# Invitation to Quote

Invitation to Quote (ITQ) on behalf of the Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC)

Subject AMICI Survey

Sourcing reference number CR18026



UK Shared Business Services Ltd (UK SBS) www.uksbs.co.uk

Registered in England and Wales as a limited company. Company Number 6330639. Registered Office Polaris House, North Star Avenue, Swindon, Wiltshire SN2 1FF VAT registration GB618 3673 25 Copyright (c) UK Shared Business Services Ltd. 2014

### Table of Contents

Section	Content
1	About UK Shared Business Services Ltd.
2	About the Contracting Authority
3	Working with the Contracting Authority.
4	Specification
5	Evaluation model
6	Evaluation questionnaire
7	General Information

Appendix

# Section 1 – About UK Shared Business Services

Putting the business into shared services

UK Shared Business Services Ltd (UK SBS) brings a commercial attitude to the public sector; helping Contracting Authorities improve efficiency, generate savings and modernise.

It is our vision to become the leading service provider for Contracting Authorities for of shared business services in the UK public sector, continuously reducing cost and improving quality of business services for Government and the public sector.

Our broad range of expert services is shared by our Contracting Authorities. This allows Contracting Authorities the freedom to focus resources on core activities; innovating and transforming their own organisations.

Core services include Procurement, Finance, Grants Admissions, Human Resources, Payroll, ISS, and Property Asset Management all underpinned by our Service Delivery and Contact Centre teams.

UK SBS is a people rather than task focused business. It's what makes us different to the traditional transactional shared services centre. What is more, being a not-for-profit organisation owned by the Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy (BEIS), UK SBS' goals are aligned with the public sector and delivering best value for the UK taxpayer.

UK Shared Business Services Ltd changed its name from RCUK Shared Services Centre Ltd in March 2013.

#### **Our Customers**

Growing from a foundation of supporting the Research Councils, 2012/13 saw Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS) transition their procurement to UK SBS and Crown Commercial Services (CCS – previously Government Procurement Service) agree a Memorandum of Understanding with UK SBS to deliver two major procurement categories (construction and research) across Government.

UK SBS currently manages £700m expenditure for its Contracting Authorities.

Our Contracting Authorities who have access to our services and Contracts are detailed here.

# Section 2 – About the Contracting Authority

Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC)

STFC is a world-leading multi-disciplinary science organisation, whose goal is to deliver economic, societal, scientific and international benefits to the UK and its people – and more broadly to the world.

STFC support an academic community of around 1,700 in particle physics, nuclear physics, and astronomy including space science, who work at more than 50 universities and research institutes in the UK, Europe, Japan and the United States, including a rolling cohort of more than 900 PhD students.

The organisation's large-scale scientific facilities in the UK and Europe are used by more than 3,500 users each year, carrying out more than 2,000 experiments and generating around 900 publications.

The combination of access to world-class research facilities and scientists, office and laboratory space, business support, and an environment which encourages innovation has proven a compelling combination, attracting start-ups, SMEs and large blue chips such as IBM and Unilever.

#### Examples of funded research

- STFC is providing the design infrastructure for the £23bn UK microelectronics sector that underpins strategically important industries worth £78bn to the UK economy
- STFC's ISIS facility and its users, working in partnership with the NHS, developed a novel material to improve the treatment of cleft lip and palate, speeding up healing times and reducing operating costs
- STFC's Synchrotron Radiation Source was used to understand how conventional anti-malarial drugs work, allowing the development of more effective treatment to reduce the devastating global impact of malaria
- STFC's ISIS facility is identifying new materials that can safely and conveniently store hydrogen, enabling the development of hydrogen-fuelled cars reducing reliance on fossil fuels and cutting carbon emissions

www.stfc.ac.uk

# Section 3 - Working with the Contracting Authority.

In this section you will find details of your Procurement contact point and the timescales relating to this opportunity.

Sectio	Section 3 – Contact details			
3.1	Contracting Authority Name and address	Science and Technology Facilities Council STFC Daresbury Laboratory, Sci-Tech Daresbury, Daresbury, Warrington, Cheshire, WA4 4AD		
3.2	Buyer name	Jenny Stratton		
3.3	Buyer contact details	Research@uksbs.co.uk		
3.4	Maximum value of the Opportunity	£35,200.00 excluding VAT		
3.5	Process for the submission of clarifications and Bids	All correspondence shall be submitted within the Emptoris e-sourcing tool. Guidance Notes to support the use of Emptoris is available <u>here</u> . Please note submission of a Bid to any email address including the Buyer <u>will</u> result in the Bid <u>not</u> being considered.		

Section 3 - Timescales				
3.6	Date of Issue of Contract Advert and location of original Advert	Thursday 8 <sup>th</sup> February 2018 Location: Contracts Finder		
3.7	Latest date/time ITQ clarification questions shall be received through Emptoris messaging system	Thursday 22 <sup>nd</sup> February 2018 11.00		
3.8	Latest date/time ITQ clarification answers should be sent to all Bidders by the Buyer through Emptoris	Monday 26 <sup>th</sup> February 2018		
3.9	Latest date/time ITQ Bid shall be submitted through Emptoris	Thursday 8 <sup>th</sup> March 2018 11.00		
3.10	Anticipated selection and the selections of Bids notification date	Tuesday 27 <sup>th</sup> March 2018		
3.11	Anticipated Award date	Tuesday 27 <sup>th</sup> March 2018		
3.12	Anticipated Contract Start date	Wednesday 4 <sup>th</sup> April 2018		
3.13	Anticipated Contract End date	Friday 28 <sup>th</sup> September 2018		
3.14	Bid Validity Period	60 Days		

# **Section 4 – Specification**

#### 1. Introduction

The Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC) is a UK Research Council. The primary role of the organisation is to design, build and operate research facilities (e.g. synchrotron X-ray sources, neutron spallation facilities, high-power lasers) to support the development of scientific, economic and societal impact by the UK academic and industrial base. STFC also acts as a grant funding body for particle physics in the UK and oversees UK subscriptions to international scientific collaborations such as CERN and ESO.

#### 2. Aims

- The aim of the tender is to select a contractor to undertake a market study exercise, on behalf of STFC, which will provide input into one STFC-led task as part of the EU Horizon 2020-funded AMICI (Accelerators and Magnet Infrastructure for Collaboration and Innovation) project.
- Central to this activity will be a survey exercise to be conducted by the selected contractor. This will assess the technical capabilities of an agreed set of European commercial organisations that operate in defined particle accelerator technology areas, looking at their equipment, skills and capacity to deliver, as well as their appetite for commercial innovation based on past history and current attitude to risk.
- In addition the survey will provide insight into domains of societal and commercial applications, including potential market sizes, beyond large-scale national research laboratories.
- A report identifying specific domains of societal applications and European commercial organisations that have the current capability, and future potential, to innovate and develop solutions in the fields of particle accelerator technologies will be delivered at the end of the contract.
- The impact of the market study on the overall AMICI project delivery will be underpinned by three key drivers: the breadth and suitability of the commercial contacts (both in terms of the company's relevance and the suitability of personnel contacts); the ability of the contractor to extract relevant information using the most suitable data collection methods; the quality of the analysis, built on a comprehensive knowledge of the particle accelerator market sector and the operation of national laboratories.

#### 3. Objectives

A report identifying specific domains of societal applications and European commercial organisations that have the current capability, and future potential, to innovate and develop solutions in the fields of mature particle accelerator technologies will be delivered at the end of the contract to STFC.

To enable this goal a broad range of European commercial organisations should be surveyed, including both large companies and SMEs, to establish their current capability, and future potential, to innovate and develop technology solutions in the field of mature particle accelerator technologies. The survey should assess the technical capabilities of each participating organisation in the identified particle accelerator technology areas, looking at their equipment, skills and capacity to deliver, as well as their appetite for commercial innovation based on past history and current attitude to risk. In addition the survey should provide insight into the domains of societal applications and potential market sizes beyond national research laboratories. A review of previous research programmes and surveys in this area should be performed and used to inform this survey and its findings, and to avoid any unnecessary duplication. These should include, but will not be limited to, TIARA, EUCARD2 Applications for Particle Accelerators in Europe, IAEA Applications of Electron Beams and DoE Accelerators for America's Future.

#### 4. Background to the Requirement

STFC is contributing to the EU Horizon 2020-funded AMICI project (Accelerators and Magnet Infrastructure for Collaboration and Innovation). In short, the project is looking at how the infrastructure investments that have been made to support particle accelerator development throughout Europe can be more effectively coordinated, scheduled and marketed to deliver better solutions for both the research institutes ("how can we build the next large-scale particle accelerators more effectively with industry's assistance?") and industrial R&D needs "(how can we use the laboratories infrastructure to help businesses deliver increased impact?"). This project is sub-divided into a series of work packages (WPs) and STFC is responsible for the delivery of the Innovation work package (WP4), looking at the potential benefits for industry and identifying any barriers to industrial engagement. The AMICI project began in January 2017, will run for 30 months, and has 10 beneficiaries across Europe.

As well as leadership of WP4, STFC is responsible for the delivery of Task 4.1: Industry Survey – Accelerator Technologies. The survey will assess the technical capabilities of each participating organisation in the identified particle accelerator technology areas, looking at their equipment, skills and capacity to deliver, as well as their appetite for commercial innovation based on past history and current attitude to risk. In addition the survey will provide insight into the domains of societal applications and potential market sizes beyond national research laboratories.

STFC are contributing 16 staff months of effort to the project over its duration.

#### 5. Scope

#### In Scope:

- Devise a suitable survey strategy to deliver the best quantification of the suppliers and enact that strategy. This will involve determination of best contacts, and adding additional contacts from their own experience areas.
- Devise optimum contact methods.
- Devise necessary questionnaires, web surveys and phone scripts as required.
- Contact companies to collect data.
- Collate data into a searchable format for use by AMICI partners (e.g. Excel).
- Analyse data to extract maximum understanding of market needs and abilities.
- Creation of a report for use by AMICI partners. The contents must be able to be published within AMICI reports which are openly accessible via a website.

#### Out of Scope:

- Extensive travel to conduct face-to-face interviews due to budgetary constraints (limited meetings may prove effective where these can be justified).
- Authoring of final report (deliverable) to H2020 AMICI for WP4.1. This will be written by the AMICI WP4.1 team, incorporating data and analysis contained in the contractors report.
- Additional work will be undertaken by the AMICI partners to apply the analysis and findings contained in the Contractor Report to their home institution and existing supply chain.

#### 6. Requirement

#### Status:

The selected contractor will be contracted directly to STFC.

#### Deliverable:

Report on particle accelerator market survey in an electronic format that can be viewed and edited by STFC using standard Microsoft Office programmes. Findings and data will be used by STFC to complete Task 4.1 of the AMICI programme, the results of which will be published for general access on-line. Any material indicated as commercially sensitive will not be included in any AMICI reporting.

#### Expectation of selected contractor:

- Wide-ranging, detailed knowledge and expertise of commercial partners working in the particle accelerator and related high-technology scientific areas.
- Pre-existing contacts and connections within the relevant market sectors for UK and Europe.
- Extensive expertise of performing market studies, survey data collection and analysis in this market sector.
- Well-resourced to deliver high-quality output within the required timeframe.
- The contractor will be encouraged to use their expertise to optimise / add value to the process.

#### The contractor will be responsible for:

- Determining the most effective strategy/strategies for efficient and valuable data collection (in conjunction with STFC).
- Determining the list of companies to be contacted
- Contacting companies and collecting data
- Analysing the data
- Reporting initial findings to STFC
- Completing analysis following feedback from STFC

 Delivering a report containing an executive summary, overview of the collection strategy, contact profiles, data received (in a searchable format e.g. Excel), analysis of trends and recommendations.

#### STFC will be responsible for:

- Management of the contract
- Organising regular review meetings by the most suitable method
- Approval of the contact list
- Approval of the contact strategy
- Approval of the deliverable report
- Any interfacing or exchange of data with other AMICI partners

#### Contract management:

The selected contractor will be contracted directly to STFC. The project will require a close partnership between STFC and the contractor in order to deliver an effective final report. This will entail a kick off meeting to solidify and agree the requirement and delivery, plus regular review meetings to assess progress against the agreed milestones, and determine whether any modifications are required to the agreed work plan. The contractor will be responsible for attaining the responses from the survey contacts and handling the data, analysis of the data, and compiling the outcomes into a report. STFC will sign-off on delivery of the final report and will receive all associated raw data.

In the spirt of partnership, STFC will strongly encourage valued contribution and enhancement that the contractor can bring to the process.

#### Tasks:

- Review contractor existing contacts and market knowledge
- Review existing reports of societal applications by research bodies including: TIARA; EUCARD2-APAE; Accelerators for America's Future – US DoE.
- Determining the most effective strategy/strategies for efficient and beneficial data collection
- Determining the list of companies to be contacted
- Contact companies and collect data including: technical capabilities in identified technology areas - equipment/skills/capacity/network; appetite for commercial innovation -past history/attitude to risk; ideas regarding potential market opportunities for societal applications
- Collate and analyse the data to identify opportunities for the National Laboratories: suggested targeted offerings; technology development routes, applications which benefit the supply chain, potential market sizes.
- Report initial findings to STFC
- Complete analysis following feedback from STFC
- Deliver a report containing an executive summary, overview of the collection strategy, contact profiles, data received, analysis of trends and recommendations.

#### 7. Timetable

Delivery of the market survey is essential to the completion of Task 4.1 of the broader AMICI programme.

#### Milestones

- Kick off meeting to confirm understanding of aims, objectives and deliverables (Month1)
- Confirm agreed strategy for contact (Month 1)
- Confirm list of agreed companies to contact (Month 2)
- Confirm agreed material: phone scripts, web survey, company visits etc. (Month 2)
- Complete data collection phase (Month 4)
- Present initial findings (Month 4)
- Submit final report (Month 5)
- STFC acceptance of final report (Month 6)

#### Deliverable

Report on particle accelerator market survey - accepted (Month 6)

#### **Terms and Conditions**

Bidders are to note that any requested modifications to the Contracting Authority Terms and Conditions on the grounds of statutory and legal matters only, shall be raised as a formal clarification during the permitted clarification period.

# Section 5 – Evaluation model

The evaluation model below shall be used for this ITQ, which will be determined to two decimal places.

Where a question is 'for information only' it will not be scored.

The evaluation team may comprise staff from UK SBS, and the Contracting Authority -----and any specific external stakeholders the Contracting Authority deems required. After evaluation the scores will be finalised by performing a calculation to identify (at question level) the mean average of all evaluators (Example – a question is scored by three evaluators and judged as scoring 5, 5 and 6. These scores will be added together and divided by the number of evaluators to produce the final score of 5.33 (5+5+6 =16÷3 = 5.33)

Pass / fail criteria				
Questionnaire	Q No.	Question subject		
Commercial	SEL1.2	Employment breaches/ Equality		
Commercial	SEL3.11	Compliance to Section 54 of the Modern Slavery Act		
Commercial	FOI1.1	Freedom of Information Exemptions		
Commercial	AW1.1	Form of Bid		
Commercial	AW1.3	Certificate of Bona Fide Bid		
Commercial	AW3.1	Validation check		
Commercial	AW4.1	Contract Terms – Part 1		
Commercial	AW4.2	Contract Terms – Part 2		
Price	AW5.5	E Invoicing		
Price	AW5.6	Implementation of E-Invoicing		
Price	AW5.1	Maximum Budget		
Quality	AW6.1	Compliance to the Specification		
-	-	Invitation to Quote – received on time within e-sourcing tool		

#### Scoring criteria

#### **Evaluation Justification Statement**

In consideration of this particular requirement the Contracting Authority has decided to evaluate Potential Providers by adopting the weightings/scoring mechanism detailed within this ITQ. The Contracting Authority considers these weightings to be in line with existing best practice for a requirement of this type.

Questionnaire	Q No.	Question subject	Maximum Marks
Price	AW5.2	Price	20%
Quality	PROJ1.1	Understanding	15%
Quality	PROJ1.3	Risk Management	15%
Quality	PROJ1.4	Methodology	25%
Quality	PROJ1.5	Project Team and Capability	25%
		to Deliver	

#### **Non-Price elements**

Each question will be judged on a score from 0 to 100, which shall be subjected to a multiplier to reflect the percentage of the evaluation criteria allocated to that question.

Where an evaluation criterion is worth 20% then the 0-100 score achieved will be multiplied by 20%.

Example if a Bidder scores 60 from the available 100 points this will equate to 12% by using the following calculation:

Score = {weighting percentage} x {bidder's score} = 20% x 60 = 12

The same logic will be applied to groups of questions which equate to a single evaluation criterion.

The 0-100 score shall be based on (unless otherwise stated within the question):

-	
0	The Question is not answered or the response is completely unacceptable.
10	Extremely poor response – they have completely missed the point of the
	question
20	Very poor response and not wholly acceptable. Requires major revision to the
	response to make it acceptable. Only partially answers the requirement, with
	major deficiencies and little relevant detail proposed.
40	Poor response only partially satisfying the selection question requirements with
	deficiencies apparent. Some useful evidence provided but response falls well
	short of expectations. Low probability of being a capable supplier.
60	Response is acceptable but remains basic and could have been expanded upon.
	Response is sufficient but does not inspire.
80	Good response which describes their capabilities in detail which provides high
	levels of assurance consistent with a quality provider. The response includes a
	full description of techniques and measurements currently employed.
100	Response is exceptional and clearly demonstrates they are capable of meeting
	the requirement. No significant weaknesses noted. The response is compelling
	in its description of techniques and measurements currently employed, providing
	full assurance consistent with a quality provider.
L	

All questions will be scored based on the above mechanism. Please be aware that the final score returned may be different as there may be multiple evaluators and their individual scores will be averaged (mean) to determine your final score.

#### Example

Evaluator 1 scored your bid as 60 Evaluator 2 scored your bid as 60 Evaluator 3 scored your bid as 40 Evaluator 4 scored your bid as 40

Your final score will  $(60+60+40+40) \div 4 = 50$ 

Price elements will be judged on the following criteria.

The lowest price for a response which meets the pass criteria shall score 100. All other bids shall be scored on a pro rata basis in relation to the lowest price. The score is then subject to a multiplier to reflect the percentage value of the price criterion. For example - Bid 1 £100,000 scores 100. Bid 2 £120,000 differential of £20,000 or 20% remove 20% from price scores 80 Bid 3 £150,000 differential £50,000 remove 50% from price scores 50. Bid 4 £175,000 differential £75,000 remove 75% from price scores 25. Bid 5 £200,000 differential £100,000 remove 100% from price scores 0. Bid 6 £300,000 differential £200,000 remove 100% from price scores 0. Where the scoring criterion is worth 50% then the 0-100 score achieved will be multiplied by 50.

In the example if a supplier scores 80 from the available 100 points this will equate to 40% by using the following calculation: Score/Total Points multiplied by 50 ( $80/100 \times 50 = 40$ )

The lowest score possible is 0 even if the price submitted is more than 100% greater than the lowest price.

# Section 6 – Evaluation questionnaire

Bidders should note that the evaluation questionnaire is located within the **e-sourcing questionnaire**.

Guidance on completion of the questionnaire is available at <a href="http://www.uksbs.co.uk/services/procure/Pages/supplier.aspx">http://www.uksbs.co.uk/services/procure/Pages/supplier.aspx</a>

PLEASE NOTE THE QUESTIONS ARE NOT NUMBERED SEQUENTIALLY

## Section 7 – General Information

#### What makes a good bid – some simple do's 🙂

#### DO:

- 7.1 Do comply with Procurement document instructions. Failure to do so may lead to disqualification.
- 7.2 Do provide the Bid on time, and in the required format. Remember that the date/time given for a response is the last date that it can be accepted; we are legally bound to disqualify late submissions. Unless formally requested to do so by UK SBS e.g. Emptoris system failure
- 7.3 Do ensure you have read all the training materials to utilise e-sourcing tool prior to responding to this Bid. If you send your Bid by email or post it will be rejected.
- 7.4 Do use Microsoft Word, PowerPoint Excel 97-03 or compatible formats, or PDF unless agreed in writing by the Buyer. If you use another file format without our written permission we may reject your Bid.
- 7.5 Do ensure you utilise the Emptoris messaging system to raise any clarifications to our ITQ. You should note that we will release the answer to the question to all Bidders and where we suspect the question contains confidential information we may modify the content of the question to protect the anonymity of the Bidder or their proposed solution
- 7.6 Do answer the question, it is not enough simply to cross-reference to a 'policy', web page or another part of your Bid, the evaluation team have limited time to assess bids and if they can't find the answer, they can't score it.

7.7 Do consider who the Contracting Authority is and what they want – a generic answer does not necessarily meet every Contracting Authority's needs.

7.8 Do reference your documents correctly, specifically where supporting documentation is requested e.g. referencing the question/s they apply to.

7.9 Do provide clear, concise and ideally generic contact details; telephone numbers, emails and fax details.

- 7.10 Do complete all questions in the questionnaire or we may reject your Bid.
- 7.11 Do check and recheck your Bid before dispatch.

#### What makes a good bid – some simple do not's 🛞

#### DO NOT

- 7.12 Do not cut and paste from a previous document and forget to change the previous details such as the previous buyer's name.
- 7.13 Do not attach 'glossy' brochures that have not been requested, they will not be read unless we have asked for them. Only send what has been requested and only send supplementary information if we have offered the opportunity so to do.
- 7.14 Do not share the Procurement documents, they are confidential and should not be shared with anyone without the Buyers written permission.
- 7.15 Do not seek to influence the procurement process by requesting meetings or contacting UK SBS or the Contracting Authority to discuss your Bid. If your Bid requires clarification the Buyer will contact you. All information secured outside of formal Buyer communications shall have no Legal standing or worth and should not be relied upon.
- 7.16 Do not contact any UK SBS staff or the Contracting Authority staff without the Buyers written permission or we may reject your Bid.
- 7.17 Do not collude to fix or adjust the price or withdraw your Bid with another Party as we will reject your Bid.
- 7.18 Do not offer UK SBS or the Contracting Authority staff any inducement or we will reject your Bid.
- 7.19 Do not seek changes to the Bid after responses have been submitted and the deadline for Bids to be submitted has passed.
- 7.20 Do not cross reference answers to external websites or other parts of your Bid, the cross references and website links will not be considered.
- 7.21 Do not exceed word counts, the additional words will not be considered.
- 7.22 Do not make your Bid conditional on acceptance of your own Terms of Contract, as your Bid will be rejected.

#### Some additional guidance notes 🗹

- 7.23 All enquiries with respect to access to the e-sourcing tool and problems with functionality within the tool must be submitted to Crown Commercial Service (previously Government Procurement Service), Telephone 0345 010 3503.
- 7.24 Bidders will be specifically advised where attachments are permissible to support a question response within the e-sourcing tool. Where they are not permissible any attachments submitted will not be considered as part of the evaluation process.
- 7.25 Question numbering is not sequential and all questions which require submission are included in the Section 6 Evaluation Questionnaire.
- 7.26 Any Contract offered may not guarantee any volume of work or any exclusivity of supply.
- 7.27 We do not guarantee to award any Contract as a result of this procurement
- 7.28 All documents issued or received in relation to this procurement shall be the property of the Contracting Authority. / UKSBS.
- 7.29 We can amend any part of the procurement documents at any time prior to the latest date / time Bids shall be submitted through Emptoris.
- 7.30 If you are a Consortium you must provide details of the Consortiums structure.
- 7.31 Bidders will be expected to comply with the Freedom of Information Act 2000 or your Bid will be rejected.
- 7.32 Bidders should note the Government's transparency agenda requires your Bid and any Contract entered into to be published on a designated, publicly searchable web site. By submitting a response to this ITQ Bidders are agreeing that their Bid and Contract may be made public
- 7.33 Your bid will be valid for 60 days or your Bid will be rejected.
- 7.34 Bidders may only amend the contract terms during the clarification period only, only if you can demonstrate there is a legal or statutory reason why you cannot accept them. If you request changes to the Contract terms without such grounds and the Contracting Authority fail to accept your legal or statutory reason is reasonably justified we may reject your Bid.
- 7.35 We will let you know the outcome of your Bid evaluation and where requested will provide a written debrief of the relative strengths and weaknesses of your Bid.
- 7.36 If you fail mandatory pass / fail criteria we will reject your Bid.
- 7.37 Bidders are required to use IE8, IE9, Chrome or Firefox in order to access the functionality of the Emptoris e-sourcing tool.
- 7.38 Bidders should note that if they are successful with their proposal the Contracting Authority reserves the right to ask additional compliancy checks prior to the award of

any Contract. In the event of a Bidder failing to meet one of the compliancy checks the Contracting Authority may decline to proceed with the award of the Contract to the successful Bidder.

- 7.39 All timescales are set using a 24 hour clock and are based on British Summer Time or Greenwich Mean Time, depending on which applies at the point when Date and Time Bids shall be submitted through Emptoris.
- 7.40 All Central Government Departments and their Executive Agencies and Non Departmental Public Bodies are subject to control and reporting within Government. In particular, they report to the Cabinet Office and HM Treasury for all expenditure. Further, the Cabinet Office has a cross-Government role delivering overall Government policy on public procurement - including ensuring value for money and related aspects of good procurement practice.

For these purposes, the Contracting Authority may disclose within Government any of the Bidders documentation/information (including any that the Bidder considers to be confidential and/or commercially sensitive such as specific bid information) submitted by the Bidder to the Contracting Authority during this Procurement. The information will not be disclosed outside Government. Bidders taking part in this ITQ consent to these terms as part of the competition process.

7.41 The Government is introducing its new Government Security Classifications (GSC) classification scheme on the 2<sup>nd</sup> April 2014 to replace the current Government Protective Marking System (GPMS). A key aspect of this is the reduction in the number of security classifications used. All Bidders are encouraged to make themselves aware of the changes and identify any potential impacts in their Bid, as the protective marking and applicable protection of any material passed to, or generated by, you during the procurement process or pursuant to any Contract awarded to you as a result of this tender process will be subject to the new GSC. The link below to the Gov.uk website provides information on the new GSC:

https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/government-security-classifications

The Contracting Authority reserves the right to amend any security related term or condition of the draft contract accompanying this ITQ to reflect any changes introduced by the GSC. In particular where this ITQ is accompanied by any instructions on safeguarding classified information (e.g. a Security Aspects Letter) as a result of any changes stemming from the new GSC, whether in respect of the applicable protective marking scheme, specific protective markings given, the aspects to which any protective marking applies or otherwise. This may relate to the instructions on safeguarding classified information (e.g. a Security Aspects Letter) as they apply to the procurement as they apply to the procurement process and/or any contracts awarded to you as a result of the procurement process.

#### **USEFUL INFORMATION LINKS**

- Emptoris Training Guide
- Emptoris e-sourcing tool
- <u>Contracts Finder</u>
- Equalities Act introduction
- Bribery Act introduction
- Freedom of information Act