

ITT Question	ES Catapults TQ Log answers issued to Bidders on DATE
How far do you expect the work tendered to go with respect to e.g. the legal framework change. Are key principals and an outline process enough or do you expect a draft framework?	The answer to this question should be provided by the bidder because it will be based upon the skills, time and funds available. These will decided the depth of the response. We are looking to go beyond concepts and into details such as relationships, accountability and roles/responsibilities
Are you also interested in input / evidence from other countries and cross sector?	The UK regulatory system is unique, any international input to this work will need to understand how this impacts on the GB arrangements. We are focussing on power but understand that this cannot be considered in isolation. We are also focussing on the machinery/process to deliver change and not technical solutions/examples from other countries
Should we consider the other relevant / current work going on in the sector?	FPSA is engaged with a number of the current / relevant initiatives but we are not seeing anything which is looking to unwrap the current governance arrangements. Most are looking at tweaks and adjustments and aren't looking at the challenges from both sides of the meter.
Can you clarify what is required for the final report i.e. a substantial document of some length or one with real content / impact.	We do not judge weight or length or report as a success. We would much rather have real content which has evidence based impact.
Paragraph 10.2.2 (p.13) of your ITT document asks for 3 examples of similar services only, within 300 words. However paragraph 11.1 (p.14) indicates that CVs/Bios will be marked as part of the evaluation of this question. Could you please confirm that CVs/Bios are not required? (and if they are required, if they are to be included within the 300 words allocated for our response to this question?).	<p>10.2.1: Evidence of proven skills via a method statement.</p> <p>10.2.2 Track record and quality as demonstrated through the delivery of previous contracts and client testimonials.</p> <p>CVs and or Bio's provided to support statement do not contribute towards word count and will not be scored. The 3 examples provided will be scored element of this question.</p>
Could you please confirm that the word limit for our response to section 10.2.2 on Examples is a total of 900 words (i.e. 300 words for each of the three examples)?	100 words per example. Short description is required CVs / Bios are not mandatory, if provided they are not subject to the word count
Both sections 10.2.1 on Method Statement and 10.2.2 on Examples of Appendix 1 -2 have requested track records of previous relevant work. Could you please confirm track records are only required in section 10.2.2 on Examples?	<p>10.2.1: Evidence of proven skills via a method statement.</p> <p>10.2.2 Track record and quality as demonstrated through the delivery of previous contracts and client testimonials.</p> <p>CVs and or Bio's provided to support statement do not contribute towards word count.</p>
We note that the deliverables outlined within the slide deck circulated in regards to the pre-response teleconference (slide 26) are significantly different to the deliverables outlined at paragraph 4.3 (p.11) of your ITT document. Could you please clarify on the interaction between the two?	For the avoidance of doubt, the scope in the ITT document is the definitive list of deliverables. The presentation slides have tried to provide a narrative on the skills, competencies and experience that will be needed to deliver the scope identified in the ITT. This is not an exhaustive list, nor is it meant to limit or change the definitive scope, it is an aid to those seeking to understand the context for this part of the programme and the intent of what is needed for the next phase of work.
"Please could you confirm whether the consultant who delivers this commission will be excluded from delivering the FPSA Phase 3 study itself?"	There will be no exclusions applied. Each work package is standalone.
1. We assume that, given the strict confidentiality requirements imposed on bidders, you do not expect us to be exploring consortia opportunities with third parties with a view to submitting a joint consortia bid, and that any consortia of consultants would be selected only by you following receipt of individual bids – please confirm.	We are happy for you to engage with 3rd parties to form a consortia and share this information accordingly. We will not be forming consortia.
2. We assume that the budget range of £120k - £140k is your total overall budget for consultancy services, including where a number of consultants are engaged to work in consortia, but please confirm.	The higher end of the range is our maximum budget to complete this element of the works
3. Can you clarify please Section 10.2 and the content of the Method Statement (10.2.1) and Examples (10.2.2) which together comprise the Technical Proposal, and how these align with your method of evaluation per Section 11.1?	<p>10.2.1: Evidence of proven skills via a method statement.</p> <p>10.2.2 Track record and quality as demonstrated through the delivery of previous contracts and client testimonials.</p> <p>CVs and or Bio's provided to support statement do not contribute towards word count.</p>
Section 11.1 of the RFP clearly states that Is it possible for ESC to split the award of the contract. Is it therefore possible for an independent consultant to bid for part of the scope of work or to bid a level of resource towards the overall programme or works?	This is standard wording that allows us to split the award if it is in our interest. You must submit a bid that meets all the requirements and not part of.
Can you specify please the FPSA project team members, and their respective areas of expertise and specialisms?	Attached
* Regarding clause 7.4 in your Appendix 3 T&Cs document, could you please clarify what jurisdiction/s you anticipate holding data in, and how you will ensure that this complies with the requirements of the Data Protection Act 1998?	At this moment in time we don't currently anticipate that we will need to transfer data outside of the UK