Water Logger Evaluation Criteria

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluation Criteria** | | |
| **Criterion** | **Weighting %** | **Maximum Marks** |
| 4.1 Installation Plan | 30 | 30 |
| 4.2 Maintenance Plan | 20 | 20 |
| 4.3 Commissioning Plan | 20 | 20 |
| Pricing | 30 | 30 |
|  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Quality Threshold** |
| Minimum 50% for each of the 3 quality questions |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Marking Scheme** | **Awarding This Score Means** |
| **100** | An Excellent comprehensive response that fully meets the requirement within the stated content limit. The Potential Provider’s response is fully detailed with supporting evidence and no weaknesses resulting in a high level of confidence |
| **75** | A Good response that meets the requirement with good supporting evidence.  Demonstrates a good understanding of the requirement. |
| **50** | Meets the basic requirements – a reasonable response that generally meets the requirement, but lacks details in some areas. |
| **25** | A Poor response with reservations.  The response lacks convincing detail with risk that the proposal will not be successful in meeting all the requirement. |
| **0** | Failed to meet any aspect of the requirement.  An unacceptable response with serious reservations. |