

RCloud Tasking Form – Part B: Statement of Requirement (SoR)

Title of Requirement	Active Stabilisation Capability Assessment data
Requisition No.	1000168973
SoR Version	0.1

1.	Statement of Requirements
1.1	Summary and Background Information
	<p>Redacted under FOIA exemption. This task will require Redacted under FOIA exemption to evaluate Redacted under FOIA exemption systems against a set of criteria in order for Dstl to understand its TRL and SRL (Technology Readiness Level, and System Readiness Level).</p>
1.2	Requirement
	<p>Core Work Dstl requires Redacted under FOIA exemption produce evidence and data on the TRL and SRL of the Redacted under FOIA exemption system against a set of test and evaluation criteria, which shall be provided by Dstl as Government Furnished Information (GFI). This information includes but is not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Redacted under FOIA exemption • HF performance • Redacted under FOIA exemption • System reliability • System robustness • Operational readiness <p>The following quality requirements have been specified for this requirement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ISO9001 Quality Management Systems <p>In support this task Dstl confirm that the following Government Furnished Information shall be made available to you:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Redacted under FOIA exemption <p>Dstl set out to provide the following financial profile regarding core work, and potential additional tasks / follow on work which are identified a whiteboard option and subject to confirmation of funding availability:</p>

	FY21/22	FY22/23	FY23/24	FY24/25
Task	Redacted under FOIA exemption	Out of Scope		
Approved Funding	Redacted under FOIA exemption	N/A	N/A	N/A
White Board Scope	Redacted under FOIA exemption			
Maximum Limit of Liability [Subject to funding approval]	Redacted under FOIA exemption	N/A	N/A	N/A

1.3 Options or follow on work *(if none, write 'Not applicable')*

The following additional scope has been identified as option technical tasks.

It should be noted that the Authority shall not be obliged to exercise any of the following technical scope or tasks

During the course of the contract technical opportunities (and additional funding) *may* present themselves which are not listed in the requirements in section 1.2. A non-exhaustive list is below, with example subject areas referenced, noting any additional, unidentified, white board requirements for further research and development can be identified and considered as a White board option, by the supplier and Dstl technical team.

The non-exhaustive list below will, following contract award, be defined as the start of a series of “white board” options that will be subject to review and modification.

The scope of these options will be reviewed by Dstl Project Management team and commercial to ensure they remain within the bounds of the original SoR and switched on when the Dstl Technical and Project Management team decide it would benefit the project and is financially viable.

- Assessment, testing, and evaluation of Redacted under FOIA exemption systems procured by Dstl which may include but is not limited to:
Redacted under FOIA exemption
- Additional tasks shall support supporting the wider Dstl Specialist Systems programme, which include, but is not limited to supporting the following projects:
Redacted under FOIA exemption
- Additional Research and Development (Task based) in support of White Board Options

In addition to this, Redacted under FOIA exemption may be required to look at technology from the RS1 and RS2 and develop the most applicable system that works for the Redacted under FOIA exemption

	The White board options shall be available to exert until 31/03/22, and shall be subject to a formal change control process whereby a firm price shall be agreed for the scope and a revised Tasking Form and associated purchase order shall be issued, clearly identifying the scope of the agreed change(s).
1.4	Contract Management Activities
	Contract Management shall be performed locally by the Dstl project team, and this shall predominately be focused around compliance with the task deliverables, scope, and due dates.
1.5	Health & Safety, Environmental, Social, Ethical, Regulatory or Legislative aspects of the requirement
	No aspects identified.

1.6	Deliverables & Intellectual Property Rights (IPR)					
Ref.	Title	Due by	Format	Expected classification (subject to change)	What information is required in the deliverable	IPR Condition
D-1	Progress Reporting	Monthly, Commencing T0 +1m	Presentation (.pptx) Or MS Word (.doc)	OFFICIAL	The deliverable shall include, but not be limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evidence as to the performance against the evaluation criteria as captured per month Risks / Issues 	DEFAULT RCloud IPR Clause Supplied as Full Rights Version
D-2	Final Report	No Later Than 31/03/2022	Written report (.doc)	OFFICIAL-SENSITIVE	The report shall include but not be limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A report referencing previous progress reports on Redacted under FOIA exemption TRL and SRL against the evaluation criteria 	DEFAULT RCloud IPR Clause Supplied as Full Rights Version
D-3	Task for Additional Work.	Details to be specified by Dstl on a per requirement basis.				

1.7	Deliverable Acceptance Criteria
	<p>Deliverables shall be delivered in accordance with Clause 19 Deliverable Report Marking</p> <p>All Reports shall be free from spelling and grammatical errors and shall be set out in accordance with the Statement Of Requirement (1) above.</p> <p>Failure to comply with the above may result in the Authority rejecting the deliverables and requesting re-work before final acceptance.</p> <p>The deliverables shall further be subject to the following specific acceptance criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The supplier must provide the evidence of the Redacted under FOIA exemption performance against the Dstl evaluation criteria.

2	Evaluation Criteria
2.1	Method Explanation
	As the requirement is being offered on a non-competitive bases, the proposal shall be subjected to an informal review, and where required feedback shall be issued to the supplier.
2.2	Technical Evaluation Criteria
	The technical team shall assess how well the proposal demonstrates the ability to meet the Statement of Requirement, and task objectives.
2.3	Commercial Evaluation Criteria
	<p>The commercial evaluation shall consists of the following Pass / Fail questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Has the proposal been submitted a firm price, using the accepted RCloud rate card for non-competitive tasks? Has the proposal been submitted within the Dstl budget of Redacted under FOIA exemption. [Nb. This question is for the core work only, and excludes reference to any additional or follow on work referenced] The proposal is fully compliant, and accepts, the RCloud v4 terms in full The proposal has included a Supplier Assurance Questionnaire (SAQ) in response to the specified Cyber Risk Assessment detailed in RCloud Document Part A, and the response has included the DCPD correspondence. The supplier has submitted One (1) Full Technical proposal excluding all commercial and price details, and has submitted One (1) Full Commercial and Technical proposal including all price data.