

Request for Proposal



Request for Proposal (RFP) on behalf of **Innovate UK**

Subject: **Evaluation of Innovate UK's Fund activities**

Sourcing reference number **OJEU-CR17066INN**

UK Shared Business Services Ltd (UK SBS)

www.ukpbs.co.uk

Registered in England and Wales as a limited company. Company Number 6330639.
Registered Office Polaris House, North Star Avenue, Swindon, Wiltshire SN2 1FF
VAT registration GB618 3673 25
Copyright (c) UK Shared Business Services Ltd. 2013



Table of Contents

Section	Content
1	About UK Shared Business Services Ltd.
2	About the Contracting Authority
3	Working with the Contracting Authority.
4	Specification / about this procurement
5	Evaluation model
6	Selection and award questionnaires
7	General Information
Appendix 'A'	Glossary of Terms

Section 1 – About UK Shared Business Services

Putting the business into shared services

UK Shared Business Services Ltd (UK SBS) brings a commercial attitude to the public sector; helping Contracting Authorities improve efficiency, generate savings and modernise.

It is our vision to become the leading service provider for Contracting Authorities of shared business services in the UK public sector, continuously reducing cost and improving quality of business services for Government and the public sector.

Our broad range of expert services is shared by our Contracting Authorities. This allows our customers the freedom to focus resources on core activities; innovating and transforming their own organisations.

Core services include Procurement, Finance, Grants Admissions, Human Resources, Payroll, ISS, and Property Asset Management all underpinned by our Service Delivery and Contact Centre teams.

UK SBS is a people rather than task focused business. It's what makes us different to the traditional transactional shared services centre. What is more, being a not-for-profit organisation owned by the Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy (BEIS), UK SBS' goals are aligned with the public sector and delivering best value for the UK taxpayer.

UK Shared Business Services Ltd changed its name from RCUK Shared Services Centre Ltd in March 2013.

Our Customers

Growing from a foundation of supporting the Research Councils, 2012/13 saw Business Innovation and Skills (BIS) transition their procurement to UK SBS and Crown Commercial Services (CCS – previously Government Procurement Service) agree a Memorandum of Understanding with UK SBS to deliver two major procurement categories (construction and research) across Government.

UK SBS currently manages £700m expenditure for its Contracting Authorities.

Our Contracting Authorities who have access to our services and Contracts are detailed [here](#).

Section 2 – About the Contracting Authority

Innovate UK

The Innovate UK is the UK's innovation agency – driving innovation to boost economic growth. It works with people, companies and partner organisations to find and drive the science and technology innovations that will grow the UK economy

Innovate UK is an organisation of around 300 staff, drawn mainly from business. It works across the UK, with a head office in Swindon.

With a strong business focus, Innovate UK drives growth by working with companies to de-risk, enable and support innovation. To do this, they work to:

- Determine which science and technology developments will drive future economic growth
- Meet UK innovators with great ideas in the fields they're focused on
- Fund the strongest opportunities
- Connect innovators with the right partners they need to succeed
- Help its innovators launch, build and grown successful businesses

Since 2007 Innovate UK has committed over £1.8 billion to innovation, matched by a similar amount in partner and business funding. They have helped more than 7,600 organisations with projects estimated to add more than £11.5 billion to the UK economy and create 55,000 extra new jobs

Section 3 – Working with the Contracting Authority.

Section 3 – Contact details		
3.1	Contracting Authority Name and address	Innovate UK, Polaris House, Swindon, SN2 1FF
3.2	Buyer	Rebecca Fish
3.3	Buyer contact details	research@uksbs.co.uk
3.4	Estimated value of the Opportunity	Up to £600,000 over 5 years. With a break clause after year 3.
3.5	Process for the submission of clarifications and Bids	<p>All correspondence shall be submitted within the Emptoris e-sourcing tool. Guidance Notes to support the use of Emptoris is available here.</p> <p>Please note submission of a Bid to any email address including the Buyer <u>will</u> result in the Bid <u>not</u> being considered.</p>

Section 3 - Timescales		
3.6	Date of posting of Contract advert to OJEU.	28/07/2017
3.7	Date RFP available to Bidders	31/07/2017 Contracts Finder
3.9	Latest date / time RFP clarification questions shall be received through Emptoris messaging system	29/08/2017 14:00
3.10	Latest date / time RFP clarification answers should be sent to all Bidders by the Buyer through Emptoris	30/08/2017
3.11	Closing date and time for Bidder to request RFP documents	01/09/2017 14:00

3.12	Closing date and time for Bidder to submit their response ('the deadline').	01/09/2017 14:00
3.13	Clarifications and / or site visits (if required)	N/A
3.14	Notification of proposed Contract award to unsuccessful bidders	19/09/2017
3.15	Anticipated Contract Award Date	29/09/2017
3.16	Commencement of Contract	04/10/2017
3.17	Completion of Contract	04/10/2022
3.18	Bid Validity Period	90 Days

Section 4 – Specification and about this procurement

Executive Summary

This RFP is to conduct an evaluation covering Innovate UK's Fund activity. There will be two cohorts of projects covered by the first phase of the evaluation. This first cohort will cover projects awarded funding from April 2016 – March 2017, the first year of the new competition structure. The second cohort will cover projects awarded funding from April 2017 – March 2018.

The programme is expected to deliver a number of outputs:

This project will include a process evaluation alongside a full economic evaluation. The process evaluation will assess the effectiveness of Innovate UK's processes around Fund, while the economic evaluation will robustly measure the outputs, outcomes and impacts of Innovate UK's Fund activities, covering all projects awarded across the sector groups and open competitions.

The objectives of the Fund Programme are underpinned by our five point plan which aims to accelerate UK economic growth and increase business led innovation. The first three points of the plan are of particular relevance for this evaluation; turn scientific excellence into economic impact and deliver results through innovation; accelerate UK economic growth by nurturing high-growth potential SMEs in key market sectors; build on innovation excellence throughout the UK, investing locally in areas of strength.

1. Background

Innovate UK is the UK's national innovation agency. Its goal is to accelerate economic growth by stimulating and supporting business-led innovation. It is a business-led non-departmental public body set up in 2007. It works across the whole economy to fund and connect innovative businesses of all sizes who have the potential to grow through innovation. Further information on Innovate UK's objectives and programmes is available on its website <https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/innovate-uk>, and the delivery plan for 2016-17 sets out its latest priorities here;

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/514838/CO300_Innovate_UK_Delivery_Plan_2016_2017_WEB.pdf .

In April 2016, Innovate UK introduced a new, simplified way of supporting business-led innovation. Its support is now based on four sector groups, plus an 'open' approach;

- Emerging and enabling technologies
- Health and Life Sciences
- Infrastructure Systems
- Manufacturing and Materials
- Open programme

More details on each of these strands can be found in the Delivery Plan. Under the new competition approach, each strand will run two competitions a year, at set times roughly six months apart. Each of these ten competitions will commit around £15m in 2016/17, to be spent in projects which could run from six months to three years. By the end of January we have awarded projects to 689 unique organisations of which around two thirds comprised of SMEs. These competitions are the core of Innovate UK's "Fund" activity, supporting businesses to innovate and grow.

Outside of the new simplified competition structure, additional competitions are planned, as set out in the Delivery Plan. These competitions will, for the most part, be in scope for this evaluation. However, there are a number of competitions which are not required to be included within the scope. These include but are not necessarily limited to; SBRI; Aerospace Technology Institute; Advanced Propulsion Centre; Newton Fund; Knowledge Transfer Partnerships, and; Eurostars.

This invitation to tender is to conduct phase 1 of an evaluation covering Innovate UK's Fund activity. There will be two cohorts of projects covered by the evaluation. This first cohort will cover projects awarded funding from April 2016 – March 2017, the first year of the new competition structure. The second cohort will cover projects awarded funding from April 2017 – March 2018. Given the expected lag from award to impact, this two-phase evaluation is expected to run up until 2023 for the first cohort and 2024 for the second cohort of projects. However, the first phase of this project which forms the focus of this RfP will be over a five year period until July 2022 with a second phase, which will be commissioned separately, completing the evaluation by 2024. Given the phased nature of this evaluation, any data used, including contact details, must be provided in a state in which it can be shared either with Innovate UK or a third party contractor at the end of the phase 1 evaluation. Proposals

must set out how this will be achieved.

2. Requirement

This project will include a process evaluation alongside a full economic evaluation. The process evaluation will assess the effectiveness of Innovate UK's processes around Fund, while the economic evaluation will robustly measure the outputs, outcomes and impacts of Innovate UK's Fund activities, covering all projects awarded across the sector groups and open competitions.

A final report for this first phase of the evaluation will be delivered in 2022, with annual interim reports providing updates between the contract start date and the final report. Interim reports should present findings as they emerge, starting with processes, inputs, and activities, moving on to outputs, outcomes and impacts. In some years the interim report will be an update on evaluation progress, where new findings have not emerged within that year.

The objectives of the Fund Programme are underpinned by our five point plan which aims to accelerate UK economic growth and increase business led innovation. The first three points of the plan are of particular relevance for this evaluation; turn scientific excellence into economic impact and deliver results through innovation; accelerate UK economic growth by nurturing high-growth potential SMEs in key market sectors; build on innovation excellence throughout the UK, investing locally in areas of strength.

The key research questions relate to the following areas:

- How effective are the Fund processes in delivering the programme's objectives?
- To what extent does the Fund programme reduce the risk of innovation and accelerate innovation and innovation-led business performance?
- How effective is the programme at stimulating and leveraging longer-term business investment in innovation?
- How effective is it at creating sustainable jobs, investment and growth?
- In what way has the programme improved UK competitiveness and access to global opportunities?

- Economic evaluation

Proposals should clearly set out how the evaluation of Fund projects awarded between April 2016 and March 2018 (inclusive) will be delivered. The competitions are phased across each financial year and the tables below provide an overview of the timing and profile over 2015/16.

Table showing the sequence and timing of competitions over FY 2016/17

Competition	Type	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A
Mfg & Materials Rd1	Grant		Open			Assess												
Mfg & Materials Rd2	Grant								Open			Assess						
Open Rd1	Grant		Open			Assess												
Open Rd2	Grant									Open		Assess						
Infrastructure Systems Rd1	Grant			Open		Assess												
Infrastructure Systems Rd2	Grant										Open		Assess					
Health & Life Science Rd1	Grant					Open			Assess									
Health & Life Science Rd2	Grant											Open		Assess				
Emerging & Enabling Rd1	Grant							Open			Assess							
Emerging & Enabling Rd2	Grant													Open			Assess	

Innovate UK places great weight on the robustness of the methodology, and bids should clearly define the methodological approach to address the analytical challenges around the evaluation, and explain why this methodology is deemed to be the most robust option given these challenges. The Maryland Scale can be considered a reasonable hierarchy of robustness, with a scale of 4 being the minimum level we expect the evaluation to provide.

The evaluation should consider the impact of Fund on a range of factors including, but not necessarily limited to, economic growth, business performance, R&D activity, the novelty and quality of innovations, behavioural or attitudinal changes, collaboration activity, investment, and spill over impacts. Business performance should consider not just business growth but wider indicators of innovativeness and performance, including the ability to raise finance. For example, Innovate UK is interested in leveraged private sector investment.

The evaluation should aim to quantify and monetise as many of these factors as possible, and proposals should set out how this will be achieved.

Given the long timescales involved with impacts arising from innovation projects, the evaluation should account for both realised and anticipated impacts, distinguishing between the two, identifying the expected time frames to impact, as well as capturing interim outcomes and project outputs. It is expected that a logic model and/or theory of change will be designed for Fund that will draw on exiting logic models that have been developed for similar innovation programmes, refining these where necessary.

Critical to the success of any proposal will be the deployment of a sound, robust counterfactual. Proposals should set out how this will be defined and measured. It is expected that surveys of non-beneficiaries and data linking to administrative data will be part of this solution, although Innovate UK are open to alternative suggestions. Innovate UK would welcome multiple approaches to measuring the additionality of the programme.

- Process evaluation

Innovate UK is interested in understanding how the Fund processes affect the impact of the programme, and whether any improvements can be made. This element of the evaluation should assess the effectiveness, with respect to the programme objectives, of the Fund process from start to finish, and the proposals should set out how this will be accomplished. The information below provides a guide for bidders on the current activities involved in the application for grants for both the applicant and Innovate UK. The process evaluation should consider the four main elements of pre-application engagement, the application process, bid assessment and follow up monitoring of projects following the successful award and how each element affects the performance and effectiveness of delivering against Fund's objectives.

Typical process flow for the grant application process

<u>Applicant</u>	<u>Innovate UK</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Competition Opens• Optional Briefing	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Assessor Induction Training
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Compulsory Registration Closes• Compulsory Application Deadline	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Assessor Briefing webinar• Eligibility Checks Complete• Application list to Sector Team• Scope review meeting• Applications to Assessors• Assessors deadline• 3 Panel sheets to Sector Team• Portfolio Review• Funders Panel
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Applicants informed	

- Method

All proposals should follow best practice guidance in designing evaluations as set out in HM Treasury's Magenta Book. Critical to the success of any proposal will be a sound approach to measuring and accounting for deadweight, displacement, leakages and spill overs. It will not be considered sufficient to rely on general estimates drawn from the wider literature to account for these.

It is anticipated that the successful proposal will make use of one or more appropriate control groups. Proposals should set out the population any proposed control group will be drawn from, why this represents the most appropriate control group(s), and how data will be collected from the sample, including how any issues around engagement will be addressed if primary data collection is to take place.

Given the scale of the programme and the evaluation, Innovate UK would be interested in examining the potential of using multiple control groups to help verify findings. For example, proposals could consider deploying both a control group of unsuccessful applicants and one matched in a business database, drawn from the general population.

It is anticipated that this project will require a combination of analytical techniques. These could include case studies, surveys of stakeholders or beneficiaries, in-depth interviews, data linking, econometric analysis or primary or secondary data, and industry consultations. It may be that not all are appropriate, but it is unlikely that any one alone will be sufficient. Tenderers are encouraged to think innovatively in terms of how they propose to address the evaluation objectives, although innovation should not be to the detriment of robustness. Innovate UK are keen to push boundaries in their evaluations, in order to improve the quality of their evidence base.

Spill over impacts (distinct from multiplier effects) are typically overlooked or poorly captured by evaluations of innovation support, and so proposals should consider how this might be overcome in this instance. Most standard approaches to evaluation will not capture impacts which occur outside of direct beneficiaries, and so complimentary approaches should be considered.

The proposal should set out, where relevant, required sample sizes to ensure that the power of the analysis is sufficient, and how these will be achieved. Where longitudinal data is to be collected, the proposal should set out how it will mitigate against sample attrition.

The projects awarded under Fund are awarded at different times and are of varying duration. In order to collect data on a consistent basis, it is therefore not likely a survey deployed at a single point in time (e.g. in month X of year Y) would be a reasonable solution. Bidders are therefore encouraged to consider whether a rolling survey to collect data at a set point in time relative to the start or end of each project is a feasible option, or suggest alternative approaches for the timing of surveys.

The winning proposal may be put to an external, independent peer review group of academic evaluation experts. The successful bidders will be expected to consider and, if appropriate, respond to any comments from peer reviewers. This process may be repeated with draft reports throughout the project and any published reports will have peer review comments published alongside them. The successful bidder will be expected to make amendments or respond to comments before publication.

– Data

Innovate UK collects management information on each applicant to the Fund competitions. This includes;

- Company name, address, Companies House number
- Contact details for project lead
- Total project cost, requested grant size, project overview
- Current turnover, employment, profits, R&D expenditure. Note that this data may not

be complete as it depends on information shared by applicants.

This data will be made available to the successful bidder, under a data sharing agreement and non-disclosure agreement.

Projects in scope will complete Innovate UK's project completion questionnaire at the end of the grant-funded project. This collects data on collaboration activities; the type of innovation the grant funded project outputs and expected outcomes. Innovate UK will be delivering this form to all business participants in projects which close from September 2017. Bidders may wish to consider whether taking control of the delivery of this monitoring system may enable a more robust evaluation, although bidders should note that Innovate UK values the ability to link such monitoring data to specific businesses.

Whilst all competitions in scope for the first cohort covered by this evaluation have already opened we do not currently know how many applications we can expect over the total period, or how many projects will be funded. The latest monitoring data for Fund based on the first 10 months of activity tells us that the average commitment per new project was £104k, and the average commitment per participant was £72k, with 924 participants included in new projects, of which 460 were unique SMEs and 229 were other types of unique organisation.

– Deliverables

Proposals should set out how they will deliver the following in phase 1 of this evaluation;

An initial, concise report setting out the milestones of the evaluation project, following an inception meetings (max. five pages)

A full process evaluation by March 2018

An input and activity report for the first cohort by March 2018

Annual update reports, covering inputs, activities and, as they emerge, outputs and outcomes. In some years the interim report will be an update on evaluation progress, where new findings have not emerged in a year. An outline schedule for these update reports is provided below.

2019 - inputs/activity report (2nd cohort)

2020 – First outputs and expected outcomes or impacts report (1st cohort)

2022 – both cohorts outputs and expected outcomes or impacts report

At the end of this phase of the evaluation, all datasets provided, compiled, or used, along with all analysis and reporting relating to them, must be made sharable in a convenient format, such that it will be possible to hand over, in full, either to Innovate UK or another contractor, as appropriate. Proposals must state how this will be achieved, including how any data protection issues will be resolved.

A second phase of the evaluation, which will be commissioned separately, will continue with

this work, reporting on actual outcomes and impacts over the longer term.

All reports, aside from the initial one, should include an executive summary and a PowerPoint version of key points. Any analysis carried out for the reports must be clearly set out in the report although these can be contained in a technical annex. The main body of the report should be concise and clear, understandable by non-technical readers.

Case studies should be written up in full, and proposals should set out the format and expected length of these case studies.

Data sets including all data collected and used in the evaluations must be provided alongside the reports. It is anticipated that the majority of data, including survey responses, will not be anonymised, and will be provided to the Economics and Performance team at Innovate UK with business identifiers included. The future use of this data will be restricted to research and evaluation and such assurances will be given to businesses responding.

Where data does need to be anonymised, the contractor will be expected to conduct the anonymization and provide the dataset alongside the other deliverables. In addition, all survey data must be sharable at the end of the phase 1 evaluation with another supplier for the phase 2 component of the evaluation.

For non-anonymised data, unique identifiers should be included to enable matching to Innovate UK data. Any code used to develop analysis should also be provided, in a suitable format, to enable findings to be verified.

- **Budget**

The maximum budget for this evaluation is £600k plus VAT. Proposals requesting the full, or near full, amount are expected to demonstrate considerable added value to a simple evaluation, and should clearly set out in the approach section how the proposed approach adds value. Milestone payments will be made against the above mentioned deliverables, payment schedule to be agreed during contract award.

Terms and Conditions

Bidders are to note that any requested modifications to the Contracting Authority Terms and Conditions on the grounds of statutory and legal matters only, shall be raised as a formal clarification during the permitted clarification period.

The Contract duration shall be for a period of 5 years. This Contract may be ended by the Contracting Authority giving two months written notice, but not before the completion of the first outputs and expected outcomes or impact reports (1st cohort) or 02/10/2020 whichever comes first. Should the Contracting Authority not notify the Supplier that the break clause is being invoked then this Contract will continue to the advertised completion date of 4th October 2022. There will be no option for further extension.

Section 5 – Evaluation model

5.1 Introduction

- 5.1.1 The evaluation process will be conducted to ensure that Bids are evaluated fairly to ascertain the bidders who can demonstrate the required skills qualities, technical ability and capacity, commercial stability and experience to ensure successful performance of the Contract.
- 5.1.2 The evaluation team may comprise staff from UK SBS and the Contracting Authority, and any specific external stakeholders the Contracting Authority deem required

5.2 Evaluation of Bids

- 5.2.1 Evaluation of Bids shall be based on a Selection questionnaire defined in the e-sourcing tool.

5.3. SELECTION questionnaire

- 5.3.1 The Selection questionnaire shall be marked against the following Selection pass / fail and scoring criteria.
- 5.3.2 The selection questionnaire shall be marked against the following Mandatory or discretionary pass / fail criteria.

Selection Pass/fail criteria		
Questionnaire	Q No.	Question subject
Selection Part A	SEL1.13	Contact details and declaration
Selection Part B	SEL2.2	Participation in a criminal organisation
Selection Part B	SEL2.3	Corruption
Selection Part B	SEL2.4	Fraud
Selection Part B	SEL2.5	Terrorist Offences or offences link to terrorist activities
Selection Part B	SEL2.6	Money laundering or Terrorist financing
Selection Part B	SEL2.7	Child Labour and other forms of trafficking in human beings
Selection Part B	SEL 2.8	Payment of tax or social security
Selection Part B	SEL 2.9	Self cleaning
Selection Part B	SEL 2.10	Cyber essentials
Selection Part C	SEL3.2	Breach of environmental obligations
Selection Part C	SEL3.3	Breach of social obligations

Selection Part C	SEL3.4	Breach of labour law obligations
Selection Part C	SEL3.5	Bankruptcy
Selection Part C	SEL3.6	Guilty of grave professional misconduct
Selection Part C	SEL3.7	Distorting competition
Selection Part C	SEL3.8	Conflict of Interest
Selection Part C	SEL3.9	Prior involvement in procurement process
Selection Part C	SEL3.10	Prior performance of contract
Selection Part C	SEL3.11	Serious Misrepresentation
Selection Part C	SEL3.12	Withholding information
Selection Part C	SEL3.13	Unable to provide supporting documentation for ESPD
Selection Part C	SEL3.14	Influenced the decision making process
Selection Part D	SEL4.1	Audited accounts
Selection Part D	SEL4.2	Minimum financial threshold
Selection Part D	SEL4.3	Wider group / guarantee
Selection Part D	SEL4.4	Insurance
Selection Part E	SEL5.1	References
Selection Part E	SEL5.4	Compliance under Modern Slavery Act 2015
Selection Part E	SEL5.5	Health and Safety Policy
Selection Part E	SEL5.6	Enforcement/remedial orders in relation to the Health and Safety Executive
Selection Part E	SEL5.7	Breaching environmental legislation
Selection Part E	SEL5.8	Checking sub-contractors for infringement of environmental legislation
Selection Part E	SEL5.9	Unlawful discrimination
Selection Part E	SEL5.10	Checking sub-contractors for unlawful discrimination
Selection Part E	FOI1.1	Freedom of information
		In the event of a Bidder failing to meet the requirements of a Mandatory pass / fail criteria, the Contracting Authority reserves the right to disqualify the Bidder and not consider evaluation of the any of the selection stage scoring methodology, nor the Award stage scoring methodology or Mandatory pass / fail criteria.

5.3.3 Each Mandatory pass / fail question includes a clear definition of the requirements of a successful response to the question.

5.3.4 The evaluation model below shall be used for this RFP which will be determined to two decimal places.

5.3.5 Questions marked 'for information only' do not contribute to the scoring model.

5.4. AWARD questionnaire

5.4.1 The award questionnaire shall be marked against the following Mandatory or discretionary pass / fail criteria. Each Mandatory pass / fail question includes a clear definition of the requirements of a successful response to the question

Award Pass/fail criteria

Questionnaire	Q No.	Question subject
Commercial	AW1.1	Form of Bid
Commercial	AW1.2	Bid validity period
Commercial	AW1.3	Certificate of bona fide Bid
Commercial	AW4.1	Compliance to the Contract
Commercial	AW4.2	Changes to the Contract Terms
Price	AW5.3	Open book policy
Quality	AW6.1	Compliance to the specification
Commercial	AW6.2	Non-Disclosure Agreement
-	-	Request for Quotation response – received on time within the e-sourcing tool
<p>In the event of a Bidder failing to meet the requirements of a Mandatory pass / fail criteria, the Contracting Authority reserves the right to disqualify the Bidder and not consider evaluation of the any of the selection stage scoring methodology, nor the Award stage scoring methodology or Mandatory pass / fail criteria.</p>		

5.4.2 The Award questionnaire shall be marked against the following Award scoring criteria.

5.4.3 The evaluation model below shall be used for this RFP which will be determined to two decimal places.

5.4.4 Questions marked 'for information only' do not contribute to the scoring model.

Award Scoring criteria

Evaluation Justification Statement

In consideration of this particular requirement the Contracting Authority has decided to evaluate Potential Providers by adopting the weightings/scoring mechanism detailed within this RFP. The Contracting Authority considers these weightings to be in line with existing best practice for a requirement of this type.

Questionnaire	Q No.	Question subject	Maximum Marks
Price	AW5.2	Price	20.00%
Quality	PROJ1.1	Approach	40.00%
Quality	PROJ1.2	Staff to Deliver	15.00%
Quality	PROJ1.3	Understanding the environment	15.00%
Quality	PROJ1.5	Project Timescales	10.00%

Award Evaluation of criteria

Non-Price elements

Each question will be judged on a score from 0 to 100, which shall be subjected to a multiplier to reflect the percentage of the evaluation criteria allocated to that question.

Where an evaluation criterion is worth 20% then the 0-100 score achieved will be multiplied by 20%.

Example if a Bidder scores 60 from the available 100 points this will equate to 12% by using the following calculation:

$$\text{Score} = \{\text{weighting percentage}\} \times \{\text{bidder's score}\} = 20\% \times 60 = 12$$

The same logic will be applied to groups of questions which equate to a single evaluation criterion.

The 0-100 score shall be based on (unless otherwise stated within the question):

0	The Question is not answered or the response is completely unacceptable.
10	Extremely poor response – they have completely missed the point of the question.
20	Very poor response and not wholly acceptable. Requires major revision to the response to make it acceptable. Only partially answers the requirement, with major deficiencies and little relevant detail proposed.
40	Poor response only partially satisfying the selection question requirements with deficiencies apparent. Some useful evidence provided but response falls well short of expectations. Low probability of being a capable supplier.
60	Response is acceptable but remains basic and could have been expanded upon. Response is sufficient but does not inspire.
80	Good response which describes their capabilities in detail which provides high levels of assurance consistent with a quality provider. The response includes a full description of techniques and measurements currently employed.
100	Response is exceptional and clearly demonstrates they are capable of meeting the requirement. No significant weaknesses noted. The response is compelling in its description of techniques and measurements currently employed, providing full assurance consistent with a quality provider.

All questions will be scored based on the above mechanism. Please be aware that there may be multiple evaluators. If so, their individual scores will be averaged (mean) to determine your final score as follows:

Example

Evaluator 1 scored your bid as 60

Evaluator 2 scored your bid as 40

Evaluator 3 scored your bid as 80
Evaluator 4 scored your bid as 60
Your final score will $(60+40+80+60) \div 4 = 60$

Price elements will be judged on the following criteria.

The lowest price for a response which meets the pass criteria shall score 100.

All other bids shall be scored on a pro rata basis in relation to the lowest price. The score is then subject to a multiplier to reflect the percentage value of the price criterion.

For example - Bid 1 £100,000 scores 100.

Bid 2 £120,000 differential of £20,000 or 20% remove 20% from price scores 80

Bid 3 £150,000 differential £50,000 remove 50% from price scores 50.

Bid 4 £175,000 differential £75,000 remove 75% from price scores 25.

Bid 5 £200,000 differential £100,000 remove 100% from price scores 0.

Bid 6 £300,000 differential £200,000 remove 100% from price scores 0.

Where the scoring criterion is worth 50% then the 0-100 score achieved will be multiplied by 50

In the example if a supplier scores 80 from the available 100 points this will equate to 40% by using the following calculation: $\text{Score}/\text{Total Points}$ multiplied by 50 $(80/100 \times 50 = 40)$

The lowest score possible is 0 even if the price submitted is more than 100% greater than the lowest price.

Option 1 – Quality -

Once the evaluation process and due diligence is complete, should the result of the process result in a tied place(s) then the supplier who scored the highest total in the following quality (total criteria) shall be considered the successful supplier and shall be awarded the opportunity.

Quality questionnaire – 80.00%

Total – 80.00%

5.5. Evaluation process

5.5.1 The evaluation process will feature some, if not all, the following phases

Stage	Summary of activity
Receipt and Opening	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RFP logged upon opening in alignment with UK SBS's procurement procedures. • Any RFP Bid received after the closing date will be rejected unless circumstances attributed to the Contracting Authority or the e-sourcing tool beyond the bidder control are responsible for late submission.
Compliance check	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Check all Mandatory requirements are acceptable to the Contracting Authority. • Unacceptable Bids maybe subject to clarification by the Contracting Authority or rejection of the Bid.
Scoring of the Bid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluation team will independently score the Bid and provide a commentary of their scoring justification against the Selection criteria.
Clarifications	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Evaluation team may require written clarification to Bids
Re - scoring of the Bid and Clarifications	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Following Clarification responses, the Evaluation team reserve the right to independently re-score the Bid and Clarifications and provide a commentary of their re-scoring justification against the Selection criteria.
Shortlisting of Bidders	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Contracting Authority will shortlist the Bidders based on the Responses received and the Evaluation Model detailed in Section 5 of the RFP.
Validation of unsuccessful Bidders	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To confirm contents of the letters to provide details of scoring and relative feedback on the unsuccessful Bidders Bid in comparison with the successful Bidders Bid.

Section 6 – Selection and award questionnaires

Section 6 – Selection questionnaire

6.1 Introduction

The Selection questionnaires are located in the within the e-sourcing tool.

Guidance on completion of the questions are is available at
<http://www.ukpbs.co.uk/services/procure/Pages/supplier.aspx>

PLEASE NOTE THE QUESTIONS ARE NOT NUMBERED SEQUENTIALLY

Section 6 – Award questionnaire

6.2 The Award questionnaires are located within the e-sourcing tool.

6.3 Guidance on completion of the questions is available at
<http://www.ukpbs.co.uk/services/procure/Pages/supplier.aspx>

PLEASE NOTE THE QUESTIONS ARE NOT NUMBERED SEQUENTIALLY

Section 7 – General information

7.1. Introduction

- 7.1.1 The Contracting Authority wishes to establish a Contract for the provision of The Evaluation of Innovate UK's Fund Activities. The Contracting Authority is managing this procurement process in accordance with the Public Contracts Regulations 2015 (as may be amended from time to time) (the "Regulations"). This is a services Contract being procured under the OJEU Open Procedure
- 7.1.2 The Contracting Authority is procuring the Contract for add for its exclusive use.
- 7.1.3 UK SBS and the Contracting Authority logo, trademarks and other identifying marks are proprietary and may not be incorporated in the Companies response without or the Contracting Authority's written permission.
- 7.1.4 The Bidder shall indemnify and keep indemnified UK SBS and the Contracting Authority against all actions, claims, demands, proceedings, damages, costs, losses, charges and expenses whatsoever in respect of any breach by the Bidder of this document.
- 7.1.5 If there is any doubt with regard to the ambiguity of any question or content contained in this questionnaire then PLEASE ASK a clarification question, but please ensure that your question is via the formal clarification process in writing to the UK SBS representative nominated. No approach of any kind in connection with this opportunity should be made to any other person within, or associated with UK SBS or the Contracting Authority. All information secured outside of this named contact shall have no legal standing or worth and should not be relied upon.
- 7.1.6 It remains the responsibility of the Bidder to keep UK SBS and the Contracting Authority informed of any matter that may affect continued qualification
- 7.1.7 Prior to commencing formal evaluation, Submitted Responses will be checked to ensure they are fully compliant with the Pass / Fail criteria within the Evaluation model. Non-compliant Submitted Responses may be rejected by the Contracting Authority. Submitted Responses which are deemed by the Contracting Authority to be fully compliant will proceed to evaluation. These will be evaluated using the criteria and scores detailed in the matrix set out in [Section 5](#).
- 7.1.8 Following evaluation of the submitted Responses and approval of the outcome the Contracting Authority intends to select a short list of Bidders to proceed to Award stage of this Procurement.
- 7.1.9 Whilst it is the Contracting Authority's and any relevant Other Public Bodies intention to purchase the majority of its services under this Contract Arrangement from the Supplier(s) appointed this does not confer any exclusivity on the appointed Suppliers. The Contracting Authority and any relevant Other Public Bodies reserve the right to purchase any services and services (including those similar to the services covered by this procurement) from any Supplier outside of this Contract..

- 7.1.10 The Contracting Authority reserves the right not to conclude a Contract as a result of the current procurement process. Bidders should review the contents of Section 7 paragraph 7.8.1 when considering submitting their Response.
- 7.1.11 The services covered by this procurement exercise have NOT been sub-divided into Lots.
- 7.1.12 The Contracting Authority shall utilise the Crown Commercial Service (CCS – previously Government Procurement Service) Emptoris e-sourcing tool url <https://gpsesourcing.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/ssso/jsp/login.jsp> to conduct this procurement. There will be no electronic auction following the conclusion of the evaluation of the Request for Proposal (RFP) responses. Bidders will be specifically advised where attachments are permissible to support a question response within the e-sourcing tool. All enquiries with respect to access to the e-sourcing tool and problems with functionality within the tool may be submitted to Crown Commercial Service, Telephone 0345 010 3503.
- 7.1.13 Please utilise the messaging system within the e-sourcing tool located at <https://gpsesourcing.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/ssso/jsp/login.jsp> within the timescales detailed in Section 3. if you have any doubt as to what is required or will have difficulty in providing the information requested. Bidders should note that any requests for clarifications may not be considered by the Contracting Authority if they are not articulated by the Bidder within the discussion forum within the e-sourcing tool.
- 7.1.14 Bidders should read this document, RFx attachments, messages and the evaluation questionnaires carefully before completing the Response submission. Failure to comply with any of these instructions for completion and submission of the Submitted Response may result in the rejection of the Response. Bidders are advised therefore to acquaint themselves fully with the extent and nature of the goods/services/goods and services and contractual obligations. These instructions constitute the Conditions of Response. Participation in the RFP process automatically signals that the Bidder accepts these Conditions.
- 7.1.15 All material issued in connection with this RFP shall remain the property of the Contracting Authority and/or as applicable relevant OPB and shall be used only for the purpose of this procurement. All Due Diligence Information shall be either returned to the Contracting Authority or securely destroyed by the Bidder (at the Contracting Authority's option) at the conclusion of the procurement
- 7.1.16 The Bidder shall ensure that each and every sub-contractor, consortium member and adviser abides by the terms of these instructions and the Conditions of Response.
- 7.1.17 The Bidder shall not make contact with any other employee, agent or consultant of UK SBS or the Contracting Authority or any relevant OPB or Customer who are in any way connected with this procurement during the period of this procurement, unless instructed otherwise by the Contracting Authority.
- 7.1.18 The Contracting Authority shall not be committed to any course of action as a result of:
- 7.1.18.1 issuing this RFP or any invitation to participate in this procurement;
 - 7.1.18.2 an invitation to submit any Response in respect of this procurement;

- 7.1.18.3 communicating with a Bidder or a Bidder's representatives or agents in respect of this procurement; or
- 7.1.18.4 any other communication between UK SBS, the Contracting Authority and/or any relevant OPB (whether directly or by its agents or representatives) and any other party.
- 7.1.19 Bidders shall accept and acknowledge that by issuing this RFP the Contracting Authority shall not be bound to accept any Response and reserves the right not to conclude a Contract for some or all of the services for which Responses are invited.
- 7.1.20 The Contracting Authority reserves the right to amend, add to or withdraw all or any part of this RFP at any time during the procurement.
- 7.1.21 Bidders should not include in the Response any extraneous information which has not been specifically requested in the RFP including, for example, any sales literature, standard terms of trading etc. Any such information not requested but provided by the Bidder shall not be considered by the Contracting Authority.
- 7.1.22 If the Bidder is a consortium, the following information must be provided: full details of the consortium; and the information sought in this RFP in respect of each of the consortium's constituent members as part of a single composite response. Potential Providers should provide details of the actual or proposed percentage shareholding of the constituent members within the consortium as indicated in the relevant section of the selection questionnaire SEL1.9 specifically refers. If a consortium is not proposing to form a corporate entity, full details of alternative proposed arrangements should be provided as indicated in the relevant section of the RFP. However, please note the Contracting Authority reserves the right to require a successful consortium to form a single legal entity in accordance with regulation 19(6) of the Regulations. The Contracting Authority recognises that arrangements in relation to consortia may (within limits) be subject to future change. Potential Providers should therefore respond in the light of the arrangements as currently envisaged. Potential Providers are reminded that any future proposed change in relation to consortia must be notified to the Contracting Authority so that it can make a further assessment by applying the selection criteria to the new information provided and consider rejection of the Response if the Contracting Authority reasonably consider the change to have a material impact of the delivery of the viability of the Response.

7.2. Bidder conference

- 7.2.1 A Bidders' Conference will not be held in conjunction with this procurement.

7.3. Confidentiality

- 7.3.1 Subject to the exceptions referred to in paragraph 7.3.2, the contents of this RFP are being made available by the Contracting Authority on condition that:
- 7.3.1.1 Bidders shall at all times treat the contents of the RFP and any related documents (together called the 'Information') as confidential, save in so far as they are already in the public domain;
- 7.3.1.2 Bidders shall not disclose, copy, reproduce, distribute or pass any of the Information to any other person at any time or allow any of these things to happen;

- 7.3.1.3 Bidders shall not use any of the Information for any purpose other than for the purposes of submitting (or deciding whether to submit) a Response; and
- 7.3.1.4 Bidders shall not undertake any publicity activity within any section of the media in relation to this procurement
- 7.3.2 Bidders may disclose, distribute or pass any of the Information to the Bidder's advisers, sub-contractors or to another person provided that either:
 - 7.3.2.1 This is done for the sole purpose of enabling a Response to be submitted and the person receiving the Information undertakes in writing to keep the Information confidential on the same terms as if that person were the Bidder; or
 - 7.3.2.2 The disclosure is made for the sole purpose of obtaining legal advice from external lawyers in relation to the procurement or to any Contract arising from it; or
 - 7.3.2.3 The Bidder is legally required to make such a disclosure
- 7.3.3 In paragraphs 7.3.1 and 7.3.2 above the term 'person' includes but is not limited to any person, firm, body or association, corporate or incorporate.
- 7.3.4 UK SBS and the Contracting Authority may disclose detailed information relating to Responses to its employees, agents or advisers and they may make any of the Contract documents available for private inspection by its officers, employees, agents or advisers. UK SBS and the Contracting Authority also reserve the right to disseminate information that is materially relevant to the procurement to all Bidders, even if the information has only been requested by one Bidder, subject to the duty to protect each Bidder's commercial confidentiality in relation to its Response (unless there is a requirement for disclosure as explained in paragraphs 7.4.1 to 7.4.3 below).
- 7.3.5 All Central Government Departments and their Executive Agencies and Non Departmental Public Bodies are subject to control and reporting within Government. In particular, they report to the Cabinet Office and HM Treasury for all expenditure. Further, the Cabinet Office has a cross-Government role delivering overall Government policy on public procurement - including ensuring value for money and related aspects of good procurement practice.

For these purposes, the Contracting Authority may disclose within Government any of the Bidders documentation/information (including any that the Bidder considers to be confidential and/or commercially sensitive such as specific bid information) submitted by the Bidder to the Contracting Authority during this Procurement. Subject to section 7.4 below, the information will not be disclosed outside Government. Bidders taking part in this RFP consent to these terms as part of the competition process.

- 7.3.6 From 2nd April 2014 the Government is introducing its new Government Security Classifications ("GSC") classification scheme to replace the current Government Protective Marking System ("GPMS"). A key aspect of this is the reduction in the number of security classifications used. All Bidders are encouraged to make themselves aware of the changes and identify any potential impacts in their Bid, as the protective marking and applicable protection of any material passed to, or generated by, you during the procurement process or pursuant to any Contract

awarded to you as a result of this tender process will be subject to the new GSC from 2nd April 2014. The link below to the Gov.uk website provides information on the new GSC: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/government-security-classifications>

- 7.3.7 The Contracting Authority reserves the right to amend any security related term or condition of the draft contract accompanying this RFP to reflect any changes introduced by the GSC. In particular where this RFP is accompanied by any instructions on safeguarding classified information (e.g. a Security Aspects Letter) as a result of any changes stemming from the new GSC, whether in respect of the applicable protective marking scheme, specific protective markings given, the aspects to which any protective marking applies or otherwise. This may relate to the instructions on safeguarding classified information (e.g. a Security Aspects Letter) as they apply to the procurement as they apply to the procurement process and/or any contracts awarded to you as a result of the procurement process.

7.4 Freedom of information

- 7.4.1 In accordance with the obligations and duties placed upon public authorities by the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the 'FoIA') and the Environmental Information Regulations 2004 (the 'EIR') (each as amended from time to time), UK SBS and the Contracting Authority may be required to disclose information submitted by the Bidder to the to the Contracting Authority.
- 7.4.2 In respect of any information submitted by a Bidder that it considers to be commercially sensitive the Bidder should complete the Freedom of Information declaration question defined in the Question FOI1.2.
- 7.4.3 Where a Bidder identifies information as commercially sensitive, the Contracting Authority will endeavour to maintain confidentiality. Bidders should note, however, that, even where information is identified as commercially sensitive, the Contracting Authority may be required to disclose such information in accordance with the FoIA or the Environmental Information Regulations. In particular, the Contracting Authority is required to form an independent judgment concerning whether the information is exempt from disclosure under the FoIA or the EIR and whether the public interest favours disclosure or not. Accordingly, the Contracting Authority cannot guarantee that any information marked 'confidential' or "commercially sensitive" will not be disclosed.
- 7.4.4 Where a Bidder receives a request for information under the FoIA or the EIR during the procurement, this should be immediately passed on to the Contracting Authority and the Bidder should not attempt to answer the request without first consulting with the Contracting Authority.
- 7.4.5 Bidders are reminded that the Government's transparency agenda requires that sourcing documents, including RFP templates such as this, are published on a designated, publicly searchable web site, and, that the same applies to other sourcing documents issued by the Contracting Authority, and any contract entered into by the Contracting Authority with its preferred supplier once the procurement is complete. By submitting a response to this RFP Bidders are agreeing that their participation and contents of their Response may be made public.

7.5. Response Validity

- 7.5.1 Your Response should remain open for consideration for a period of 90 days. A Response valid for a shorter period may be rejected.

7.6. Timescales

- 7.6.1 [Section 3](#) of the RFP sets out the proposed procurement timetable. the Contracting Authority reserves the right to extend the dates and will advise potential Bidders of any change to the dates.

7.7. the Contracting Authority's Contact Details

- 7.7.1 Unless stated otherwise in these Instructions or in writing from UK SBS or the Contracting Authority, all communications from Bidders (including their sub-contractors, consortium members, consultants and advisers) during the period of this procurement must be directed through the e-sourcing tool to the designated UK SBS contact.
- 7.7.2 All enquiries with respect to access to the e-sourcing tool may be submitted to Crown Commercial Service, Telephone 0345 010 3503.
- 7.7.3 Bidders should be mindful that the designated Contact should not under any circumstances be sent a copy of their Response outside of the e-sourcing tool. Failure to follow this requirement will result in disqualification of the Response.

7.8. Preparation of a Response

- 7.8.1 Bidders must obtain for themselves at their own responsibility and expense all information necessary for the preparation of Responses. Bidders are solely responsible for all costs, expenses and other liabilities arising in connection with the preparation and submission of their Response and all other stages of the selection and evaluation process. Under no circumstances will UK SBS or the Contracting Authority, or any of their advisers, be liable for any such costs, expenses or liabilities borne by Bidders or their sub-contractors, suppliers or advisers in this process.
- 7.8.2 Bidders are required to complete and provide all information required by the Contracting Authority in accordance with the Conditions of Response and the Request for Proposal. Failure to comply with the Conditions and the Request for Proposal may lead the Contracting Authority to reject a Response.
- 7.8.3 The Contracting Authority relies on Bidders' own analysis and review of information provided. Consequently, Bidders are solely responsible for obtaining the information which they consider is necessary in order to make decisions regarding the content of their Responses and to undertake any investigations they consider necessary in order to verify any information provided to them during the procurement.
- 7.8.4 Bidders must form their own opinions, making such investigations and taking such advice (including professional advice) as is appropriate, regarding their Responses, without reliance upon any opinion or other information provided by the Contracting Authority or their advisers and representatives. Bidders should notify the Contracting Authority promptly of any perceived ambiguity, inconsistency or omission in this RFP, any of its associated documents and/or any other information issued to them during the procurement.

7.8.5 Bidders must ensure that each response to a question is within any specified word count. Any responses with words in excess of the word count will only be considered up to the point where they meet the word count, any additional words beyond the volume defined in the word count will not be considered by the evaluation panel.

7.8.6 Bidders must ensure that each response to a question is not cross referenced to a response to another question. In the event of a Bidder adding a cross reference it will not be considered in evaluation.

7.9. Submission of Responses

7.9.1 The Response must be submitted as instructed in this document through the e-sourcing tool. Failure to follow the instruction within each Section of this document, to omit responses to any of the questions or to present your response in alignment with any guidance notes provided may render the Response non-compliant and it may be rejected.

7.9.2 The Contracting Authority may at its own absolute discretion extend the closing date and the time for receipt of Responses specified [Section 3](#).

7.9.3 Any extension to the RFP response period will apply to all Bidders.

7.9.4 Any financial data provided must be submitted in or converted into pounds sterling. Where official documents include financial data in a foreign currency, a sterling equivalent must be provided. Failure to adhere to this requirement will result in the Response not being considered.

7.9.5 and the Contracting Authority do not accept responsibility for the premature opening or mishandling of Responses that are not submitted in accordance with the instructions of this document.

7.9.6 The Response and any documents accompanying it must be in the English language

7.9.7 Bidders must submit their response through the e-sourcing tool:

7.9.8 Responses will be submitted any time up to the date indicated in [Section 3](#). Responses received before this deadline will be retained in a secure environment, unopened until this deadline has passed.

7.9.9 Responses received after the date indicated in [Section 3](#) shall not be considered by the Contracting Authority unless the Bidder can justify the reason for the delay.

7.9.9.1 The Bidder must demonstrate irrefutable evidence in writing they have made best endeavours to ensure the Response was received on time and that the issue was beyond their control.

7.9.9.2 The Contracting Authority reserves the right to accept or reject any late Response without justification to the affected Bidder and make no guarantee it will consider any request for a late Response to be considered.

7.10. Canvassing

7.10.1 Any Bidder who directly or indirectly canvasses any employee, or agent of UK SBS, the Contracting Authority or its members or any relevant OPB or any of its employees concerning the establishment of the Contract or who directly or indirectly obtains or attempts to obtain information from any such officer, member, employee or agent or concerning any other Bidder, Response or proposed Response will be disqualified.

7.11. Disclaimers

7.11.1 Whilst the information in this RFP, Due Diligence Information and supporting documents has been prepared in good faith, it does not purport to be comprehensive nor has it been independently verified.

7.11.2 Neither UK SBS, the Contracting Authority, nor any relevant OPB's nor their advisors, nor their respective directors, officers, members, partners, employees, other staff or agents:

7.11.2.1 makes any representation or warranty (express or implied) as to the accuracy, reasonableness or completeness of the RFP; or

7.11.2.2 accepts any responsibility for the information contained in the RFP or for their fairness, accuracy or completeness of that information nor shall any of them be liable for any loss or damage (other than in respect of fraudulent misrepresentation) arising as a result of reliance on such information or any subsequent communication.

7.11.3 Any persons considering making a decision to enter into contractual relationships with the Contracting Authority and/or, as applicable, relevant OPB following receipt of the RFP should make their own investigations and their own independent assessment of the Contracting Authority and/or, as applicable, relevant OPB and its requirements for the goods/services/goods and services and should seek their own professional financial and legal advice. For the avoidance of doubt the provision of clarification or further information in relation to the RFP or any other associated documents (including the Schedules) is only authorised to be provided following a query made in accordance with Paragraph 7.15 of this RFP.

7.12. Collusive behaviour

7.12.1 Any Bidder who:

7.12.1.1 fixes or adjusts the amount of its Response by or in accordance with any agreement or arrangement with any other party; or

7.12.1.2 communicates to any party other than UK SBS, the Contracting Authority or, as applicable, relevant OPB the amount or approximate amount of its proposed Response or information which would enable the amount or approximate amount to be calculated (except where such disclosure is made in confidence in order to obtain quotations necessary for the preparation of the Response or insurance or any necessary security); or

7.12.1.3 enters into any agreement or arrangement with any other party that such other party shall refrain from submitting a Response; or

7.12.1.4 enters into any agreement or arrangement with any other party as to the amount of any Response submitted; or

7.12.1.5 offers or agrees to pay or give or does pay or give any sum or sums of money, inducement or valuable consideration directly or indirectly to any party for doing or having done or causing or having caused to be done in relation to any other Response or proposed Response, any act or omission,

shall (without prejudice to any other civil remedies available to the Contracting Authority and without prejudice to any criminal liability which such conduct by a Bidder may attract) be disqualified.

7.13. No inducement or incentive

7.13.1 The RFP is issued on the basis that nothing contained in it shall constitute an inducement or incentive nor shall have in any other way persuaded a Bidder to submit a Response or enter into the Contract or any other contractual agreement.

7.14. Acceptance of the Contract

7.14.1 The Bidder in submitting the Response undertakes that in the event of the Response being accepted by the Contracting Authority and the Contracting Authority confirming in writing such acceptance to the Bidder, the Bidder will within 14 days of being called upon to do so by the Contracting Authority execute the Contract in the form set out in the Contract Terms or in such amended form as may subsequently be agreed.

7.14.2 The Contracting Authority shall be under no obligation to accept the lowest priced or any Response.

7.15. Queries relating to the Response

7.15.1 All requests for clarification about the requirements or the process of this procurement shall be made in through the e-sourcing tool unless where the e-sourcing tool is unavailable due to Emptoris or Crown Commercial Service system maintenance or failure when a clarification by email to the contact defined in [Section 3](#).

7.15.2 The Contracting Authority will endeavour to answer all questions as quickly as possible, but cannot guarantee a minimum response time.

7.15.3 In the event of a Bidder requiring assistance uploading a clarification to the e-sourcing portal they should use the contact details defined in [Section 3](#).

7.15.4 No further requests for clarifications will be accepted after 5 days prior to the date for submission of Responses.

7.15.5 In order to ensure equality of treatment of Bidders, the Contracting Authority intends to publish the questions and clarifications raised by Bidders together with the Contracting Authority's responses (but not the source of the questions) to all participants on a regular basis.

7.15.6 Bidders should indicate if a query is of a commercially sensitive nature – where disclosure of such query and the answer would or would be likely to prejudice its commercial interests. However, if the Contracting Authority at its sole discretion does not either; consider the query to be of a commercially confidential nature or one which all Bidders would potentially benefit from seeing both the query and the Contracting Authority's response, the Contracting Authority will:

7.15.6.1 invite the Bidder submitting the query to either declassify the query and allow the query along with the Contracting Authority's response to be circulated to all Bidders; or

7.15.6.2 request the Bidder, if it still considers the query to be of a commercially confidential nature, to withdraw the query prior to the end of the closing date and time for Bidder clarifications.

7.15.7 The Contracting Authority reserves the right not to respond to a request for clarification or to circulate such a request where it considers that the answer to that request would or would be likely to prejudice its commercial interests.

7.16. Amendments to Response Documents

7.16.1 At any time prior to the deadline for the receipt of Responses, the Contracting Authority may modify the RFP by amendment. Any such amendment will be numbered and dated and issued by the Contracting Authority to all prospective Bidders. In order to give prospective Bidders reasonable time in which to take the amendment into account in preparing their Responses, the Contracting Authority may, at its discretion, extend the time and/or date for receipt of Responses.

7.17. Modification and withdrawal

7.17.1 Bidders may modify their Response where allowable within the e-sourcing tool. No Response may be modified after the deadline for submission of Responses.

7.17.2 Bidders may withdraw their Response at any time prior the deadline for submission of Responses [or any other time prior to accepting the offer of a Contract]. The notice to withdraw the Response must be in writing and sent to the Contracting Authority by recorded delivery or equivalent service and delivered to UK SBS at UK Shared Business Services Ltd, Procurement Policy Manager, Polaris House, North Star Avenue, Swindon, Wiltshire, SN2 1ET

7.18. Right to disqualify or reject

7.18.1 The Contracting Authority reserves the right to reject or disqualify a Bidder where

7.18.1.1 the Bidder fails to comply fully with the requirements of this Request for Proposal or presents the response in a format contrary to the requirements of this document; and/or

7.18.1.2 the Bidder is guilty of serious misrepresentation in relation to its Response; expression of interest; or the Response process; and/or

7.18.1.3 there is a change in identity, control, financial standing or other factor impacting on the selection and/or evaluation process affecting the Bidder.

7.19. Right to cancel, clarify or vary the process

7.19.1 The Contracting Authority reserves the right to:

7.19.1.1 cancel the evaluation process at any stage; and/or

7.19.1.2 require the Bidder to clarify its Response in writing and/or provide additional information. (Failure to respond adequately may result in the Bidder not being selected),

7.20.. Notification of award

7.20.1 The Contracting Authority will notify the successful Bidder of the Contract award in writing and will publish an Award Notice in the Official Journal of the European Union in accordance with the Regulations within 30 days of the award of the contract.

7.20.2 As required by the Regulations all unsuccessful Bidders will be provided with an

email advising the outcome of the submission of their RFP response.

Appendix 'A' Glossary of Terms

TERM	MEANING
"UK SBS"	means UK Shared Business Services Ltd herein after referred to as UK SBS.
"Bid", "Response", "Submitted Bid ", or "RFP Response"	means the Bidders formal offer in response to this Request for Proposal
"Bidders"	means the organisations being invited to respond to this Request for Proposal
"Central Purchasing Body"	means a duly constituted public sector organisation which procures goods/services for and on behalf of contracting authorities
"Conditions of Bid"	means the terms and conditions set out in this RFP relating to the submission of a Bid
"Contract"	means the agreement to be entered by the Contracting Authority and the Supplier following any award under the procurement
"Contracting Bodies"	means the Contracting Authority and any other contracting authorities described in the OJEU Contract Notice
"Contracting Authority"	A public body regulated under the Public Contracts Regulations on whose behalf the procurement is being run
"Customer"	means the legal entity (or entities) for which any Contract agreed will be made accessible to.
"Due Diligence Information"	means the background and supporting documents and information provided by the Contracting Authority for the purpose of better informing the Bidders responses to this Request for Proposal
"EIR"	mean the Environmental Information Regulations 2004 together with any guidance and/or codes of practice issued by the Information Commissioner or relevant Government department in relation to such regulations
FoIA	means the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and any subordinate legislation made under such Act from time to time together with any guidance and/or codes of practice issued by the Information Commissioner or relevant Government department in relation to such legislation
"Lot"	means a discrete sub-division of the requirements
"Mandatory"	Means a pass / fail criteria which must be met in order for a Bid to be considered, unless otherwise specified.
"OJEU Contract Notice"	means the advertisement issued in the Official Journal of the European Union
"Order"	means an order for served by any Contracting Body on the Supplier
"Other Public Bodies"	means all Contracting Bodies except the Contracting Authority
"Request for Proposal" or "RFP"	means this Request for Proposal documentation and all related documents published by the Contracting Authority and made available to Bidders and includes the Due Diligence Information. NOTE: This document is often referred to as an Invitation to Tender within other organisations
"Supplier"	means the organisation awarded the Contract
"Standard Goods /Services"	means any goods/services set out at within Section 4 Specification