		Appendix B - CHP LTHW PM + Design - Tender Scoring Matrix				Tender 1 - ABC		Tender 2 - LMN		Tenderer 3 - XYZ
ategory	Category percentage	Subcategory	% of category %	Overall % available	Total cost / Score	% Notes	Total cost / Score	% Notes	Total cost / Score	, % Notes
Commercial aspects	50%	Contract Cost (Inverse Proportion): Cheapest tender gets 100% of the overall available. Remaining proposals get a proportion of the 30% available based on comparison to cheapest proposal. Contract Price Breakdown A full breakdown of contract cost and costs per cost item and a schedule of standard hourly / daily and out of hours rates is provided :	60%	30.0%	£ 40	30.00% Example only	£ 50	24.00% Example only	£ 60	20.00% Example only
		A loss of the second of t	10%	5.0%	3.00	5% Example only	2.00	3% Example only	1.00	2% Example only
		2 - Acceptable but not as envisaged in ITT document 3 - As envisaged in ITT document	10%	5.0%	3.00	5% Example only	2.00	3% Example only	1.00	2% Example only
		Insurance requirements at acceptable levels (Public, Employers, Contractors All Risks, Professional Indemnity): 0 - No details 1 - Requires uplift / more details 2 Acceptable 3 - Exceeds minimum levels	10%	5.0%	3.00	5% Example only	2.00	3% Example only	1.00	2% Example only
		Pirbright T&Cs: 0 - Not Accepted by the Tenderer 1 - Accepted with major changes requested by the Tenderer 2 - Accepted with minor changes requested by the Tenderer 3 - Fully Accepted by Tenderer	10%			5% Example only	2.00		1.00	
Quality of Tender	50%	Quality of Proposal (how specification will be met): 0 - Very poor quality proposal 1 - Proposal / presentation of proposal is disjointed/difficult to understand 2 - Proposal is clear but technical content is limited 3 - Proposal is clear and technical content is high	40%	20.00%	3.00	20% Example only	2.00	13% Example only	1.00	
		Tender documents completed: 0 - Not offered 1 - 50% completed 2 -80% completed 3 - 100% completed	5%	2.50%	3.00	3% Example only	2.00	2% Example only	1.00	1% Example only
		Team & Training Records:         0 - No details offered         1 - Limited details given         2 - Full details given, but experience not fully relevant         3 - Full details given and experience fully relevant	10%	5.00%	3.00	5% Example only	2.00	3% Example only	1.00	2% Example only
		Case study1: 0 - Not offered 1 - Project not relevant 2 - Relevant project in a similar industry 3- Relevant project in a similar industry	7.5%	3.75%	3.00	4% Example only	2.00	3% Example only	1.00	1% Example only
		Case study 2: 0 - Not offered 1 - Project not relevant 2 - Relevant project in a dissimilar industry 3 - Relevant project in a similar industry	7.5%			4% Example only	2.00		1.00	
		Case study 3: 0 - Not offered 1 - Project not relevant 2 - Relevant project in a dissimilar industry 3 - Relevant project in a similar industry	7.5%			4% Example only	2.00		1.00	
		ISO 45001 / BS18001 Accreditation (Safety): 0 - None / not offered / alternative system not fully relevant 1 - Alternative system but not fully relevant 2 - ISO 45001 but not fully relevant or fully relevant alternative system 3 - ISO 45001 fully relevant	5.0%			3% Example only	2.00		1.00	
		ISO9001 Accreditation (Quality): 0 - None / not offered / alternative system not fully relevant 1 - Alternative system 2 - ISO9001 but not fully relevant or fully relevant alternative system 3 - ISO9001 fully relevant	5.0%			3% Example only	2.00		1.00	
		Example RAMS 0 - not offered 1 - Poor 2 - Acceptable	5.0%	2.50%	5.00	3/0 Example only	2.00		1.00	
	100.0%	3 - Good	13%	6.25%		6% Example only 100.00%	2.00	4% Example only <b>70.67%</b>	1.00	2% Example only 43.33%