A close-up of a blue text
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**Clarification Log 27th February Version 2**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Date Received | Clarification Question | NEYPPC Clarification Response | Response Date |
| 11/02/2025 | A supplier has highlighted an issue with the “Document 6b Product Specification Response (Form B)” and the naming protocol and that it does not allow for separate identification of documents that pertain to each products lot where provided. | We would like you to provide product specific **zip folder(s)** that are named with the product lot and product name for example:  Lot 1\_Ajmaline 50mg\_10ml\_Injection\_FormB  Where you are providing multiple alternative offers for one product lot, please follow zip folder name with “(1)”, or “(2)” for example:  Lot 1\_Ajmaline 50mg\_10ml\_Injection\_FormB (1)  Lot 1\_Ajmaline 50mg\_10ml\_Injection\_FormB (2)  Contained within each product lot zip folder should be:   * Document 6b Product Specification Response (Form B) * Supporting documents named following the naming protocol stipulated in form B | 11/02/2025 |
| 27/02/2025 | Supplier has raised a query regarding sections 24 to 27 of the Document 6b Product Specification Response (Form B) and how to document where products do not have a package leaflet or PIL or need translated documents. | Suppliers are able to clarify with a statement in the Document 6b Product Specification Response (Form B) against the identified sections to make clear as to which documents are available for each product lot. | 27/02/25 |
|  |  |  |  |