

Gallery Library and Museum (GLaM) - Improvements and Transformation to RIBA Stage 3 Clarification Q & A

Question	Answer
1. What option, within the feasibility study, is the contract based on?	The contract is based on the transformational option identified within the feasibility study.
2. What is the scope of the project in RIBA terms	This contract is to cover work from the existing feasibility report, so RIBA 1 right through to and inclusive of RIBA stage 3, over a 7month programme May to December 2020
3. In addition to architectural services what other services are to be included and costed?	<p>It would be our expectation that any bidders, in addition to costing for architectural services, would provide costs for surveys and other consultants that would be required in order to move the project on to RIBA Stage 3. This would include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Measured building surveys, arboricultural survey • Other consultants: historic building specialist, structural engineer, cost consultant, M&E consultant, interior designer, landscape services etc. Can the cost of these elements be itemised for transparency as we may decide to procure separately • Please note pre- and post-contract programmes are required
4. Is funding secured to deliver the overall project and what are the expected construction costs?	The project is at present being developed for the pipeline of future funding opportunities which will emerge via SEMLEP (our Local Enterprise Partnership) at present anticipated construction costs are £ 3.6m (inclusive of fees) and expected to cover all three GLaM buildings
5. Do we need to include costs for preparing and submitted planning applications?	The scope of the works is to have sufficient information to enable Kettering Borough Council to submit a listed and outline planning consent in January 2021 (however we may choose to contract this out to successful contractor as an additional piece of work).
6. Do you have measured surveys for each of the GLaM buildings?	We do not have electronic measured surveys for each of the buildings – this would need to be included in your quote.
7. The questions within ITQ4 are more suited to construction RIBA stage 4/5 than Consultants Stage 1-3 . Are there plans to amend?	Our procurement team tends to use standard templates, which isn't ideal at times – so please mark relevant areas specified below as either not applicable or if you are able, answer these in accordance within an architectural scope of responsibility *** Please note, we have as at 12noon 1st May, amended wording on ITQ4 to better reflect relevant RIBA stage

8. Do we need to include / cost for public engagement in the quote?	It is not anticipated that there will be any public stakeholder engagement at this stage – we would anticipate this would be at technical RIBA 4 . There would however be engagement with key stakeholders that participated in feasibility study i.e. key staff members, Friends of ... groups
9. What funding streams have been/or are being explored?	We have been exploring various funding streams based on both options identified in the feasibility study (i.e. 1. Refreshment Option and 2. Transformational Option) this has included National Heritage Lottery Fund and Cultural Development Fund. In 2019 an unsuccessful bid was submitted to the Local Growth Fund(LGF) based on 1. Refreshment option. Feedback from SEMLEP (our local enterprise partnership who administer LGF) was that the Transformational option would be the preferred option in terms of outputs and impact. On their advice we have resubmitted an application based on Transformational option for future pipeline funding when it becomes available– as such we are looking to progress the project to outline planning stage.