and wilful misconduct; (f) in relation to the termination of this Contract on the basis of abandonment by the Contractor; (g). for breach of the terms implied by Section 2 of the Supply of Goods and Services Act 1982; or  (h). for any other liability which cannot be limited or excluded under general (including statute and common) law.  X.3 The Contractor shall not be liable, whether in contract, tort (including negligence), statute or otherwise for any indirect or consequential losses.  X.4. The rights of the Authority under this Contract are in addition to, and not exclusive of, any rights or remedies provided by English (including statute and common) law. X.5 The Parties agree that the following amendment shall be inserted as a last sentence in clause 14.1 of the Special Conditions: “…For the avoidance of doubt, all information and data of the Authority, and any GFF, shall be treated as Issued Property for the purposes of Defcon 611” X.6 For the avoidance of doubt, provisions defined in the Contract and used in this Tasking shall have the meaning set out in the Contract.  X.7 For the further avoidance of doubt, the Contract, including any previous variations, will remain effective and unaltered except as amended by this Tasking. If there is an inconsistency between any of the provisions of the Pilot and the provisions of the Contract, the provisions of the Contract shall prevail. |
|  |
|  **Options and Payments** |
| **Item No** | **Description / Title from Part A** | **£ (ex VAT)\*** | **Expiry Date** |
| **1** | **Option 1**  | REDACTED | **27th March 2025** |
|  |  |  |  |
| \*Price(s) quoted to be held valid until end date of options [ ]  *(If unticked a requote will be required)*  |

|  |
| --- |
| **ASTRID – TASKING FORM – Part C** |
| **1. Offer of Contract:** *(to be completed by Authority Commercial Services)* |
| **Commercial Officer:** |  REDACTED | **Tel:** | REDACTED |
| **Vendor Agreement No** (if applicable)**:** | 706590450 |
| **Purchase Order Number:** | TBC |
| **Start date (T0) is deemed to be:** |  | If preferred, CORDA has given permission for you to amend the table in Part B to show actual due dates. If you make any changes, please change the font to RED and draw attention to them in the ‘comments & clarifications’ box below. |
|  |
| **Commercial comments and clarifications to proposal:** |
|  |
|  |
| **Commercial Approval:** | REDACTED |
| **Date:** | 19 May 23 |
| Please Note: Task Authorisation to be issued by Authority Commercial Services Department once the Vendor Agreement and Purchase Order numbers have been inserted. Any work carried out prior to issue is at the Contractor’s own risk |

|  |
| --- |
| **2. Unqualified Acceptance of Offer made in Part C.1 above:** *(to be completed by the Prime Contractor and returned to Authority’s Commercial Services)* |
| **Name:** |  | **Tel:** |  |
| **Position in Company:**  |  |
| **Signature :** |  | **Date:** |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **ASTRID – TASKING FORM – Part D** |
| **COMPLETION OF TASK** *(to be completed by the Prime Contractor and returned to the nominated Authority Task owner as detailed in Part A - failure to return could result in payment being delayed)* |
|  |
| **For the avoidance of doubt, Section D confirms the final value of the task. The value stated in this section will be the contracted value for the task and will take precedence over any previous values referred to in sections above.**  |
|  |
| **Confirmation of Deliverables as per Part A:**  |
| **Yes** [ ]  | **No** [ ]  |
|  |
| **Actual Task start date:** |  |
| **Actual Task completion date:** |  |
| **Final invoice submitted on:** |  |
| **For firm price of:** | £ |
| **For the final LoL price of:** | £ |
|  |
| **Comments from Contractor on the task:** |
|  |
| ***Task completed to Authority’s satisfaction*** *(to be completed by nominated Task owner)* |
| **Comments from Task owner on the task:** |
|  |
|  |
| **Anticipated exploitation inc timescales:** |  |
| **Follow-up date with End User if necessary:** |  |
|  |
| **Key Performance Indicators (KPIs):** |
| **Timeliness of deliverables:**This KPI is a pass or fail question and each deliverable will be given a score of either 1 for meeting the required date or 0 for failure to meet the required date. Where any agreed contract amendments or changes to the delivery dates have been made, the revised delivery date will supersede the previous agreed date. Where a Deliverable is late as a result of the Authority’s actions, and this is agreed to by the Authority, the deliverable shall be marked as on-time. |
| **Total number of deliverables within task: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_** |
| **Of which on time:**  |  |
| **Of which deemed late:**  |  |
| **Comments / Notes:** |
|  |
| **Quality of Deliverables:** Deliverables are deemed to be accepted once the Authority has reviewed them and has confirmed that they are of an acceptable standard and is willing to pay the invoice associated with the deliverable. Deliverables can be rejected on the grounds of technical, financial and grammatical errors. |
| **Mark:** | **Measure:** | **Number of deliverables in this category:** |
| **Accepted** | Technically and editorially acceptable. Minor changes may be needed to improve exploitability of the output or to tailor the output for the end customer. |  |
| **Minor revisions** | Deliverables require minor editorial and/or technical revisions prior to acceptance. Minor changes may also be needed to improve exploitability of the output or to tailor the output for the customer. |  |
| **Major revisions** | Deliverables require significant editorial and/or technical revisions and further review by the Authority. |  |
| **Rejected** | Deliverables do not meet the requirement and are rejected |  |
|  |
| **Any additional comments / Notes:** |
| **Signed:** |  |
| **Date:** |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **DIRECT LOSS - DEFCON 76 (Damage to Government Establishments)** |
| RISK(Situation) | Worst Case Scenario | Worst Case Cost £ | Mitigation | Post Mitigation Cost£ | Proposed LOL | Contingent Liability | Probability  | Impact |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **TOTAL PROPOSED CONTRACTOR’S LIMIT OF LIABILITY** |  |  |  |  |
|  |
| **DIRECT LOSS - DEFCON 514 (Material Breach)** |
| RISK(Situation) | Worst Case Scenario | Worst Case Cost £ | Mitigation | Post Mitigation Cost£ | Proposed LOL | Contingent Liability | Probability  | Impact |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **TOTAL PROPOSED CONTRACTOR’S LIMIT OF LIABILITY** |  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **DIRECT LOSS - DEFCON 611 (Loss of or damage to Issued Property)** |
| RISK(Situation) | Worst Case Scenario | Worst Case Cost £ | Mitigation | Post Mitigation Cost£ | Proposed LOL | Contingent Liability | Probability  | Impact |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **TOTAL PROPOSED CONTRACTOR’S LIMIT OF LIABILITY** |  |  |  |  |
|  |
| **DIRECT LOSS - DEFCON 612 (Loss of or damage to Articles)** |
| RISK(Situation) | Worst Case Scenario | Worst Case Cost £ | Mitigation | Post Mitigation Cost£ | Proposed LOL | Contingent Liability | Probability  | Impact |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **TOTAL PROPOSED CONTRACTOR’S LIMIT OF LIABILITY** |  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **DIRECT LOSS - NEGLIGENCE (that is not included within DEFCON 76, 514, 611 & 612 above)** |
| RISK(Situation) | Worst Case Scenario | Worst Case Cost £ | Mitigation | Post Mitigation Cost£ | Proposed LOL | Contingent Liability | Probability  | Impact |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **TOTAL PROPOSED CONTRACTOR’S LIMIT OF LIABILITY** |  |  |  |  |
|  |
| **INDIRECT/CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS** |
| RISK(Situation) | Worst Case Scenario | Worst Case Cost £ | Mitigation | Post Mitigation Cost£ | Proposed LOL | Contingent Liability | Probability  | Impact |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **TOTAL PROPOSED CONTRACTOR’S LIMIT OF LIABILITY** |  |  |  |  |