

RCloud Tasking Form – Part B: Statement of Requirement (SoR)

Title of Requirement	DefendTex Support REDACTED Under FOI Exemption
Requisition No.	RQ0000019387
SoR Version	0.1

1.	Statement of Requirements
1.1	Summary and Background Information
	<p>DefendTex have developed a number of concept demonstrators for both the REDACTED Under FOI Exemption Programmes. The maturation of these concept demonstrators and development of new ones will allow the REDACTED Under FOI Exemption can be employed across a range of operational environments with system integration into a REDACTED Under FOI Exemption network at its heart.</p> <p>Dstl has invested in a number of sub system concepts with DefendTex in support of future iterations and future generations REDACTED Under FOI Exemption</p>
1.2	Requirement
	<p>REDACTED Under FOI Exemption</p> <p>REDACTED Under FOI Exemption</p>
1.3	Options or follow on work
	<p><u>Tasking Order Arrangement</u></p> <p>Dstl wishes to include in this R-Cloud Task the provisions for a Tasking Order Arrangement whereby potential additional work could be provided under the contract. Such potential additional work may include but not limited to:</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • REDACTED Under FOI Exemption ■ REDACTED Under FOI Exemption ■ REDACTED Under FOI Exemption <p>Dstl sets out to confirm that the above list is provided for reference, and as an example of the type of work that might be subject to additional tasking. At this stage these examples are not funded and Dstl does not offer a guarantee that any additional tasks may be placed.</p> <p>Where Dstl does identify a requirement, Dstl will request that the supplier provides a details proposal for each additional task and this will undergo technical and commercial review to ensure it is in scope with the aims of this requirement and offers value for money. Firm Prices for any additional requirements shall be submitted by the Supplier to Dstl and shall utilise rates within the allowable rates detailed on the R-Cloud rate card.</p> <p>No work is to be undertaken without formal amendment to the contract, without which any such work undertaken will be at the Supplier's risk.</p> <p>REDACTED Under FOI Exemption</p>
1.4	Contract Management Activities
	Bronze, to be managed locally by the Dstl Project Manager
1.5	Health & Safety, Environmental, Social, Ethical, Regulatory or Legislative aspects of the requirement
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) ISO9001 (Quality Management Systems) ii) TickITPlus (Integrated approach to software and IT development)

1.6	Deliverables & Intellectual Property Rights (IPR)				
Ref.	Title	Due by	Format	Expected classification (subject to change)	What information is r deliverab
D1	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption				
D2	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption				
D3	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption				
D4	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption				
D5	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption				

D6	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption
D7	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption
D8	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption
D9	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption
D10	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption
D11	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption
D12	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption

D13	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption
D14	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption

1.7	Deliverable Acceptance Criteria
	<p>All Reports included as Deliverables under the Contract e.g. Progress and/or Final Reports etc. must comply with the Defence Research Reports Specification (DRRS) which defines the requirements for the presentation, format and production of scientific and technical reports prepared for MoD.</p> <p>Interim or Progress Reports: The report should detail, document, and summarise the results of work done during the period covered and shall be in sufficient detail to comprehensively explain the results achieved; substantive performance; a description of current substantive performance and any problems encountered and/or which may exist along with proposed corrective action. An explanation of any difference between planned progress and actual progress, why the differences have occurred, and if behind planned progress what corrective steps are planned.</p> <p>Any Final Reports: shall describe the entire work performed under the Contract in sufficient detail to explain comprehensively the work undertaken and results achieved including all relevant technical details of any hardware, software, process or system developed there under. The technical detail shall be sufficient to permit independent reproduction of any such process or system.</p> <p>All Reports shall be free from spelling and grammatical errors and shall be set out in accordance with the Statement Of Requirement (1) above.</p> <p>Failure to comply with the above may result in Dstl rejecting the deliverables and requesting re-work before final acceptance.</p>

2	Evaluation Criteria
2.1	Method Explanation
	<p>The Authority will use an evaluation model consisting of three criteria as follows:</p> <p>Stage 1 – Commercial: Pass / Fail (see section 2.3) Stage 2 – Technical: Scored (see section 2.2)</p> <p>The Authority will reject any bid that receives a 'Fail' for any of the Commercial Evaluation Criteria. Any response that receives a 'Fail' will be excluded from the competition and shall not be considered for Task Award.</p> <p>Any bids that 'Pass' the Commercial Evaluation Criteria will be evaluated by Technical assessors who will review proposals independently before being brought together for moderation.</p>

2.2 Technical Evaluation Criteria

Proposal will be evaluated by the Technical Assessors against the Technical Criteria shown in Table 1 below. The overall technical score for the bid will be the sum of the awarded scores for ID 1 – 6 at Table 1.

A bid will be deemed to fall short of The Authority's technical requirement and therefore be technically non-compliant where:

1. A score of 0 (Inadequate), prior to VfM Ratio, is recorded for two or more questions in any of the technical criteria.
2. An overall technical score below 60, prior to VfM Ratio, is recorded.

TABLE 1 – Technical Criteria

ID	Category	Description	Score	Weighting	Maximum Score*
1	Study lead	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption	0,3,7,10	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption	
2	Infrastructure and resources	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption	0,3,7,10	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption	
3	Competence	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption	0,3,7,10	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption	
4	Methodology	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption	0,3,7,10	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption	
5	Testing	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption	0,3,7,10	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption	

		REDACTED Under FOI Exemption [REDACTED]			
6	Schedule of Works	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0,3,7,10	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption [REDACTED]	

* Maximum Score above is calculated by (Score / Maximum Score Available) x Weighting e.g. 7/10 x 15 = 10.5

The following scoring guide will be used to evaluate technical scores against each criteria.

TABLE 2 – Scores for awarding		
Rating	Characteristic	Score
Excellent	The response addresses all elements of the requirement, and provides a comprehensive, unambiguous and thorough explanation of how the requirement will be fulfilled.	10
Good	The response addresses all the elements of the requirement and provides sufficient detail and explanation of how the requirement will be fulfilled.	7
Adequate	The response addresses the majority of elements of the requirement but is weak in some areas and does not fully detail or explain how the requirement will be fulfilled.	3
Inadequate	The response does not address or explain how the requirement will be fulfilled and fails to demonstrate the ability to meet the requirement.	0

2.3	Commercial Evaluation Criteria		
	Evaluation of Commercial bids will be undertaken against responses to the questions detailed below and scored in accordance with the 'Commercial Scoring Definitions' underneath.		
	Ref	Question	Marking

1	The Contractor's proposal has been submitted with all pricing offered as a Firm Price (i.e. non variable).	REDACTED Under FOI
2	One full technical proposal, excluding all price detail has been submitted	REDACTED Under FOI
3	One full Technical and Commercial proposal, including all price detail, has been submitted	REDACTED Under FOI
4	The R-Cloud Part C Task Response Form has been completed and submitted including: a. Annex A: Statement Relating to Good Standing has been signed. b. Annex B: Notification of Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) Restrictions has been completed with sufficient detail and is acceptable to the Authority, providing required rights of use.	REDACTED Under FOI
5	Completed Research Worker Forms (RWF) have been submitted where appropriate or details of existing security cleared research workers identified	REDACTED Under FOI
6	The submitted proposal has a validity period of a minimum of 60 days from the tender closing date	REDACTED Under FOI
7	The supplier accepts any additional conditions specified under Tasking Form Part A.	REDACTED Under FOI
8	The supplier has submitted a completed DEFFORM 528 or provided a confirmed 'Nil Return'.	REDACTED Under FOI
9	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption	REDACTED Under FOI
10	REDACTED Under FOI Exemption	REDACTED Under FOI

The score (Pass/Fail) awarded to each of the Commercial Sub-criteria will be in accordance with the following definitions:

Score	Definition
REDACTED Under FOI Exemption	Fully meets the Authority's requirement. Provision and acceptance of the sub-criteria information in the format requested, which is clear, unambiguous and transparent.
REDACTED Under FOI Exemption	Unacceptable/Nil Return. Tenderer did not respond to the question or the response wholly failed to demonstrate an ability to meet the sub-criteria requirement. Any proposal marked as a Fail will be excluded, and further clarification shall be sought, to be considered for Task Award.

