

Tender Evaluation

Project Title **Fireworks 2023-25**

Tenders Received	

Tender Evaluation Criteria/ Matrix

MEAT	Overall Weighting	Category	Category Weighting	Element	Element Weighting
PRICE	25%	Financial	25%	Cost/ Competativeness	Price Evaluation Matrix
QUALITY	75%	Technical	9%	Capability of company to carry out the specified works	3%
	Materials manufactured in house or readily available.			2%	
	Programme of works provided and in line with timescales requests.			4%	
		Health and Safety	15%	Relevant accreditations in H&S and as required for trades used. E.g NICEIC	4%
	Detailed working practices and procedures/ emergency procedures			3%	
	Appropriate Health and Safety Policy provided or available via website.			4%	
	Competent contractor with experience, training and qualifications.			4%	
		Service Delivery	10%	Company history and experience in the required trades.	2%
	References provided of previous work and received satisfactory responses.			2%	
	Communication structure, provides a responsible person for contact during works			2%	
	Ability to complete works before July 2022.			2%	
	Insurance Cover in place sufficient			2%	
		Quality	15%	Customer Care/ Warranty of material and workmanship	4%
	Quality of previous work from references/ local projects viewed.			3%	
	Professional Membership/ Accreditation			2%	
	Experience on similar projects			4%	
	Aftercare provided			2%	
		Environment	14%	Policy/ Commitment to reducing greenhouse gases	3%
	Distance from site to company for travel during project. Target of 40 miles.			3%	
	Materials supplied impact. E.G recycled vs primary manufacture process.			4%	

	Design Factors	12%	Waste Management and disposal methods/ recycling	4%
			Play factor scoring of entire playground	3%
			SEN Equipment provided	3%
			Age groups between toddler to teen catered for.	3%
			Low Maintenance surfacing provided	3%

Scoring System

Excellent	Exceeds the required standard. Response answers the question with precision and relevance. Includes improvement through innovation/ added value	5
Good	Meets the standard required. Comprehensive response in terms of detail and relevance to the question.	4
Acceptable	Meets the standard in most aspects but fails in some areas. Acceptable level of detail, accuracy and relevance.	3
Limited	Fails the standard in most aspects but meets some. Limited information/ inadequate/ only partially addresses the question.	2
Inadequate	Significantly fails to meet the standard. Inadequate detail provided/ questions not answered/ answers not directly relevant to the question.	1
Not Eligible for Consideration	Completely fails to meet the standard. Response significantly deficient/ no response	0

Pricing Evaluation

Quote	Percentage Rating	Value of Quote	
	25%	£	68,000.00
	24%	£	68,500.00
	23%	£	69,000.00
	22%	£	69,500.00
	21%	£	70,000.00
	20%	£	70,500.00
	19%	£	71,000.00
	18%	£	71,500.00
	17%	£	72,000.00
	16%	£	72,500.00
	15%	£	73,000.00
	14%	£	73,500.00
	13%	£	74,000.00
	12%	£	74,500.00
	11%	£	75,000.00
	10%	£	75,500.00
9%	£	76,000.00	
8%	£	76,500.00	
7%	£	77,000.00	

	6%	£	77,500.00
	5%	£	78,000.00
	0%		