



Invitation to Quote

Questions

Invitation to Quote (ITQ) on behalf of **UK Research and Innovation (UKRI)**

Subject: **RIKEN SEPARATOR POWER SUPPLIES**

Sourcing Reference Number: **UK SBS PR20005**

Section 6 – Evaluation questionnaire

Bidders should note that the evaluation questionnaire is located within the **e-sourcing questionnaire**.

Guidance on completion of the questionnaire is available at
<http://www.uksbs.co.uk/services/procure/Pages/supplier.aspx>

PLEASE NOTE THE QUESTIONS ARE NOT NUMBERED SEQUENTIALLY

COMMERCIAL QUESTIONNAIRE

SEL1.1	Please state the full legal name and address and contact details of the organisation tendering (or organisation acting as lead contact where a consortium bid is being submitted).																																	
Bidder Guidance	The information should be based on the details of the organisation bidding (or organisation acting as lead contact where a consortium bid is being submitted). This is the legal entity with whom we will Contract if successful.																																	
Scoring Criteria	For information only																																	
Answer Type	<div style="background-color: yellow;"> Text <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 5%;">(a)</td><td style="width: 70%;">Bidders full legal name</td><td style="width: 25%;"></td></tr> <tr> <td>(b)</td><td>Address line 1</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>Address line 2</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>Address line 3</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>Address line 4</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>Town / City</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>Country</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>Post code (or equivalent)</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>(c)</td><td>Bidder contact</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>(d)</td><td>Telephone No.</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>(e)</td><td>Email</td><td></td></tr> </table> </div>	(a)	Bidders full legal name		(b)	Address line 1			Address line 2			Address line 3			Address line 4			Town / City			Country			Post code (or equivalent)		(c)	Bidder contact		(d)	Telephone No.		(e)	Email	
(a)	Bidders full legal name																																	
(b)	Address line 1																																	
	Address line 2																																	
	Address line 3																																	
	Address line 4																																	
	Town / City																																	
	Country																																	
	Post code (or equivalent)																																	
(c)	Bidder contact																																	
(d)	Telephone No.																																	
(e)	Email																																	

SEL1.2	<p>In the last three years, has any finding of unlawful discrimination been made against your organisation by an Employment Tribunal, an Employment Appeal Tribunal or any other court (or in comparable proceedings in any jurisdiction other than the UK)? And/or;</p> <p>In the last three years, has your organisation had a complaint upheld following an investigation by the Equality and Human Rights Commission or its predecessors (or a comparable body in any jurisdiction other than the UK), on grounds of alleged unlawful discrimination?</p>
Bidder Guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes – Fail – Please provide details within SEL1.2.1 No – Pass – No response required to SEL1.2.1</p> <p>*If you have answered “yes” please provide, as an attachment to SEL1.2.1 a summary of the nature of the investigation and an explanation of the outcome of the investigation to date.</p> <p>If the investigation upheld the complaint against your organisation, please use the attachment to explain what action (if any) you have taken to prevent unlawful discrimination from reoccurring.</p> <p>You may be excluded if you are unable to demonstrate to the Contracting Authority’s satisfaction that appropriate remedial action has been taken to prevent similar unlawful discrimination reoccurring.</p>
Scoring Criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Answer Type	<div style="background-color: yellow;"> Yes – Fail – Please provide details within SEL1.2.1 No – Pass – No response required to SEL1.2.1 </div>

SEL1.2.1	Supporting Documentation for SEL1.2.1
Bidder Guidance	<p>Where a bidder has responded 'Yes' to SEL1.2 please provide a supporting document attached to this question, including a summary of the nature of the investigation and an explanation of the outcome of the investigation to date.</p> <p>If the investigation upheld the complaint against your organisation, please use the attachment to explain what action (if any) you have taken to prevent unlawful discrimination from reoccurring.</p> <p>You may be excluded if you are unable to demonstrate to the Contracting Authority's satisfaction that appropriate remedial action(s) have been taken to prevent similar unlawful discrimination reoccurring.</p> <p>This information should be provided as an attachment to this question. Any bidder declaring unlawful discrimination within SEL1.2 but not providing evidence may not be considered.</p>
Scoring Criteria	For Information Only
Answer Type	Document Upload

SEL1.3	If you are Commercial organisation with a minimum financial turnover of £36M, please confirm that you are compliant with Section 54 of the Modern Slavery Act 2015.
Bidder Guidance	<p>The Bidder shall choose from the following options;</p> <p>A. N/A – our turnover is less than £36M B. Yes – We are compliant and have attached information in SEL1.3.1 C. No (with justification) – we are not compliant but will be prior to commencement of a contract. See information attached in SEL1.3.1 D. No – we are not and will not be compliant at the time of award of the contract</p> <p>Bidders selecting option 'D' will be considered non-compliant for this Procurement.</p>
Scoring Criteria	Mandatory Pass/ Fail
Answer Type	Multiple Choice Dropdown

SEL1.3.1	Supporting Documentation for SEL1.3
Bidder guidance	<p>Where a bidder has responded 'Yes' or 'No (with justification)' to SEL1.3, please provide your supporting information as an attachment.</p> <p>Any bidder declaring they are compliant within SEL1.3 but not providing evidence may not be considered.</p>
Scoring	For information only

Criteria	
Answer Type	Document upload

FOI1.1	<p>FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 2000 (FOIA) AND / OR ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION REGULATIONS 2004 (EIR)</p> <p>Information provided in the course of the procurement process may be disclosed under Freedom of Information Act 2000 or Environmental Information Regulations 2004.</p> <p>Please note that some of the information provided may be protected under the FOIA exemptions and EIR exceptions. More information on applying the exemptions or exceptions can be found under the information Commissioners Office (ICO) website http://ico.org.uk</p> <p>Please confirm you have been informed that information provided under this Bid may be disclosed under the FOIA and EIR and agree to it being published.</p>
Bidder Guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes – Pass No – Fail</p>
Scoring Criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Answer Type	<p>Yes – Pass No – Fail</p>

FOI1.2	<p>FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 2000 AND / OR ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION REGULATIONS 2004 EXEMPTIONS</p> <p>Please complete this section <u>only</u> if you have agreed for your information to be disclosed under the FOIA or EIR in FOI1.1 If you have not agreed for your information to be disclosed under the FOIA or EIR in Question FOI1.1 please complete each field 'N/A' (not applicable)</p> <p>If you have agreed for your information to be disclosed under the FOIA or EIR in Question FOI1.1 please tell us what exemptions or exceptions may apply to your information and why?</p>
Bidder Guidance	<p>The Bidder shall provide details of their proposed exemptions/exception in the fields below.</p> <p>The Bidder shall note that if the Contracting Authority believes that the suggested exemptions or exceptions have not been applied properly, the Contracting Authority will disclose the requested information unless another exemption or exception can be applied by the Contracting Authority.</p> <p>Be aware that by completing FOI1.1 and answering 'yes' you have agreed for the Contracting Authority to disclose the provided information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 or Environmental Information Regulation 2004; therefore, you will not be approached for consent.</p>

	<p>Bidders are required to complete the table fields below, highlighting your proposed exemptions to this question.</p> <p>If you are not relying on any exemptions or exceptions, please complete each field 'N/A' (not applicable).</p>
Scoring Criteria	For information only
Answer Type	Confidential Information and justification for exemption/exception under FOI Act
	Large Text Field
	Commercially sensitive information and justification for exemption/exception under FOI Act
	Large Text Field

AW1.1	<p><u>FORM OF BID</u></p> <p>I declare that to the best of my knowledge the answers submitted in this ITQ are correct. I understand that the information will be used in the process to assess my organisation's suitability to be invited to bid for the Contracting Authority's requirement and I am signing on behalf of my organisation. I understand that the Contracting Authority may reject this ITQ if there is a failure to answer all relevant questions fully or if I provide false/misleading information.</p> <p>I understand that the Government's transparency agenda requires that sourcing documents, including ITQ templates such as this, are published on a designated, publicly searchable web site, and, that the same applies to other sourcing documents issued by the Contracting Authority, including the ITQ, and any contract entered into by the Contracting Authority or its customers with its preferred supplier once the procurement is complete.</p> <p>By submitting a response to this ITQ I agree that our participation may be made public.</p> <p>I understand that the answers given in this response will not be published on the web site (but may fall to be disclosed under Freedom of Information Act 2000 or Environmental Information Regulations 2004).</p> <p>By submitting a response to this ITQ I agree and accept the justification for the Contracting Authority's evaluation criteria.</p> <p>By submitting a response to this ITQ I agreed and accept that the Contracting Authority reserves the right to change without notice the procedure for awarding the Contract, to reject any or all bids for the Contract, to stop the process and not award the Contract (in whole or in part) at any time without any liability on its part.</p> <p>By submitting a response to this ITQ I agree and accept that nothing in this process is intended to form any express or implied contractual relationship between the Parties unless and until a Contract is awarded.</p>
-------	--

	<p>The Contracting Authority is not liable for any costs resulting from cancellation of this process nor any costs incurred by Bidders taking part in this procurement process.</p> <p>I understand that where sourcing documents issued by the Contracting Authority or contracts with its suppliers fall to be disclosed the Contracting Authority will redact them as it thinks necessary, having regard (inter alia) to the exemptions/exceptions in the FOIA or EIR.</p>
Bidder Guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes – Pass No - Fail</p>
Scoring Criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Answer Type	<p>Yes – Pass No – Fail</p>

AW1.3	<p>CERTIFICATE OF BONA FIDE BID</p> <p>The essence of procurement is that the customer shall receive bona fide competitive Bids, from all those Bidding. In recognition of this principle, we certify that this is a bona fide Bid, intended to be competitive and that we have not fixed or adjusted the amount of Bid by or under or in accordance with any agreement with any other person.</p> <p>We also certify that we have not done, and we undertake that we will not do at any time before the hour and date specified for the return of this Bid any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Communicate to a person other than the person calling for these Bids the amount or approximate amount of the proposed Bid, except where the disclosure, in confidence, of the approximate amount of the Bid was necessary to obtain insurance premium quotations for the preparation of the Bid; (b) Enter into any agreement or arrangement with any other person that he shall refrain from bidding or as to the amount of any Bid to be submitted; (c) Offer to pay or agree to pay or give any sum of money or valuable consideration directly or indirectly to any person for doing or having done or causing or have caused to be done in relation to any other Bid or proposed Bid for the said supply / service any act or thing of the sort described above. <p>In this certificate, the word "person" includes any persons and anybody or association, corporate or unincorporated, and any "agreement or arrangement" includes any such transaction, formal or informal, and whether legally binding or not.</p> <p>We acknowledge that the Contracting Authority will be entitled to cancel the contract and to recover from us the amount of any loss resulting from such cancellation if we or our representatives (whether with our without our knowledge) shall have practiced collusion in Bidding for this</p>
--------------	---

	<p>contract or any other contract with the Contracting Authority or shall employ any corrupt or illegal practices either in the obtaining or execution of this contract or any other contract with the Contracting Authority.</p> <p>We agree that the Contracting Authority may disclose the Bidders information / documentation (submitted to the Contracting Authority during this Procurement) more widely within Government for the purpose of ensuring effective cross-Government procurement processes, including value for money and related purposes.</p>
Bidder Guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes – Pass No – Fail</p>
Scoring Criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Answer Type	<p>Yes – Pass No – Fail</p>

AW3.1	<p>In the event of a Bidder successfully providing the most advantageous offer to the Contracting Authority against a procurement requirement, the Bidder is expected to provide an answer to the validation check that can be seen within Stage One: Overview Section prior to the award of any Contract.</p> <p>If the Bidder fails to meet the Contracting Authority's expectations, we reserve the right to not award the Contract to the relevant Bidder and either award to the Bidder with the second most advantageous response or run a new procurement.</p>
Bidder Guidance	<p>The Bidder is not required to complete the validation check at this stage but will be required to respond to the questions in the event of providing the most advantageous offer to the Contracting Authority's against a procurement requirement.</p> <p>Yes – Pass No – Fail</p>
Scoring Criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Answer Type	<p>Yes – Pass No – Fail</p>

AW4.1	<p>Please confirm your acceptance of the Contract Terms UK SBS PR20005 Contract Terms that can be found within the Stage One: Overview section.</p>
Bidder Guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes, No with justification or No</p> <p>Yes, we accept the terms and condition in their entirety – Pass</p> <p>No with justification – Pass. Complete the document upload attached to AW4.2 with details of what amounts to a valid justification.</p> <p>No – Fail</p>

	For absolute clarity should a bidder select 'Yes' or 'No' you are not required to respond to AW4.2, however if you select 'No with Justification' you will be required to complete AW4.2 in order to be considered.
Scoring Criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Answer Type	Multiple Choice Dropdown Yes , we accept the terms and condition in their entirety – Pass No with justification – Pass. Complete the document upload attached to AW4.2 with details of what amounts to a valid justification. No – Fail

AW4.2	Where a Bidder has answered question AW4.1 with 'No with justification' they must detail the justification and the proposed change to the clause. Where a bidder has responded 'Yes' or 'No' to AW4.1 you are not required to respond to this question.
Bidder Guidance	<p>A justification for not accepting a specific clause or series of clauses from the attached Contract Terms is that the Bidder would be in breach of legal requirements or statutory regulations by complying with that clause or series of clauses (i.e. for the avoidance of doubt, being unable to comply with a clause or series of clauses because of an internal policy reason will not be considered to be a justification for a legal requirement or statutory regulation).</p> <p>Where the Bidder has answered question AW4.1 as "no with justification", the Bidder shall provide a Contract mark-up utilising track changes detailing the relevant clause, the proposed changes to the clause and the justification for the changes. In this case, the Bidder shall score a pass if (but only if) the Contracting Authority considers both the following requirements are satisfied:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the reasons stated as justifying the Bidder's statement that it cannot accept the Contract Terms due to legal requirements or statutory regulations are valid; and • the changes submitted do not create significant risk for the Contracting Authority and are reasonably necessary and proportionate to ensure the Bidder complies with the legal requirements and statutory regulations. <p>In the event of a Bidder answering Yes or No to Question AW4.1 and then providing a proposed mark-up, rejection of a clause or a justification for a change then the response will be a Fail.</p> <p>Any bidder selecting 'No with Justification' to AW4.1 and failing to upload an attachment to this question detailing the information above may not be considered further.</p> <p>Bidders are to note that any requested modifications to the Contracting Authority Terms and Conditions on the grounds of statutory and legal matters only, should be raised as a formal clarification during the permitted clarification period.</p>
Scoring Criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Answer Type	Document Upload

PRICE QUESTIONNAIRE

AW5.2	<p>Bidders are required to complete the Excel Pricing Schedule attached in the Document Upload attachments.</p> <p>All prices shall be in £ GBP and exclusive of VAT.</p> <p>All costs appearing elsewhere in the Bid but not mentioned in this Pricing Schedule shall be presumed waived.</p>
Bidder Guidance	<p>Bidders shall confirm they have completed the Pricing Schedule.</p> <p>The scoring methodology for this question shall be:</p> <p>The lowest price for a response which meets the pass criteria shall score 100.</p> <p>All other bids shall be scored on a pro rata basis in relation to the lowest price. The score is then subject to a multiplier to reflect the percentage value of the price criterion.</p> <p>Where the scoring criterion is worth 50% then the 0-100 score achieved will be multiplied by 50</p> <p>In the example if a supplier scores 80 from the available 100 points this will equate to 40% by using the following calculation: Score/Total Points multiplied by 50 ($80/100 \times 50 = 40$)</p> <p>The lowest score possible is 0 even if the price submitted is more than 100% greater than the lowest price.</p> <p>The lowest price for a response which meets the pass criteria shall score 100. All other bids shall be scored on a pro rata basis in relation to the lowest price. The lowest score possible is 0.</p> <p>For example, assuming the lowest bid is £100,000.</p> <p>Bid Price £100,000 Differential to the lowest price which meets mandatory pass criteria ('Differential') 0 Score 100</p> <p>Bid price - £120,000 Differential - 20% Score - 80 Bid price - £140,000 Differential - 40% Score - 60 Bid Price - £150,000 Differential - 50% Score - 50 Bid Price - £175,000 Differential - 75% Score - 25 Bid Price - £200,000 Differential - 100% Score - 0 Bid Price - £300,000 Differential - 200% Score - 0</p>
Scoring Criteria	Maximum Marks 30.00%
Answer Type	Price Document Upload

AW5.4	<p>The Contracting Authority is committed to delivering payments to suppliers within the timescales stated within our Contract terms and intend to embrace e-invoicing.</p> <p>Options for suppliers to choose from are outlined in the FAQ documentation that can be found within Stage One: Overview. Please confirm your acceptance of e-payment.</p> <div data-bbox="446 465 507 526" data-label="Image"> </div> <p>AW5.4 ISupplier fact sheet.pdf</p>
Bidder Guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes – we will utilise an e-invoicing option - Pass No – we will not utilise an e-invoicing option – Fail</p>
Scoring Criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Answer Type	<p>Yes – we will utilise an e-invoicing option - Pass No – we will not utilise an e-invoicing option – Fail</p>

AW5.5	<p>Please confirm if you are successfully awarded this contract your implementation team will work with the Contracting Authority to ensure that e-invoicing is established within 28 days of Contract award by the Contracting Authority.</p>
Bidder Guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes – Pass No – Fail</p>
Scoring Criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Answer Type	<p>Yes – Pass No – Fail</p>

QUALITY QUESTIONNAIRE

AW6.1	COMPLIANCE: Please confirm your full compliance with all mandatory requirements contained in “UKSBS PR20005 ITQ” and “UKSBS PR20005 Specification”.
Bidder Guidance	The Bidder shall answer Yes or No Yes – Pass No – Fail
Scoring Criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Answer Type	Yes – Pass No – Fail

AW6.2	Variable Bids The Contracting Authority shall not accept variable bids as part of this Procurement. The criteria in regard to variable bids for this Procurement is outlined below.
Bidder Guidance	The Bidder shall answer Yes or No Yes - We have provided a variable bid only – Fail No - We have chosen to only offer a main bid and have not chosen to provide an alternative bid submission – Pass
Scoring Criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Answer Type	Yes - We have provided a variable bid only – Fail No - We have chosen to only offer a main bid and have not chosen to provide an alternative bid submission – Pass

PROJ1.1	Please provide a proposal which demonstrates how you will meet the requirements contained in UK SBS PR20005 Specification document. The proposal should make clear how each aspect of the specification has been satisfied.
Bidder guidance	The Bidder shall answer Yes or No Yes, we have provided our proposal to demonstrate how the requirements in the specification are met- Pass No, we have not provided our proposal to demonstrate how the requirements in the specification are met-- Fail
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Answer Type	Yes – Pass No – Fail

PROJ1.2	Please confirm that the proposed solution meets the AC Input requirements specified in § 5.1 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification or a superior alternative has been given for consideration.
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes, the proposed solution meets the AC Input requirement specified in § 5.1 of the specification or a superior alternative has been given for consideration - Pass</p> <p>No, the proposed solution does not meet the AC Input requirement specified in § 5.1 of the specification and a superior alternative has not been given for consideration - Fail</p>
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Answer Type	Yes – Pass No – Fail

PROJ1.3	Please confirm that the proposed solution meets the DC Output requirements specified in § 5.2 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification or a superior alternative has been given for consideration.
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes, the proposed solution meets the DC Output requirements specified in § 5.2 of the specification or a superior alternative has been given for consideration - Pass</p> <p>No, the proposed solution does not meet the DC Output requirements specified in § 5.2 of the specification and a superior alternative has not been given for consideration - Fail</p>
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Answer Type	Yes – Pass No – Fail

PROJ1.4	Please confirm that the proposed solution uses an R24 receptable for the DC output specified in § 5.2.2 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification.
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes, the proposed solution uses an R24 receptable for the DC output specified in § 5.2.2 of the specification- Pass</p> <p>No, the proposed solution does not use an R24 receptable for the DC output specified in § 5.2.2 of the specification - Fail</p>
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Answer Type	Yes – Pass No – Fail

PROJ1.5	Please confirm that the proposed solution meets the Electrical safety and compatibility requirements specified in § 5.3 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification or a superior alternative has been given for consideration.
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes, the proposed solution meets the Electrical safety and compatibility requirements specified in § 5.3 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification or a superior alternative has been given for consideration - Pass</p> <p>No, the proposed solution does not meet the Electrical safety and compatibility requirements specified in § 5.3 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification, and a superior alternative has not been given for consideration - Fail</p>
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Answer Type	Yes – Pass No – Fail

PROJ1.6	Please provide supporting documentation that demonstrates the suitability of the proposed solution to meet all Electrical requirements specified in § 5 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification								
Bidder guidance	Bidders shall provide their supporting documentation to demonstrate that the proposal meets all Electrical requirements in the specification								
Scoring criteria	<p>A score shall be assigned based on the following table;</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Response Score & Classification</th><th>Definition</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0 = no response, complete non-compliance.</td><td>No response at all or insufficient information provided in the response such that the solution is totally unsuitable and/or incomprehensible.</td></tr> <tr> <td>25 = unsatisfactory response, potential for some compliance but very major areas of weakness.</td><td>Substantially unacceptable submission which fails in several significant areas to set out a solution that addresses and meets the requirements: little or no detail may (and, where evidence is required or necessary, no evidence) have been provided to support and demonstrate that the Bidder will be able to provide the services and/or considerable reservations as to the Bidder's proposals in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Would represent a very high risk solution for the Contracting Authority.</td></tr> <tr> <td>50 = partially acceptable response, one or more areas of major weakness.</td><td>Weak submission which does not set out a solution that fully addresses and meets the requirements: response may be basic/minimal with little or no detail (and, where evidence is required or necessary, with insufficient evidence) provided to support the solution and demonstrate that the Bidder will be able to provide the services and/or some reservations as to the Bidder's solution in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. May represent a high risk solution for the Contracting Authority.</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Response Score & Classification	Definition	0 = no response, complete non-compliance.	No response at all or insufficient information provided in the response such that the solution is totally unsuitable and/or incomprehensible.	25 = unsatisfactory response, potential for some compliance but very major areas of weakness.	Substantially unacceptable submission which fails in several significant areas to set out a solution that addresses and meets the requirements: little or no detail may (and, where evidence is required or necessary, no evidence) have been provided to support and demonstrate that the Bidder will be able to provide the services and/or considerable reservations as to the Bidder's proposals in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Would represent a very high risk solution for the Contracting Authority.	50 = partially acceptable response, one or more areas of major weakness.	Weak submission which does not set out a solution that fully addresses and meets the requirements: response may be basic/minimal with little or no detail (and, where evidence is required or necessary, with insufficient evidence) provided to support the solution and demonstrate that the Bidder will be able to provide the services and/or some reservations as to the Bidder's solution in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. May represent a high risk solution for the Contracting Authority.
Response Score & Classification	Definition								
0 = no response, complete non-compliance.	No response at all or insufficient information provided in the response such that the solution is totally unsuitable and/or incomprehensible.								
25 = unsatisfactory response, potential for some compliance but very major areas of weakness.	Substantially unacceptable submission which fails in several significant areas to set out a solution that addresses and meets the requirements: little or no detail may (and, where evidence is required or necessary, no evidence) have been provided to support and demonstrate that the Bidder will be able to provide the services and/or considerable reservations as to the Bidder's proposals in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Would represent a very high risk solution for the Contracting Authority.								
50 = partially acceptable response, one or more areas of major weakness.	Weak submission which does not set out a solution that fully addresses and meets the requirements: response may be basic/minimal with little or no detail (and, where evidence is required or necessary, with insufficient evidence) provided to support the solution and demonstrate that the Bidder will be able to provide the services and/or some reservations as to the Bidder's solution in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. May represent a high risk solution for the Contracting Authority.								

	<p>75 = satisfactory and acceptable response, substantial compliance with no major concerns.</p>	<p>Submission sets out a solution that largely addresses and meets the requirements, with some detail (or, where evidence is required or necessary, some relevant evidence) provided to support the solution; minor reservations or weakness in a few areas of the solution in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Medium, acceptable risk solution to the Contracting Authority.</p>
	<p>100 = fully satisfactory, very good response, fully compliant with requirements.</p>	<p>Submission sets out a robust solution that fully addresses and meets the requirements, with full details (and, where evidence is required or necessary, full and relevant evidence) provided to support the solution; provides full confidence as to the relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Low/No risk solution for the Contracting Authority.</p>
Maximum marks : 25.00 %		
Bidder response	Document Upload	

PROJ1.7	Please confirm that the proposed solution meets the Installation requirements specified in § 6.1 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification or a superior alternative has been given for consideration.	
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes, the proposed solution meets the Installation requirements specified in § 6.1 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification or a superior alternative has been given for consideration - Pass</p> <p>No, the proposed solution does not meet the Installation requirements specified in § 6.1 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification, and a superior alternative has not been given for consideration - Fail</p>	
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail	
Answer Type	Yes – Pass No – Fail	

PROJ1.8	Please confirm that the proposed solution meets the Safety requirements specified in § 6.2 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification or a superior alternative has been given for consideration.	
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes, the proposed solution meets the Safety requirements specified in § 6.2 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification or a superior alternative has been given for consideration - Pass</p> <p>No, the proposed solution does not meet the Safety requirements specified in § 6.2 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification, and a superior alternative has not been given for consideration - Fail</p>	
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail	
Answer Type	Yes – Pass No – Fail	

PROJ1.9	Please provide supporting documentation that demonstrates the suitability of the proposed solution to meet all Physical requirements specified in § 6 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification												
Bidder guidance	Bidders shall provide their supporting documentation to demonstrate that the proposal meets all Physical requirements in the specification												
Scoring criteria	<p>A score shall be assigned based on the following table;</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Response Score & Classification</th><th>Definition</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0 = no response, complete non-compliance.</td><td>No response at all or insufficient information provided in the response such that the solution is totally unsuitable and/or incomprehensible.</td></tr> <tr> <td>25 = unsatisfactory response, potential for some compliance but very major areas of weakness.</td><td>Substantially unacceptable submission which fails in several significant areas to set out a solution that addresses and meets the requirements: little or no detail may (and, where evidence is required or necessary, no evidence) have been provided to support and demonstrate that the Bidder will be able to provide the services and/or considerable reservations as to the Bidder's proposals in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Would represent a very high risk solution for the Contracting Authority.</td></tr> <tr> <td>50 = partially acceptable response, one or more areas of major weakness.</td><td>Weak submission which does not set out a solution that fully addresses and meets the requirements: response may be basic/minimal with little or no detail (and, where evidence is required or necessary, with insufficient evidence) provided to support the solution and demonstrate that the Bidder will be able to provide the services and/or some reservations as to the Bidder's solution in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. May represent a high risk solution for the Contracting Authority.</td></tr> <tr> <td>75 = satisfactory and acceptable response, substantial compliance with no major concerns.</td><td>Submission sets out a solution that largely addresses and meets the requirements, with some detail (or, where evidence is required or necessary, some relevant evidence) provided to support the solution; minor reservations or weakness in a few areas of the solution in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Medium, acceptable risk solution to the Contracting Authority.</td></tr> <tr> <td>100 = fully satisfactory, very good response, fully compliant with requirements.</td><td>Submission sets out a robust solution that fully addresses and meets the requirements, with full details (and, where evidence is required or necessary, full and relevant evidence) provided to support the solution; provides full confidence as to the relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Low/No risk solution for the Contracting Authority.</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Maximum marks : 20.00 %</p>	Response Score & Classification	Definition	0 = no response, complete non-compliance.	No response at all or insufficient information provided in the response such that the solution is totally unsuitable and/or incomprehensible.	25 = unsatisfactory response, potential for some compliance but very major areas of weakness.	Substantially unacceptable submission which fails in several significant areas to set out a solution that addresses and meets the requirements: little or no detail may (and, where evidence is required or necessary, no evidence) have been provided to support and demonstrate that the Bidder will be able to provide the services and/or considerable reservations as to the Bidder's proposals in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Would represent a very high risk solution for the Contracting Authority.	50 = partially acceptable response, one or more areas of major weakness.	Weak submission which does not set out a solution that fully addresses and meets the requirements: response may be basic/minimal with little or no detail (and, where evidence is required or necessary, with insufficient evidence) provided to support the solution and demonstrate that the Bidder will be able to provide the services and/or some reservations as to the Bidder's solution in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. May represent a high risk solution for the Contracting Authority.	75 = satisfactory and acceptable response, substantial compliance with no major concerns.	Submission sets out a solution that largely addresses and meets the requirements, with some detail (or, where evidence is required or necessary, some relevant evidence) provided to support the solution; minor reservations or weakness in a few areas of the solution in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Medium, acceptable risk solution to the Contracting Authority.	100 = fully satisfactory, very good response, fully compliant with requirements.	Submission sets out a robust solution that fully addresses and meets the requirements, with full details (and, where evidence is required or necessary, full and relevant evidence) provided to support the solution; provides full confidence as to the relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Low/No risk solution for the Contracting Authority.
Response Score & Classification	Definition												
0 = no response, complete non-compliance.	No response at all or insufficient information provided in the response such that the solution is totally unsuitable and/or incomprehensible.												
25 = unsatisfactory response, potential for some compliance but very major areas of weakness.	Substantially unacceptable submission which fails in several significant areas to set out a solution that addresses and meets the requirements: little or no detail may (and, where evidence is required or necessary, no evidence) have been provided to support and demonstrate that the Bidder will be able to provide the services and/or considerable reservations as to the Bidder's proposals in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Would represent a very high risk solution for the Contracting Authority.												
50 = partially acceptable response, one or more areas of major weakness.	Weak submission which does not set out a solution that fully addresses and meets the requirements: response may be basic/minimal with little or no detail (and, where evidence is required or necessary, with insufficient evidence) provided to support the solution and demonstrate that the Bidder will be able to provide the services and/or some reservations as to the Bidder's solution in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. May represent a high risk solution for the Contracting Authority.												
75 = satisfactory and acceptable response, substantial compliance with no major concerns.	Submission sets out a solution that largely addresses and meets the requirements, with some detail (or, where evidence is required or necessary, some relevant evidence) provided to support the solution; minor reservations or weakness in a few areas of the solution in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Medium, acceptable risk solution to the Contracting Authority.												
100 = fully satisfactory, very good response, fully compliant with requirements.	Submission sets out a robust solution that fully addresses and meets the requirements, with full details (and, where evidence is required or necessary, full and relevant evidence) provided to support the solution; provides full confidence as to the relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Low/No risk solution for the Contracting Authority.												
Bidder response	Document Upload												

PROJ1.10	Please confirm that the proposed solution meets the Local user interface requirements specified in § 7.1 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification or a superior alternative has been given for consideration.
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes, the proposed solution meets the Local user interface requirements specified in § 7.1 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification or a superior alternative has been given for consideration - Pass</p> <p>No, the proposed solution does not meet the Local user interface requirements specified in § 7.1 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification, and a superior alternative has not been given for consideration - Fail</p>
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Answer Type	Yes – Pass No – Fail

PROJ1.11	Please confirm that the proposed solution meets the Protection requirements specified in § 7.2 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification or a superior alternative has been given for consideration.
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes, the proposed solution meets the Protection requirements specified in § 7.2 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification or a superior alternative has been given for consideration - Pass</p> <p>No, the proposed solution does not meet the Protection requirements specified in § 7.2 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification, and a superior alternative has not been given for consideration - Fail</p>
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Answer Type	Yes – Pass No – Fail

PROJ1.12	Please confirm that the proposed solution meets the Hardwired input and output requirements specified in § 7.3 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification or a superior alternative has been given for consideration.
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes, the proposed solution meets the Hardwired input and output requirements specified in § 7.3 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification or a superior alternative has been given for consideration - Pass</p> <p>No, the proposed solution does not meet the Hardwired input and output requirements specified in § 7.3 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification, and a superior alternative has not been given for consideration - Fail</p>
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail

Answer Type	Yes – Pass No – Fail
-------------	---------------------------------------

PROJ1.13	Please confirm that the proposed solution meets the Remote control and communications requirements specified in § 7.4 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification or a superior alternative has been given for consideration.
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes, the proposed solution meets the the Remote control and communications requirements specified in § 7.4 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification or a superior alternative has been given for consideration - Pass</p> <p>No, the proposed solution does not meet the the Remote control and communications requirements specified in § 7.4 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification, and a superior alternative has not been given for consideration - Fail</p>
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Answer Type	Yes – Pass No – Fail

PROJ1.14	Please provide supporting documentation that demonstrates the suitability of the proposed solution to meet all Control requirements specified in § 7 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification						
Bidder guidance	Bidders shall provide their supporting documentation to demonstrate that the proposal meets all Control requirements in the specification						
Scoring criteria	<p>A score shall be assigned based on the following table;</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Response Score & Classification</th><th>Definition</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0 = no response, complete non-compliance.</td><td>No response at all or insufficient information provided in the response such that the solution is totally unsuitable and/or incomprehensible.</td></tr> <tr> <td>25 = unsatisfactory response, potential for some compliance but very major areas of weakness.</td><td>Substantially unacceptable submission which fails in several significant areas to set out a solution that addresses and meets the requirements: little or no detail may (and, where evidence is required or necessary, no evidence) have been provided to support and demonstrate that the Bidder will be able to provide the services and/or considerable reservations as to the Bidder's proposals in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Would represent a very high risk solution for the Contracting Authority.</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Response Score & Classification	Definition	0 = no response, complete non-compliance.	No response at all or insufficient information provided in the response such that the solution is totally unsuitable and/or incomprehensible.	25 = unsatisfactory response, potential for some compliance but very major areas of weakness.	Substantially unacceptable submission which fails in several significant areas to set out a solution that addresses and meets the requirements: little or no detail may (and, where evidence is required or necessary, no evidence) have been provided to support and demonstrate that the Bidder will be able to provide the services and/or considerable reservations as to the Bidder's proposals in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Would represent a very high risk solution for the Contracting Authority.
Response Score & Classification	Definition						
0 = no response, complete non-compliance.	No response at all or insufficient information provided in the response such that the solution is totally unsuitable and/or incomprehensible.						
25 = unsatisfactory response, potential for some compliance but very major areas of weakness.	Substantially unacceptable submission which fails in several significant areas to set out a solution that addresses and meets the requirements: little or no detail may (and, where evidence is required or necessary, no evidence) have been provided to support and demonstrate that the Bidder will be able to provide the services and/or considerable reservations as to the Bidder's proposals in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Would represent a very high risk solution for the Contracting Authority.						

	50 = partially acceptable response, one or more areas of major weakness.	Weak submission which does not set out a solution that fully addresses and meets the requirements: response may be basic/minimal with little or no detail (and, where evidence is required or necessary, with insufficient evidence) provided to support the solution and demonstrate that the Bidder will be able to provide the services and/or some reservations as to the Bidder's solution in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. May represent a high risk solution for the Contracting Authority.
	75 = satisfactory and acceptable response, substantial compliance with no major concerns.	Submission sets out a solution that largely addresses and meets the requirements, with some detail (or, where evidence is required or necessary, some relevant evidence) provided to support the solution; minor reservations or weakness in a few areas of the solution in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Medium, acceptable risk solution to the Contracting Authority.
	100 = fully satisfactory, very good response, fully compliant with requirements.	Submission sets out a robust solution that fully addresses and meets the requirements, with full details (and, where evidence is required or necessary, full and relevant evidence) provided to support the solution; provides full confidence as to the relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Low/No risk solution for the Contracting Authority.
	Maximum marks : 15.00 %	
Bidder response	Document Upload	

PROJ1.15a	DELIVERY: Bidders are required to confirm they can meet the delivery requirements specified in UK SBS PR20005 Specification
Bidder guidance	Bidders must confirm that complete delivery to site to be made within 16 weeks of receipt of order by choosing Yes or No Yes, we can deliver within 16 weeks of receipt of order- Pass No, we cannot deliver within 16 weeks of receipt of order - Fail
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Answer Type	Yes – Pass No – Fail

PROJ1.15b	DELIVERY: If answered YES in Proj1.15a, please submit your delivery plan to support your answer
Bidder guidance	Bidders shall confirm they can meet this delivery and have attached their supporting project schedule document.
Scoring criteria	Information Only
Answer Type	Document Upload

PROJ1.16	As specified in § 15 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification, the bidders must provide a minimum 24 months warranty period. Please confirm if any additional warranty, fully inclusive of any preventative maintenance required, will be provided for the complete proposed goods <u>at no additional cost</u>.						
Bidder guidance	<p>Bidder should advise of any years in addition to the minimum 2 years required.</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>No additional years offered</td><td>0</td></tr> <tr> <td>1 additional year offered, 3 years total.</td><td>50</td></tr> <tr> <td>2 additional years offered, 4 years total.</td><td>100</td></tr> </table>	No additional years offered	0	1 additional year offered, 3 years total.	50	2 additional years offered, 4 years total.	100
No additional years offered	0						
1 additional year offered, 3 years total.	50						
2 additional years offered, 4 years total.	100						
Scoring criteria	Maximum Marks = 5.00%						
Bidder response	Selection						

PROJ1.17a	Desirable Option 1: A bipolar output option is offered.
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes, the proposed solution will have a bipolar output as stated in § 5.2.4 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification - Yes</p> <p>No, the proposed solution will not have a bipolar output as stated in § 5.2.4 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification - No</p>
Scoring criteria	Information Only
Answer Type	Yes No

PROJ1.17b	Desirable Option 2: A floating output option is offered.
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes, the proposed solution will have a floating output as stated in § 5.2.4 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification - Yes</p> <p>No, the proposed solution will not have a floating output as stated in § 5.2.4 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification - No</p>

Scoring criteria	Information Only
Answer Type	Yes No

PROJ1.17c	Please provide supporting documentation that demonstrates the suitability of the proposed solution to meet either Desirable Option requirement specified in § 5.2.4 of the UK SBS PR20005 Specification												
Bidder guidance	Bidders shall provide their supporting documentation to demonstrate that the proposal meets either Desirable Option requirement in the specification												
Scoring criteria	<p>A score shall be assigned based on the following table;</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Response Score & Classification</th><th>Definition</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0 = no response, complete non-compliance.</td><td>No response at all or insufficient information provided in the response such that the solution is totally unsuitable and/or incomprehensible.</td></tr> <tr> <td>25 = unsatisfactory response, potential for some compliance but very major areas of weakness.</td><td>Substantially unacceptable submission which fails in several significant areas to set out a solution that addresses and meets the requirements: little or no detail may (and, where evidence is required or necessary, no evidence) have been provided to support and demonstrate that the Bidder will be able to provide the services and/or considerable reservations as to the Bidder's proposals in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Would represent a very high risk solution for the Contracting Authority.</td></tr> <tr> <td>50 = partially acceptable response, one or more areas of major weakness.</td><td>Weak submission which does not set out a solution that fully addresses and meets the requirements: response may be basic/minimal with little or no detail (and, where evidence is required or necessary, with insufficient evidence) provided to support the solution and demonstrate that the Bidder will be able to provide the services and/or some reservations as to the Bidder's solution in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. May represent a high risk solution for the Contracting Authority.</td></tr> <tr> <td>75 = satisfactory and acceptable response, substantial compliance with no major concerns.</td><td>Submission sets out a solution that largely addresses and meets the requirements, with some detail (or, where evidence is required or necessary, some relevant evidence) provided to support the solution; minor reservations or weakness in a few areas of the solution in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Medium, acceptable risk solution to the Contracting Authority.</td></tr> <tr> <td>100 = fully satisfactory, very good response, fully compliant with requirements.</td><td>Submission sets out a robust solution that fully addresses and meets the requirements, with full details (and, where evidence is required or necessary, full and relevant evidence) provided to support the solution; provides full confidence as to the relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Low/No risk solution for the Contracting Authority.</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Maximum marks : 5.00 %</p>	Response Score & Classification	Definition	0 = no response, complete non-compliance.	No response at all or insufficient information provided in the response such that the solution is totally unsuitable and/or incomprehensible.	25 = unsatisfactory response, potential for some compliance but very major areas of weakness.	Substantially unacceptable submission which fails in several significant areas to set out a solution that addresses and meets the requirements: little or no detail may (and, where evidence is required or necessary, no evidence) have been provided to support and demonstrate that the Bidder will be able to provide the services and/or considerable reservations as to the Bidder's proposals in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Would represent a very high risk solution for the Contracting Authority.	50 = partially acceptable response, one or more areas of major weakness.	Weak submission which does not set out a solution that fully addresses and meets the requirements: response may be basic/minimal with little or no detail (and, where evidence is required or necessary, with insufficient evidence) provided to support the solution and demonstrate that the Bidder will be able to provide the services and/or some reservations as to the Bidder's solution in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. May represent a high risk solution for the Contracting Authority.	75 = satisfactory and acceptable response, substantial compliance with no major concerns.	Submission sets out a solution that largely addresses and meets the requirements, with some detail (or, where evidence is required or necessary, some relevant evidence) provided to support the solution; minor reservations or weakness in a few areas of the solution in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Medium, acceptable risk solution to the Contracting Authority.	100 = fully satisfactory, very good response, fully compliant with requirements.	Submission sets out a robust solution that fully addresses and meets the requirements, with full details (and, where evidence is required or necessary, full and relevant evidence) provided to support the solution; provides full confidence as to the relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Low/No risk solution for the Contracting Authority.
Response Score & Classification	Definition												
0 = no response, complete non-compliance.	No response at all or insufficient information provided in the response such that the solution is totally unsuitable and/or incomprehensible.												
25 = unsatisfactory response, potential for some compliance but very major areas of weakness.	Substantially unacceptable submission which fails in several significant areas to set out a solution that addresses and meets the requirements: little or no detail may (and, where evidence is required or necessary, no evidence) have been provided to support and demonstrate that the Bidder will be able to provide the services and/or considerable reservations as to the Bidder's proposals in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Would represent a very high risk solution for the Contracting Authority.												
50 = partially acceptable response, one or more areas of major weakness.	Weak submission which does not set out a solution that fully addresses and meets the requirements: response may be basic/minimal with little or no detail (and, where evidence is required or necessary, with insufficient evidence) provided to support the solution and demonstrate that the Bidder will be able to provide the services and/or some reservations as to the Bidder's solution in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. May represent a high risk solution for the Contracting Authority.												
75 = satisfactory and acceptable response, substantial compliance with no major concerns.	Submission sets out a solution that largely addresses and meets the requirements, with some detail (or, where evidence is required or necessary, some relevant evidence) provided to support the solution; minor reservations or weakness in a few areas of the solution in respect of relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Medium, acceptable risk solution to the Contracting Authority.												
100 = fully satisfactory, very good response, fully compliant with requirements.	Submission sets out a robust solution that fully addresses and meets the requirements, with full details (and, where evidence is required or necessary, full and relevant evidence) provided to support the solution; provides full confidence as to the relevant ability, understanding, expertise, skills and/or resources to deliver the requirements. Low/No risk solution for the Contracting Authority.												
Bidder response	Document Upload												

