Project Title	Fireworks 2023-25
Tenders Received	

**Tender Evaluation** 

## **Tender Evaluation Criteria/ Matrix**

	Overall		Category		Element
MEAT	Weighting	Category	Weighting	Element	Weighting
					Price
			25%		Evaluation
PRICE	25%	Financial		Cost/ Competativeness	Matrix
				Capability of company to carry out the specified	
QUALITY	75%			works	3%
		Technical	9%	Materials manufactured in house or readily	
		rechnical		available.	2%
				Programme of works provided and in line with	
				timescales requests.	4%
			450/	Relevant accreditations in H&S and as required for	
				trades used. E.g NICEIC	4%
				Detailed working practices and procedures/	
		Hoalth and Cafaty		emergency procedures	3%
		Health and Safety	15%	Appropiate Health and Safety Policy provided or	
				available via website.	4%
				Competent contractor with experience, training	
				and qualifications.	4%
				Company history and experience in the required	
				trades.	2%
				References provided of previous work and received	
				satifactory responses.	2%
		Service Delivery	10%	Communication structure, provides a responsible	
				person for contact during works	2%
				Availability to provide display on the 5th November	2%
				Insurance Cover in place sufficient	3%
				Customer Care/ Warranty of material and	
				workmanship	4%
	Quality	15%	Quality of previous work from references/ local		
			projects viewed.	3%	
				Professional Membership/ Accreditation	2%
				Experience on similar projects	4%
				Aftercare provided	2%
				Policy/ Commitment to reducing greenhouse gases	20/
				Distance from site to company for travel during	3%
					30/
		Environment	1/1%	project. Target of 40 miles.	3%

	LIIVII OIIIIIEIIL	±≒/0	Materials supplied impact. E.G recycled vs primary manufacture process.  Waste Management and disposal methods/recycling	4% 4%
			Display ideas / examples	3%
	Design Factors	12%	Display to music	3%
			Display suitable for audience size	3%
			Audio system	3%

Scoring System				
Excellent	Exceeds the required standard. Response answers the question with precision and relevance. Includes improvement through innovation/ added value	5		
Good	Meets the standard required. Comprehensive response in terms of detail and relevance to the question.	4		
Acceptable	Meets the standard in most aspects but fails in some areas. Acceptable level of detail, accuracy and relavance.	3		
Limited	Fails the standard in most aspects but meets some. Limited information/ inadequate/ only partially addressess the question.	2		
Inadequate	Significantly fails to meet the standard. Inadequate detail provided/ questions not answred/ answers not directly relevant to the question.	1		
Not Eligble for Consideration	Completely fails to meet the standard. Response significantly deficient/ no response	0		

## **Pricing Evaluation**

	Percentage Rating	Valu	e of Quote
	25%	£	68,000.00
	24%	£	68,500.00
	23%	£	69,000.00
	22%	£	69,500.00
	21%	£	70,000.00
	20%	£	70,500.00
	19%	£	71,000.00
	18%	£	71,500.00
	17%	£	72,000.00
	16%	£	72,500.00
Quote	15%	£	73,000.00
	14%	£	73,500.00
	13%	£	74,000.00
	12%	£	74,500.00
	11%	£	75,000.00
	10%	£	75,500.00
	9%	£	76,000.00
	8%	£	76,500.00

7%	£	77,000.00
6%	£	77,500.00
5%	£	78,000.00
0%		