

Serapis Tasking Form

Tasking Form Part 1: *(to be completed by the Authority's Project Manager)*

To:	Lot 6 Frazer-Nash Consultancy Ltd	From:	The Authority
Any Task placed as a result of your quotation will be subject to the Terms and Conditions of Framework Agreement Number: LOT 6 DSTL/AGR/SERAPIS/UND/01			
VERSION CONTROL			
V0.1			
REQUIREMENT			
Proposal Required by:	20/06/2022	Task ID Number:	UCDAII91
The Authority Project Manager:	[REDACTED]	The Authority Technical Point of Contact:	[REDACTED]
Task Title:	MDBD - Horizon Scanning and Technology Watch		
Required Start Date:	04/07/2022	Required End Date:	31/03/25
Requisition No:	RQ000008801	Budget Range	£500k
TASK DESCRIPTION AND SPECIFICATION			
Serapis Framework Lot	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lot 1: Collect <input type="checkbox"/> Lot 2: Space systems <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lot 3: Decide <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lot 4: Assured information infrastructure <input type="checkbox"/> Lot 5: Synthetic environment and simulation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lot 6: Understand		
Statement of Requirements (SOR)			
Horizon scanning and technology watch: Digital Battlespace Deception			
<p>The pace of technological change and the ease of access to technologies coupled with the continuous execution of sub-threshold actions by our adversaries seeking to undermine UK interests presents a broad range of threats to western military advantage. This persistent competition with adversaries below the threshold of armed conflict means that Defence must prepare to protect UK interests, compete with and where necessary constrain adversaries in the sub-threshold space.</p> <p>In the future battlespace (both physical and virtual), defence assets and operations will be detectable everywhere through ubiquitous ISR (intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance) and advanced processing including that using machine learning and artificial intelligence. Technologies which enable Defence to conduct operations whilst 'hiding in plain sight' will deliver a step change in; freedom of manoeuvre and force protection; the ability to introduce uncertainty in adversary commanders understanding and the ability to conduct discrete, non-attributable operations.</p> <p>The 'Mastering Digital Battlespace Deception' (MDBD) project aims to identify innovative ideas and novel technologies which have potential for use in military deception activities to enable the UK to conduct operations 'hiding in plain sight', whilst creating uncertainty and presenting dilemmas to our adversaries. The project</p>			

recognises the need to develop and maintain horizon scanning activity to identify early stage technology that may have potential and the need to maintain technology watch on those technologies identified as being of interest.

Task requirement

Proposals are sought that would provide the project with a baseline of current technology and regular horizon scanning and selected technology watch outputs identifying new ideas, techniques and technology for further investigation within the project.

Dstl defines Horizon Scanning as:

Processes and techniques for the systematic identification, at an early stage, of important but unfamiliar developments, to create new opportunities and mitigate risks arising through surprise.

Dstl defines Technology Watch as:

Processes and techniques for monitoring the evolution of technologies and technology areas which are known to be of interest. These include following the maturation cycle of emerging technologies and identifying new applications for existing technologies.

Therefore, in horizon scanning, new technical areas of interest are realised only after information has been retrieved, whereas in technology watch, technical areas of interest are specified before information is retrieved. Ideas can be at any maturity level from initial idea to concept but should be innovative and present a fresh approach for military deception.

The scope of the MDBD project covers all aspects of 'technical' deception. Whilst 'technical' deception is not an official term, it is taken to refer to the use of technology options as part of deception actions.

An initial baselining activity would provide a snapshot of;

- Technologies with the potential for use in deception activity
- The current level of development of technologies and likelihood of further development
- For those technologies that are commercially available
- The availability (eg. widespread or single source)
 - Current usage/market
 - Indicative costs
 - Likelihood of further development

It is suggested that following the baselining activity, horizon scanning output is delivered quarterly over a period of three years. Selected topics for technology watch would be agreed following discussions with Dstl and the supplier and updates on those topics would be provided at least annually.

Benefits

The expectation is that this task will;

Maintain a watching brief for new technologies and concepts that may have potential for use in UK military deception activities.

Increase understanding through technology watch on early technologies identified as having potential.

Procurement Strategy

Lot Lead to recommend Single Source / Direct Award

Pricing:

Firm Pricing Ascertained Costs* Other*

Firm Pricing shall be in accordance with DEFCON 127 and DEFCON 643
 Ascertained Costs shall be in accordance with DEFCON 653 or DEFCON 802.
 *only at Authority's discretion

Task IP Conditions

Task IP Conditions (Follow the [REDACTED] guide to identify your information and IP requirements for each deliverable)	Summary of the Authority's rights in foreground IP (IP generated by the supplier in performance of the contract)
DEFCON 703 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vests ownership with the Authority
DEFCON 705 Full Rights <input type="checkbox"/>	Enables MOD to share in confidence as GFI or IRC under certain types of agreements. Can be shared in confidence within UK Government.
OTHER IP DEFCONS: 14* <input type="checkbox"/> , 15* <input type="checkbox"/> , 16* <input type="checkbox"/> , 90* <input type="checkbox"/> , 91* <input type="checkbox"/> , 126* <input type="checkbox"/>	Generally only suitable for deliverables at TRL 6 and above.
BESPOKE IP Clause <input type="checkbox"/> *	Details to be added and agreed by IP Group

* Do not use without IPG advice and approval

Please state in this text box if MOD or the customer has a requirement a) that one or more Other Government Departments is able to share confidentially with their own suppliers, b) to publish but you do not think there is a requirement to own or control the deliverable, or c) to share under a procurement Memorandum of Understanding (MOU).*

*If any of these three issues applies, please contact IPG for advice before completing this form. *Listing research MOUs is not required, but can be a helpful courtesy to the supplier.*

DELIVERABLES

Ref	Title	Due by	Format	TRL	Expected classification (subject to change)	Information required in deliverable	IPR DEFCON
D-1	Baseline Report	T0+2 Months	Document (*.docx)		[REDACTED]	Technologies with the potential for use in deception activity	703
D-x	Quarterly Progress Report	T0+5 Months	Document (*.docx)			The current level of development of technologies and likelihood of further development For those technologies that are commercially available: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o The availability (eg. widespread or single source) o Current usage/market o Indicative costs o Likelihood of further development 	

<p>DELIVERABLE: ACCEPTANCE / REJECTION CRITERIA</p> <p>Unless otherwise stated below, Standard Deliverable Acceptance / Rejection applies. This is 30 business days, in accordance with DEFCON 524 Rejection, and DEFCON 525 Acceptance.</p> <p>Standard Deliverable Acceptance / Rejection:- Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (DEFCON 524 Rejection, and DEFCON 525 Acceptance) No <input type="checkbox"/> (if no, please state details of applicable criteria below)</p> <p>Deliverable Acceptance / Rejection Criteria:- <i>If there are any other specific acceptance/rejection criteria you would like to apply to any of the deliverables, please state them here.</i></p>											
<p>Government Furnished Assets (GFA)</p> <p>ISSUE OF EQUIPMENT/RESOURCES/INFORMATION/FACILITIES - NONE</p>											
<p>QUALITY STANDARDS</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ISO9001 (Quality Management Systems)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ISO14001 (Environment Management Systems)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ISO12207 (Systems and software engineering — software life cycle)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> TickITPlus (Integrated approach to software and IT development)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: (Please specify in free text below)</p>											
<p>SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THE WORK – [REDACTED]</p> <p>The highest classification of this SOR OFFICIAL <input type="checkbox"/> OFFICIAL-SENSITIVE <input type="checkbox"/> SECRET <input type="checkbox"/> TOP SECRET <input type="checkbox"/> STRAP <input type="checkbox"/> SAP <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>The highest expected classification of the work carried out by the contractor OFFICIAL <input type="checkbox"/> OFFICIAL-SENSITIVE <input type="checkbox"/> SECRET <input type="checkbox"/> TOP SECRET <input type="checkbox"/> STRAP <input type="checkbox"/> SAP <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>The highest expected classification of Deliverables/Output OFFICIAL <input type="checkbox"/> OFFICIAL-SENSITIVE <input type="checkbox"/> SECRET <input type="checkbox"/> TOP SECRET <input type="checkbox"/> STRAP <input type="checkbox"/> SAP <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Is a Security Aspects Letter (SAL) required? <i>(A Security Aspects Letter (SAL) will be required for each Task above Official-Sensitive and above)</i> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p>											
<p>TASK CYBER RISK ASSESSMENT. <i>(In accordance with DEF STAN 05-138 and the Risk Assessment Workflow)</i></p> <table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width:35%;">Cyber Risk Level</td> <td>[REDACTED]</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Risk Assessment Reference</td> <td>[REDACTED]</td> </tr> </table>								Cyber Risk Level	[REDACTED]	Risk Assessment Reference	[REDACTED]
Cyber Risk Level	[REDACTED]										
Risk Assessment Reference	[REDACTED]										

ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS APPLICABLE TO THIS CONTRACT

Please ensure all completed forms are copied to DSTLSERAPIS@dstl.gov.uk when sending to the Lot Lead.

Tasking Form Part 2: *(To be completed by the Lot Lead)*

To: The Authority		From: The Lot Lead	
Proposal Reference	016879-97566L UCDAII91 MDBD Horizon Scanning And Technology Watch – Frazer- Nash Proposal (attached)		
Delivery of the requirement:			
The proposal <u>shall</u> include, but not be limited to:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A full technical proposal that meets the individual activities that are detailed in Statement of Requirements (Part 1 to Tasking Form). • Breakdown of individual Deliverables, with corresponding Intellectual Property rights applied. • Breakdown of Interim Milestone Payments, with corresponding due dates. • A work breakdown structure/project plan with key dates and deliverables identified. • A list of required Government Furnished Assets from the Authority, including required delivery dates. • A clear identification of Dependencies, Assumptions, Risks and Exclusions which underpin your Technical Proposal. • Sub-Contractors Personnel Particulars Research Worker Form and security clearances (if applicable) 			
PRICE BREAKDOWN			
<p><i>You are to use the costs detailed in Item 2 Table 1 in the Schedule of Requirement and at Annex E Table 2 of the Serapis Framework Agreement. Please also provide a price breakdown which should include, but is not limited to: Lot Lead Rates, Sub-contractors costs and rates, travel and subsistence. In support of your Proposal you are requested to provide clear details of all Dependencies, Assumptions, Risks and Exclusions that underpin your price.</i></p>			
Offer of Contract: <i>(to be completed and signed by the Contractor’s Commercial or Contract Manager)</i>			
Total Proposal Price in £	£476,393.69	(ex VAT)	
Start Date:	25/07/2022	End Date:	31/03/2025
Lot Leads Representative	Name	[REDACTED]	
	Tel	[REDACTED]	
	Email	[REDACTED]	
	Date	27 th July 2022	
Position in Company	[REDACTED]		
Signature	[REDACTED]		

Milestone M1						
Description	TMS cost (£)	Self-Delivery cost (£)	Sub-contractor cost (£)	Total milestone cost (£)	Milestone due date	DEFCON
[REDACTED]						
Milestone LMS recovery (£)			Total cost			
Milestone M2						
Description	TMS cost (£)	Self-Delivery cost (£)	Sub-contractor cost (£)	Total milestone cost (£)	Milestone due date	DEFCON
[REDACTED]						
Milestone M3						
Description	TMS cost (£)	Self-Delivery cost (£)	Sub-contractor cost (£)	Total milestone cost (£)	Milestone due date	DEFCON
[REDACTED]						
Milestone M4						
Description	TMS cost (£)	Self-Delivery cost (£)	Sub-contractor cost (£)	Total milestone cost (£)	Milestone due date	DEFCON
[REDACTED]						
Milestone M5						
Description	TMS cost (£)	Self-Delivery cost (£)	Sub-contractor cost (£)	Total milestone cost (£)	Milestone due date	DEFCON
[REDACTED]						

Milestone M6						
Description	TMS cost (£)	Self-Delivery cost (£)	Sub-contractor cost (£)	Total milestone cost (£)	Milestone due date	DEFCON
[REDACTED]						
Milestone M7						
Description	TMS cost (£)	Self-Delivery cost (£)	Sub-contractor cost (£)	Total milestone cost (£)	Milestone due date	DEFCON
[REDACTED]						
Milestone M8						
Description	TMS cost (£)	Self-Delivery cost (£)	Sub-contractor cost (£)	Total milestone cost (£)	Milestone due date	DEFCON
[REDACTED]						
Milestone M9						
Description	TMS cost (£)	Self-Delivery cost (£)	Sub-contractor cost (£)	Total milestone cost (£)	Milestone due date	DEFCON
[REDACTED]						
Milestone M10						
Description	TMS cost (£)	Self-Delivery cost (£)	Sub-contractor cost (£)	Total milestone cost (£)	Milestone due date	DEFCON

[REDACTED]						
Milestone M11						
Description	TMS cost (£)	Self-Delivery cost (£)	Sub-contractor cost (£)	Total milestone cost (£)	Milestone due date	DEFCON
[REDACTED]						
Milestone M12						
Description	TMS cost (£)	Self-Delivery cost (£)	Sub-contractor cost (£)	Total milestone cost (£)	Milestone due date	DEFCON
[REDACTED]						
Milestone M13						
Description	TMS cost (£)	Self-Delivery cost (£)	Sub-contractor cost (£)	Total milestone cost (£)	Milestone due date	DEFCON
[REDACTED]						
Milestone M14						
Description	TMS cost (£)	Self-Delivery cost (£)	Sub-contractor cost (£)	Total milestone cost (£)	Milestone due date	DEFCON
[REDACTED]						

Milestone M15						
Description	TMS cost (£)	Self-Delivery cost (£)	Sub-contractor cost (£)	Total milestone cost (£)	Milestone due date	DEFCON
[REDACTED]						
Milestone M16						
Description	TMS cost (£)	Self-Delivery cost (£)	Sub-contractor cost (£)	Total milestone cost (£)	Milestone due date	DEFCON
[REDACTED]						
Milestone M17						
Description	TMS cost (£)	Self-Delivery cost (£)	Sub-contractor cost (£)	Total milestone cost (£)	Milestone due date	DEFCON
[REDACTED]						
Milestone M18						
Description	TMS cost (£)	Self-Delivery cost (£)	Sub-contractor cost (£)	Total milestone cost (£)	Milestone due date	DEFCON
[REDACTED]						
Milestone M19						
Description	TMS cost (£)	Self-Delivery cost (£)	Sub-contractor cost (£)	Total milestone cost (£)	Milestone due date	DEFCON
[REDACTED]						



[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



Tasking Form Part 3:

To be completed by the Authority's Commercial Officer and copied to the Authority's Project Manager.

1. Acceptance of Contract:		
Authority's Commercial Officer	Name	[REDACTED]
	Tel	[REDACTED]
	Email	[REDACTED]
	Date	9/8/22
Requisition Number	RQ0000008801	
Contractor's Proposal Number	016879/566L V3 Dated 27/7/22	
Purchase Order Number	DSTL0000006415	
Signature	[REDACTED]	
<i>Please Note: Task authorisation to be issued by the Authority's Commercial Officer or Contract Manager. Any work carried out prior to authorisation is at the Contractor's own risk.</i>		