



Invitation to Quote

Questions

Invitation to Quote (ITQ) on behalf of UK Research and Innovation
Subject UK SBS Supply of Transverse Deflecting Cavity for the
CLARA Facility at Daresbury Laboratory
Sourcing reference number RE19221

Section 6 – Evaluation questionnaire

Bidders should note that the evaluation questionnaire is located within the **e-sourcing questionnaire**.

Guidance on completion of the questionnaire is available at
<http://www.ukpbs.co.uk/services/procure/Pages/supplier.aspx>

PLEASE NOTE THE QUESTIONS ARE NOT NUMBERED SEQUENTIALLY

COMMERCIAL QUESTIONNAIRE

SEL1.1	Please state the full legal name and address and contact details of the organisation tendering (or organisation acting as lead contact where a consortium bid is being submitted).																								
Bidder guidance	The information should be based on the details of the organisation bidding (or organisation acting as lead contact where a consortium bid is being submitted). This is the legal entity with whom we will Contract if successful.																								
Scoring criteria	For information only																								
Bidder response	<table border="1"> <tr> <th colspan="2">Table</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Bidders full legal name</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Address line 1</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Address line 2</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Address line 3</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Address line 4</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Town / City</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Country</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Post code (or equivalent)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bidder contact</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Telephone No.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Email</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Table		Bidders full legal name		Address line 1		Address line 2		Address line 3		Address line 4		Town / City		Country		Post code (or equivalent)		Bidder contact		Telephone No.		Email	
Table																									
Bidders full legal name																									
Address line 1																									
Address line 2																									
Address line 3																									
Address line 4																									
Town / City																									
Country																									
Post code (or equivalent)																									
Bidder contact																									
Telephone No.																									
Email																									

SEL1.2	<p>In the last three years, has any finding of unlawful discrimination been made against your organisation by an Employment Tribunal, an Employment Appeal Tribunal or any other court (or in comparable proceedings in any jurisdiction other than the UK)? And/or;</p> <p>In the last three years, has your organisation had a complaint upheld following an investigation by the Equality and Human Rights Commission or its predecessors (or a comparable body in any jurisdiction other than the UK), on grounds of alleged unlawful discrimination?</p>
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes – Fail</p> <p>No – Pass</p> <p>*If you have answered “yes” please provide, as an attachment to this question, a summary of the nature of the investigation and an explanation of the outcome of the investigation to date.</p> <p>If the investigation upheld the complaint against your organisation, please use the attachment to explain what action (if any) you have taken to prevent unlawful discrimination from reoccurring.</p> <p>You may be excluded if you are unable to demonstrate to the Contracting Authority’s satisfaction that appropriate remedial action has been taken to prevent similar unlawful discrimination reoccurring.</p>
Scoring Criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Bidder response	Yes / No

SEL3.11	If you are Commercial organisation with a minimum financial turnover of £36M, please confirm that you are compliant with Section 54 of the Modern Slavery Act 2015.
Bidder guidance	The Bidder shall choose from the following options; A. N/A – our turnover is less than £36M B. Yes – information attached C. No (with justification) – we are not compliant but will be prior to commencement of a contract D. No – we are not and will not be compliant at the time of award of the contract
Scoring Criteria	Mandatory Pass/ Fail
Bidder response	Selection

FOI1.1	FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 2000 (FOI) AND / OR ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION REGULATIONS 2004 (EIR) Information provided in the course of the procurement process may be disclosed under Freedom of Information Act 2000 or Environmental Information Regulations 2004 if requested under an FOI request or EIR request. Please note that some of the information provided may be protected under the FOI Act exemptions and EIR Exceptions. More information on applying the exemptions or exceptions can be found under the Information Commissioners Office (ICO) website http://ico.org.uk Please confirm you have been informed that information provided under this Bid may be disclosed under the FOI Act 2000 and Environmental Information Regulations 2004 and agree to it being published irrespective of submitting a successful or unsuccessful Bid.
Bidder guidance	The Bidder shall answer Yes or No Yes – Pass No – Fail
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Bidder response	Yes / No

FOI1.2	FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 2000 (FOI) AND / OR ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION REGULATIONS 2004 (EIR) EXEMPTIONS Please complete this section <u>only</u> if you have agreed for your information to be disclosed under the FOI Act or EIR in Question FOI1.1. If you have not agreed to your information to be disclosed under the FOI Act or EIR in Question FOI1.1 please complete a field 'N/A' (Not
---------------	---

	applicable) If you have agreed for your information to be disclosed under the FOI Act or EIR in Question FOI1.1 please tell us what exemptions or exceptions may apply to your information and why? If you are not relying on any exemptions or exceptions please complete each field 'N/A' (Not applicable)	
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall provide details of their proposed exemptions/exception in the table below.</p> <p>The Bidder (irrespective of submitting a successful or unsuccessful Bid) shall note that if the Contracting Authority believes that the suggested Exemptions or Exceptions have not been applied properly as per the Act or Regulation, the Contracting Authority will disclose the requested information unless another exemption or exception can be applied by the Contracting Authority.</p> <p>Be aware that by completing FOI1.1 and answering 'Yes' you have agreed for UK SBS to disclose the provided information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 or Environmental Information Regulation 2004, therefore you will not be approached for consent.</p>	
Scoring criteria	For information only	
Bidder response	Confidential Information	Justification for exemption/exception under FOI Act
	Commercially sensitive information	Justification for exemption/exception under FOI Act

AW1.1	<p>FORM OF BID</p> <p>I declare that to the best of my knowledge the answers submitted in this ITQ are correct. I understand that the information will be used in the process to assess my organisation's suitability to be invited to bid for the Contracting Authority's requirement and I am signing on behalf of my organisation. I understand that the Contracting Authority may reject this ITQ if there is a failure to answer all relevant questions fully or if I provide false/misleading information.</p> <p>I understand that the Government's transparency agenda requires that sourcing documents, including ITQ templates such as this, are published on a designated, publicly searchable web site, and, that the same applies to other sourcing documents issued by the Contracting Authority, including the ITQ, and any contract entered into by the Contracting Authority or its customers with its preferred supplier once the procurement is complete.</p> <p>By submitting a response to this ITQ I agree that our participation may be made public.</p> <p>I understand that the answers given in this response will not be published on the web site (but may fall to be disclosed under Freedom of Information Act 2000 or Environmental Information Regulations 2004).</p> <p>By submitting a response to this ITQ I agree and accept the justification for the Contracting Authority's evaluation criteria.</p>
--------------	---

	<p>By submitting a response to this ITQ I agreed and accept that the Contracting Authority reserves the right to change without notice the procedure for awarding the Contract, to reject any or all bids for the Contract, to stop the process and not award the Contract (in whole or in part) at any time without any liability on its part.</p> <p>By submitting a response to this ITQ I agree and accept that nothing in this process is intended to form any express or implied contractual relationship between the Parties unless and until a Contract is awarded. The Contracting Authority is not liable for any costs resulting from cancellation of this process nor any costs incurred by Bidders taking part in this procurement process.</p> <p>I understand that where sourcing documents issued by the Contracting Authority or contracts with its suppliers fall to be disclosed the Contracting Authority will redact them as it thinks necessary, having regard (inter alia) to the exemptions/exceptions in the FOIA or EIR.</p>
Bidder guidance	<p>The Bidder shall answer Yes or No</p> <p>Yes – Pass</p> <p>No – Fail</p>
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Bidder response	Yes / No

AW1.3	<p>CERTIFICATE OF BONA FIDE BID</p> <p>The essence of procurement is that the customer shall receive bona fide competitive Bids, from all those Bidding. In recognition of this principle, we certify that this is a bona fide bid, intended to be competitive and that we have not fixed or adjusted the amount of bid by or under or in accordance with any agreement with any other person.</p> <p>We also certify that we have not done and we undertake that we will not do at any time before the hour and date specified for the return of this bid any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Communicate to a person other than the person calling for these bids the amount or approximate amount of the proposed bid, except where the disclosure, in confidence, of the approximate amount of the bid was necessary to obtain insurance premium quotations for the preparation of the bid; (b) Enter into any agreement or arrangement with any other person that he shall refrain from bidding or as to the amount of any bid to be submitted; (c) Offer to pay or agree to pay or give any sum of money or valuable consideration directly or indirectly to any person for doing or having done or causing or have caused to be done in relation to any other bid or proposed bid for the said supply / service any act or thing of the sort described above. <p>In this certificate, the word "person" includes any persons and any body or association, corporate or unincorporated, and any "agreement or arrangement" includes any such transaction, formal or informal, and whether legally binding or not. We acknowledge that the Contracting Authority will be entitled to cancel the contract and to recover from us the amount of any loss resulting from such cancellation if we or our representatives (whether with our without our knowledge) shall have practiced collusion in Bidding for this contract or any other contract with the Contracting Authority or shall employ any corrupt or illegal practices either in the obtaining or execution of this contract or any other contract with the Contracting Authority. We agree that the Contracting Authority may disclose the Bidders information/documentation (submitted to the Contracting Authority during this Procurement) more widely within Government for the purpose of ensuring effective cross-Government procurement processes, including value for money and related purposes.</p>
--------------	---

Bidder guidance	The Bidder shall answer Yes or No Yes – Pass No – Fail
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Bidder response	Yes / No

AW3.1	In the event of a Bidder successfully providing the most advantageous offer to the Contracting Authority against a procurement requirement, the Bidder is expected to provide an answer to the attached questions as a validation check prior to the award of any Contract. If the Bidder fails to meet the Contracting Authority’s expectations we reserve the right to not award the Contract to the relevant Bidder and either award to the Bidder with the second most advantageous response or run a new procurement.
Bidder guidance	The Bidder is not required to complete the validation check at this stage but will be required to respond to the questions in the event of providing the most advantageous offer to the Contracting Authority’s against a procurement requirement. Yes – Pass No – Fail
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Bidder response	Yes I have understood that I need to complete the validation check in the event of providing the most advantageous offer to the Contracting Authority against a procurement requirement.

AW4.1	Please confirm your acceptance of the attached Contract Terms.  RE19221 UKRI Precedent Supplies :
Bidder guidance	The Bidder shall answer Yes, No with justification or No Yes – Pass No with justification – Pass. See question AW4.2 for details of what amounts to a valid justification. No – Fail
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Bidder response	Drop down menu - Yes, No with justification, No

AW4.2	Where a Bidder has answered question AW4.1 with ‘no with justification’ they must detail the justification and the proposed change to the clause.
--------------	--

Bidder guidance	<p>A justification for not accepting a specific clause or series of clauses from the attached Contract Terms is that the Bidder would be in breach of legal requirements or statutory regulations by complying with that clause or series of clauses (i.e. for the avoidance of doubt, being unable to comply with a clause or series of clauses because of an internal policy reason will not be considered to be a justification for a legal requirement or statutory regulation).</p> <p>Where the Bidder has answered question AW4.1 as "no with justification", the Bidder shall provide a Contract mark-up utilising track changes detailing the relevant clause, the proposed changes to the clause and the justification for the changes. In this case, the Bidder shall score a pass if (but only if) the Contracting Authority considers both the following requirements are satisfied:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the reasons stated as justifying the Bidder's statement that it cannot accept the Contract Terms due to legal requirements or statutory regulations are valid; and • the changes submitted do not create significant risk for the Contracting Authority and are reasonably necessary and proportionate to ensure the Bidder complies with the legal requirements and statutory regulations. <p>Where a Bidder has answered Question AW4.1 with 'Yes' or 'No' it must answer 'not applicable' to achieve a Pass to question AW4.2 (noting that an answer of 'No' to question AW4.1 has already resulted in a fail).</p> <p>In the event of a Bidder answering Yes or No to Question AW4.1 and then providing a proposed mark up, rejection of a clause or a justification for a change then the response will be a Fail.</p>
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Bidder response	<p>Drop down menu 'N/A' 'I have answered AW4.1 'No with justification' and attach modifications / requested / justification'.</p>

PRICE QUESTIONNAIRE

<p>AW5.2</p>	<p>Bidders are required to complete the Excel Pricing Schedule attached in the Bidder Response section.</p> <p>All prices shall be exclusive of VAT.</p> <p>All costs appearing elsewhere in the Bid but not mentioned in this Pricing Schedule shall be presumed waived.</p> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p>AW5.2 Price Schedule.xlsx</p> </div>																								
<p>Bidder guidance</p>	<p>Bidders shall confirm they have completed the Pricing Schedule. The scoring methodology for this question shall be: The lowest price for a response which meets the pass criteria shall score 100.</p> <p>All other bids shall be scored on a pro rata basis in relation to the lowest price. The score is then subject to a multiplier to reflect the percentage value of the price criterion.</p> <p>Where the scoring criterion is worth 50% then the 0-100 score achieved will be multiplied by 50</p> <p>In the example if a supplier scores 80 from the available 100 points this will equate to 40% by using the following calculation: Score/Total Points multiplied by 50 (80/100 x 50 = 40)</p> <p>The lowest score possible is 0 even if the price submitted is more than 100% greater than the lowest price.</p> <p>The lowest price for a response which meets the pass criteria shall score 100. All other bids shall be scored on a pro rata basis in relation to the lowest price. The lowest score possible is 0.</p> <p>For example, assuming the lowest bid is £100,000.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="411 1536 1406 1883"> <thead> <tr> <th>Bid Price</th> <th>Differential to the lowest price which meets the mandatory pass criteria</th> <th>Score</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>£100,000</td> <td>0</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>£120,000</td> <td>20%</td> <td>80</td> </tr> <tr> <td>£140,000</td> <td>40%</td> <td>60</td> </tr> <tr> <td>£150,000</td> <td>50%</td> <td>50</td> </tr> <tr> <td>£175,000</td> <td>75%</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>£200,000</td> <td>100%</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>£300,000</td> <td>200%</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Bid Price	Differential to the lowest price which meets the mandatory pass criteria	Score	£100,000	0	100	£120,000	20%	80	£140,000	40%	60	£150,000	50%	50	£175,000	75%	25	£200,000	100%	0	£300,000	200%	0
Bid Price	Differential to the lowest price which meets the mandatory pass criteria	Score																							
£100,000	0	100																							
£120,000	20%	80																							
£140,000	40%	60																							
£150,000	50%	50																							
£175,000	75%	25																							
£200,000	100%	0																							
£300,000	200%	0																							
<p>Scoring criteria</p>	<p>Maximum Marks 25%</p>																								
<p>Bidder response</p>	<p>Yes</p>																								

QUALITY QUESTIONNAIRE

AW6.1	Please confirm your compliance to the requirements of Section 4 Specification
Bidder guidance	The Bidder shall answer Yes or No Yes – Pass No – Fail
Scoring criteria	Mandatory Pass / Fail
Bidder response	Yes / No

PROJ1.1	Referring to Sections 3.3.1 and 5.1.4 of the specification please confirm that the structure will meet performance criteria outlined by STFC and provide evidence of your proven techniques for achieving this. Note this should give evidence of how the performance criteria are achieved, not only how they will be measured.	
Bidder guidance	The Bidder shall submit a technical solution that provides supporting information, drawing or evidence detailing how the requirement will be met. This should include calculations, simulation results and / or evidence from previous test results on similarly specified components.	
Scoring criteria	0	The Question is not answered or the response is completely unacceptable.
	10	Extremely poor response – they have completely missed the point of the question.
	20	Very poor response and not wholly acceptable. Requires major revision to the response to make it acceptable. Only partially answers the requirement, with major deficiencies and little relevant detail proposed.
	40	Poor response only partially satisfying the selection question requirements with deficiencies apparent. Some useful evidence provided but response falls well short of expectations. Low probability of being a capable supplier.
	60	Response is acceptable but remains basic and could have been expanded upon. Response is sufficient but does not inspire.
	80	Good response which describes their capabilities in detail which provides good levels of assurance consistent. The response includes a full description of techniques and measurements currently employed.
	100	Response is exceptional and clearly demonstrates they are capable of meeting the requirement. No significant weaknesses noted. The response is compelling in its description of techniques and measurements currently employed, providing full assurance consistent with a quality provider.
Maximum Score – 15.00%		

Bidder response	Free text + attachments
-----------------	-------------------------

PROJ1.2	Delivery: Please select the timescale in which you will be able to deliver the TDC as stated in the Specification. Contract award is anticipated to be September 2019.																
Bidder guidance	<p>The shortest overall delivery time will score 100 marks. Fewer marks will be awarded for a longer delivery time, down to 0 marks for a delivery time that is 50% longer. As an example, if the shortest delivery time is 6 months, marks will be awarded for longer times as shown below:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Delivery time [months] from receipt of purchase order.</th> <th>Marks awarded</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>6</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7</td> <td>80</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8</td> <td>60</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9</td> <td>40</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10</td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11+</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Delivery time [months] from receipt of purchase order.	Marks awarded	6	100	7	80	8	60	9	40	10	20	11+	0		
Delivery time [months] from receipt of purchase order.	Marks awarded																
6	100																
7	80																
8	60																
9	40																
10	20																
11+	0																
Scoring criteria	<p>Maximum mark is 100.</p> <p>The total score will be worth 15% of the overall score.</p>																
Bidder response	Free text + attachments																

PROJ1.3	Schedule: A delivery plan should be submitted which clearly defines how the delivery timescales are to be achieved.								
Bidder guidance	Bidders should support their answer to this question with a separate file attachment of a high level schedule e.g. gantt chart or similar showing all major activities, and any other pertinent information, such as material order, fabrication dates and delivery dates.								
Scoring criteria	<p>Scoring:</p> <table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>0</td> <td>The Question is not answered or the response is completely unacceptable.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10</td> <td>Extremely poor response – they have completely missed the point of the question.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>20</td> <td>Very poor response and not wholly acceptable. Requires major revision to the response to make it acceptable. Only partially answers the requirement, with major deficiencies and little relevant detail proposed.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>40</td> <td>Poor response only partially satisfying the selection question requirements with deficiencies apparent. Some useful evidence provided but response falls well short of expectations. Low</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	0	The Question is not answered or the response is completely unacceptable.	10	Extremely poor response – they have completely missed the point of the question.	20	Very poor response and not wholly acceptable. Requires major revision to the response to make it acceptable. Only partially answers the requirement, with major deficiencies and little relevant detail proposed.	40	Poor response only partially satisfying the selection question requirements with deficiencies apparent. Some useful evidence provided but response falls well short of expectations. Low
0	The Question is not answered or the response is completely unacceptable.								
10	Extremely poor response – they have completely missed the point of the question.								
20	Very poor response and not wholly acceptable. Requires major revision to the response to make it acceptable. Only partially answers the requirement, with major deficiencies and little relevant detail proposed.								
40	Poor response only partially satisfying the selection question requirements with deficiencies apparent. Some useful evidence provided but response falls well short of expectations. Low								

		probability of being a capable supplier.
	60	Response is acceptable but remains basic and could have been expanded upon. Response is sufficient but does not inspire.
	80	Good response which describes their capabilities in detail which provides good levels of assurance consistent. The response includes a full description of techniques and measurements currently employed.
	100	Response is exceptional and clearly demonstrates they are capable of meeting the requirement. No significant weaknesses noted. The response is compelling in its description of techniques and measurements currently employed, providing full assurance consistent with a quality provider.
	Maximum Score – 10.00%	
Bidder response	Free text + attachments	

PROJ1.4	Tolerance and Internal Finish Requirements: Bidders must define the manufacturing processes which will be employed to ensure that the dimensional tolerances and internal finish requirements for the TDC are to be achieved consistently.	
Bidder guidance	<p>Scoring Criteria</p> <p>Bidder must define the manufacturing methods (e.g. Material sourcing, machining, jiggling, furnace control etc), for routinely ensuring the delivery of the dimensional tolerances and required internal finish. Thus evidence of consistent and robust manufacturing processes should be clearly defined.</p> <p>Particular reference should be made to, though not exclusively:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Internal tolerances are to be achieved • Internal finish are to be achieved • Circularity of cells to be achieved <p>Please be aware, the maximum character count within a text response within this e-sourcing suite is 4096, if your response is likely to exceed this, please add an attachment with your response.</p> <p>Attachments such as text files, presentations or similar are encouraged.</p>	
Scoring criteria	0	The Question is not answered or the response is completely unacceptable.
	10	Extremely poor response – they have completely missed the point of the question.
	20	Very poor response and not wholly acceptable. Requires major revision to the response to make it acceptable. Only partially answers the requirement, with major deficiencies and little relevant detail proposed.
	40	Poor response only partially satisfying the selection question requirements with deficiencies apparent. Some useful evidence

		provided but response falls well short of expectations. Low probability of being a capable supplier.
	60	Response is acceptable but remains basic and could have been expanded upon. Response is sufficient but does not inspire.
	80	Good response which describes their capabilities in detail which provides good levels of assurance consistent. The response includes a full description of techniques and measurements currently employed.
	100	Response is exceptional and clearly demonstrates they are capable of meeting the requirement. No significant weaknesses noted. The response is compelling in its description of techniques and measurements currently employed, providing full assurance consistent with a quality provider.
	Maximum marks 10.00%	
Bidder response	Free text + attachments	

PROJ1.5	Quality control: In support of your response to PROJ1.4 the Bidder must provide evidence of how your quality control processes will be used to verify compliance with the specification.	
Bidder guidance	<p>Scoring criteria :</p> <p>Bidder must outline the quality control processes which will be used to demonstrate compliance with technical aspects of the specification.</p> <p>Bidders responses should cover :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide copies of relevant certificates (for example ISO9001 certification) • Outline of overall quality assurance plan • Verification of dimensional tolerances and surface finish • Compliance with RF specification including measurement of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Field flatness ○ Frequency ○ Q₀ ○ Q_{Ext} <p>Please be aware, the maximum character count within a text response within this e-sourcing suite is 4096, if your response is likely to exceed this, please add an attachment with your response.</p> <p>Attachments such as text files, presentations or similar are encouraged.</p>	
Scoring criteria	0	The Question is not answered or the response is completely unacceptable.
	10	Extremely poor response – they have completely missed the point of the question.
	20	Very poor response and not wholly acceptable. Requires major

		revision to the response to make it acceptable. Only partially answers the requirement, with major deficiencies and little relevant detail proposed.
	40	Poor response only partially satisfying the selection question requirements with deficiencies apparent. Some useful evidence provided but response falls well short of expectations. Low probability of being a capable supplier.
	60	Response is acceptable but remains basic and could have been expanded upon. Response is sufficient but does not inspire.
	80	Good response which describes their capabilities in detail which provides good levels of assurance consistent. The response includes a full description of techniques and measurements currently employed.
	100	Response is exceptional and clearly demonstrates they are capable of meeting the requirement. No significant weaknesses noted. The response is compelling in its description of techniques and measurements currently employed, providing full assurance consistent with a quality provider.
	Maximum marks 10.00%	
Bidder response	Attachment	

PROJ1.6	<p>Referring to both sections 3.1.2 and 5.1.2 please provide details of the method you envisage for the joining of the cavity cells.</p> <p>Diffusion bonding is the preferred joining technology for the cavities as it is understood that final dimensions are more easily controlled with this technique. However, it is our understanding that the costs/timescales involved may be much greater and also that the level of performance required could also be achieved by vacuum brazing. Bidders are encouraged to submit 2 bids if applicable, one brazed and one with any alternative method should that be available to them.</p>
Bidder guidance	<p>Please outline process for final joining of the cavity. Scoring for the bonding process will be as follows;</p> <p>Diffusion bonding – 100</p> <p>Vacuum brazed as per specification – 70</p> <p>Alternative proven methods not yet envisaged by us will be scored as appropriate between 10 and 90.</p> <p>This score will be worth 10% of the total marks.</p>
Scoring criteria	<p>Scoring:</p> <p>Maximum Score – 10.00% of total score</p>
Bidder response	Free text + attachments

PROJ1.7	Warranty: Referring to section 7.1 of the Specification, please select what your proposed warranty for this requirement will be. The minimum expected warranty period will be 24 months from the delivery of the equipment.
Bidder guidance	The Bidder shall confirm the duration of warranty referring to section 7.1 of the Specification.
Scoring criteria	Scoring: A minimum of 5 years or more – 100 marks A minimum of 4 years – 75 marks A minimum of 3 years – 50 marks A minimum of 2 years – 25 marks Less than 2 years - 0 marks Maximum marks 5.00%
Bidder response	Attachment

PROJ1.8	In support of your response to PROJ1.7 please provide details of what is included/excluded in the warranty.
Bidder guidance	The bidder must provide a detailed list of warranty terms and conditions.
Scoring criteria	Information only
Bidder response	Attachment