RCloud Tasking Form – Part B: Statement of Requirement (SoR)

Title of Requirement	Support to [REDACTED UNDER FOIA EXEMPTION]	
Requisition No.	RQ000001868	
SoR Version	0.1	

1.	Statement of Requirements						
1.1	Summary and Background Information						
	Exploitation of Frazer Nash machine learning software and hardware integration [REDACTED UNDER FOIA EXEMPTION]						
	Frazer Nash have worked closely with Dstl [REDACTED UNDER FOIA EXEMPTION] Frazer Nash is key to enable exploitation of previously funded work ensuring best value for money for Dstl.						
1.2	Requirement						
	1) Dstl requires Frazer Nash to provide deliverables against the following requirements:						
	a) [REDACTED UNDER FOIA EXEMPTION]						
	Quality Control and Assurance a) Dstl set out to confirm that work shall be undertaken in accordance with ISO9001 Quality Management Systems, and b) TickITPlus Integrated approach to software and IT development						
	 3) Security a) The highest classification of the work shall be UK OFFICIAL SENSITIVE, and the highest classification of the deliverables / output is UK OFFICIAL b) Dstl shall issue a Security Aspects Letter to support this requirement, and c) Dstl shall advise of the Cyber risk level and associated requirements. 						
	4) Budget. a) The available budget within for this task, for completion with the current financial year has been identified at a maximum value of £150,000						
1.3	Options or follow on work (if none, write 'Not applicable')						
	Dstl requires Frazer Nash to provide firm price costed options against the following requirements: a) [REDACTED UNDER FOIA EXEMPTION] b) [REDACTED UNDER FOIA EXEMPTION] c) [REDACTED UNDER FOIA EXEMPTION] 2) [REDACTED UNDER FOIA EXEMPTION]						

	Dstl set out to confirm that the identified options and any utilization of the tasking mechanism may be exerted during the following financial years, within a defined cost envelope outlined below:			
	Financial Year 22/23: Maximum available budget for costed options and / or additional tasks set at £500,000			
	Financial Year 23/24: Maximum available budget for costed options and / or additional tasks set at £500,000			
1.4	Contract Management Activities			
	The contract shall be managed by the Dstl Project Manager in accordance with the departments' contract management requirements.			
1.5				

1.6	Deliverables & Intellectual Property Rights (IPR)						
Ref.	Title	Due by	Format	Expected classification (subject to change)	What information is required in the deliverable	IPR Condition	
WP1-1	Kick Off Meeting	ТО	MS Teams or Face to Face	OFFICIAL	 Content including but not limited to: Agree and confirm scope of work Confirm progress reporting and communications arrangements Identify stakeholders Discuss and agree use case 	Default RCloud Agreement Terms and Conditions shall apply	
WP1-2	System Definition Summary Presentation	T0 + 1 week	.pdf	OFFICIAL- SENSITIVE	Technical report showing detail of approach	Default RCloud Agreement Terms and Conditions shall apply	
WP2	Monthly Progress Reports	Monthly following project Kick Off	.pdf	OFFICIAL- SENSITIVE	 Monthly updates including but not limited to: Progress against planned tasks Planned activities for forthcoming period Issues Support required 	Default RCloud Agreement Terms and Conditions shall apply	

WP3-1	[REDACTED UNDER FOIA EXEMPTION]	End of FY21/22 (No Later than 31/03/22)	One day demonstration	OFFICIAL- SENSITIVE	[REDACTED UNDER FOIA EXEMPTION]	Default RCloud Agreement Terms and Conditions shall apply
WP3-2	Technical Summary Report	End of FY21/22 (No Later than 31/03/22)	.pdf	OFFICIAL- SENSITIVE	 Including content but not limited to: Overview of baseline performance; Key findings; Areas of improvement and future development work. 	Default RCloud Agreement Terms and Conditions shall apply

.

1.7 Deliverable Acceptance Criteria All deliverables listed in section 1.6 must be delivered in full, and a demonstration conducted in a location agreed between Dstl and Frazer Nash.

2	Evaluation Criteria				
2.1	Method Explanation				
2.2	Technical Evaluation Criteria				
	The technical team shall conduct a subjective evaluation how well the submitted proposal meets the Dstl statement of requirement, and defined deliverables.				
2.3	Commercial Evaluation Criteria				
	 The commercial evaluation shall be based on the following Pass / Fail governance questions: Has the supplier submitted One fully priced commercial & technical Proposal, and One Unpriced commercial & technical Proposal? Has the supplier provided a firm price for the core work, and provided a firm price for the Options specified in Section 1.3, paragraph 1a to 1c inclusive? Has the supplier priced the proposal in alignment with the applicable RCloud rate card? Has a Part C task Response Form been uploaded as part of the response? Have personal particular research worker forms been submitted for key individuals delivering the task, or have security clearances been confirmed / available for verification. 				