RFQ178 Clarification Questions

Q: Page 7 under ‘Detailed Requirement’ states that ‘You are invited to submit a fee proposal for one or more of the following project team roles:…..’

We read this as each single discipline practice can individually submit a tender ie We will bid for the QS and PM elements only. Can you please clarify that this understanding is correct please and also that if we adopt this approach that we will not be disadvantaged when compared/scored against bids from a multi-disciplinary practice or a combined team who would bid for all of the disciplines as one entity.

A: Practices who bid for a single or limited number of disciplines will not be disadvantaged when assessed against a multi disciplinary bid, as each individual discipline will be considered in the assessment process. We will welcome a bid from a company for PM and QS only.

Q: Are there any existing drawings of the buildings at present

A: Initial Plans Riba stage 2 now uploaded

Q: Will the procurement process be traditional and in that case is it acceptable for the architect to act as PM and CA for the T level project, stages 2 -6.

A: The T level project will be traditional procurement and yes the architect can act as PM

Q: Are you expecting that the professional team will be appointed by the college as one entity, i.e. Lead designer employing all sub consultant designers, or will the college seek to appoint each sub consultant directly

A: Preference will be for direct appointments with each consultant but a multi - disciplinary appointment may be considered