	Tender Scoring Matrix - ISO 11 Incinerator life extension project. Project Management.					Tender 1 - ABC			Tender 2 - LMN				Tenderer 3 - XYZ
Category	Category percentage	INUbcategory	% of category %	Overall % available	Total cost / Score		Notes	Total cost / Score	%	Notes	Total cost , Score	%	Notes
Commercial aspects	50%	Contract Cost (Inverse Proportion): Cheapest tender gets 100% of the overall available. Remaining proposals get a proportion of the 30% available based on comparison to cheapest proposal. Contract Price Breakdown A full breakdown of contract cost and costs per cost item and a schedule of standard hourly / daily and out of hours rates is provided : 0 - Not offered 1 - Significant amissions	60%	30.0%	£ 40	30.00%		£ 50	24.00%		£ 60	20.00%	6
		2 - Minor omissions 3 - Fully completed  Payment terms: 0 - Not acceptable. 1 - Acceptable in part but some amendments required. 2 - Acceptable but not as envisaged in ITT document 3 - As envisaged in ITT document.	10%			5.00%		2.00	3.33%		1.00		
		Insurance requirements at acceptable levels (Public, Employers, Contractors All Risks, Professional Indemnity) 0 - No details 1 - Requires uplift / more details 2 - Acceptable 3 - Exceeds minimum levels	10%			5.00%		2.00	3.33%		1.00		
		Pirbright T&Cs: 0 - Not Accepted by the Tenderer 1 - Accepted with major changes requested by the Tenderer 2 - Accepted with minor changes requested by the Tenderer 3 - Fully Accepted by Tenderer Complete Dependent (Instances) (Instances) (Instances)	10%	5.0%	3.00	5.00%		2.00	3.33%		1.00	1.67%	6
Quality of Tender	50%	Quality of Proposal (how specification will be met): 0 - Very poor quality proposal. 1 - Proposal / presentation of proposal is disjointed/difficult to understand. 2 - Proposal is clear but technical content is limited. 3 - Proposal is clear and technical content is high.	35%	17.50%	3.00	17.50%		2.00	11.67%		1.00	5.83%	6
		Tender documents completed: 0 - Not offered. 1 - 50% completed. 2 -80% completed. 3 - 100% completed.	5%	2.50%	3.00	2.50%		2.00	1.67%		1.00	0.83%	6
		Team & Training Records: 0 - No details offered. 1 - Limited details given. 2 - Full details given, but experience not fully relevant. 3 - Full details given and experience fully relevant.	10%	5.00%	3.00	5.00%		2.00	3.33%		1.00	1.67%	6
		Case study 1: 0 - Not offered 1 - Project not relevant 2 - Relevant project in a dissimilar industry 3 - Relevant project in a similar industry	7.5%	3.75%	3.00	3.75%		2.00	2.50%		1.00	1.25%	6
		Case study 2: 0 - Not offered 1 - Project not relevant 2 - Relevant project in a dissimilar industry 3- Relevant project in a similar industry	7.5%	3.75%	3.00	3.75%		2.00	2.50%		1.00	1.25%	6
		Case study 3: 0 - Not offered 1 - Project not relevant 2 - Relevant project in a dissimilar industry 3 - Relevant project in a similar industry	7.5%	3.75%	3.00	3.75%		2.00	2.50%		1.00	1.25%	6
		ISO 45001 / BS18001 Accreditation (Safety): 0 - None / not offered / alternative system not fully relevant 1 - Alternative system but not fully relevant 2 - ISO 45001 but not fully relevant or fully relevant alternative system 3 - ISO 45001 fully relevant	5.0%	2.50%	3.00	2.50%		2.00	1.67%		1.00	0.83%	6
		ISO9001 Accreditation (Quality): 0 - None / not offered / alternative system not fully relevant 1 - Alternative system 2 - ISO9001 but not fully relevant or fully relevant alternative system 3 - ISO9001 fully relevant	5.0%	2.50%	3.00	2.50%		2.00	1.67%		1.00	0.83%	6
		Example RAMS 0 - not offered. 1 - Poor 2 - Acceptable 3 - Good	18%	8.75%	3.00	8.75%		2.00	5.83%		1.00	2.92%	6
	100.0%			100.00%		100.00%			70.67%			43.33%	6