**DNO/228 – MoE Training Rig**

**Annex B to the DEFFORM 47**

**MoE Training Rig – Tender Evaluation Criteria**

**1. Subject Matter Expertise and Previous Experience**

Maximum 2 Pages of A4 including diagrams and images.

Weighting: 15%

Provide evidence as to your experience of producing Method of Entry Training Rigs or similar type/s of activity as detailed in the requirement.

Answers must provide example/s of delivery of MoE training rigs from the last five years.

Answers must demonstrate evidence of knowledge and understanding of the College of Policing - National Police Firearms Training Curriculum (NPFTC) and the criteria required for both Level 1 and Level 2 Firearms Method of Entry.

Include specific and quantifiable examples, where appropriate, to demonstrate the success of any past work in this area.

**2. Design and Build of the Rig**

Maximum 2 pages of A4 including diagrams and images.

Weighting: 10%

Provide evidence as to how you intend to design and build the training rig. Describe your company’s staged approach to the Design & Build of the Rig in consultation with the MDP.

Please attach a Project Schedule showing the Critical Path Lead-Time from Contract Award to MoE installed at Aldermaston & operational.

Explain clearly whether the design can be modified further in the future to accommodate updates to the NPFTC Curriculum.

State clearly whether the rig can be relocated should the earmarked site become unusable in the future.

Explain clearly how you propose to install the rig onsite.

Clearly include details of the Lifetime Guarantee that will be provided.

**3. Annual Servicing, Minor Repairs and Ad Hoc call-out repairs**

Maximum 2 Pages of A4 including diagrams and images.

Weighting: 25%

Provide details of a service plan that will be included within this contract in order for the rig to be maintained and include details of your average call out time for repairs.

Provide details on how you will provide basic training to MDP staff so that they may be certified to carry out minor repairs on the rig themselves, and a list of repairs that they will be allowed to do.

**4. Risk Mitigation**

Maximum 1 A4 Page including diagrams and images

Weighting: 15%

Detail any risks or issues you envisage when trying to achieve the Requirement and detail how do you plan to overcome them.

**5. Delivery Team**

Maximum 1 A4 Page including diagrams and images.

Weighting: 15%

Provision of bio/CV for all staff who will be involved in the installation of the training rig onsite at AWE Aldermaston. This should include Nationality and evidence of experience in building these rigs.

**6. Continuous Improvement**

Maximum 1 A4 Page including diagrams and images. Weighting: 10%

Demonstrate that your company adopts a Continuous Improvement philosophy. And what, in respect of Design, Delivery & Service, sets you apart from your competitors.

**7. Social Value**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Theme** | | **Policy Outcome** | | **Weighting** | | **10%** |
| **3** | **Fighting Climate Change** | **Effective Stewardship of the environment** | | **MAC** | **4.2** | Influence staff, suppliers, customers, and communities through the delivery of the contract to support environmental protection and improvement. |
| **2.3** | **Model Evaluation Question (MEQ)** | Using a maximum of 500 words describe the commitment your organisation will make to ensure that opportunities under the contract deliver the Policy Outcome and Model Award Criteria (MAC).  You should provide:   1. A Method Statement to clearly highlight how the Tenderer will be looking to achieve this Social Value requirement and how their commitment meets the Social Value MAC. 2. A timed project plan and process including how you will implement your commitment and by when. It should also include how you will monitor, measure, and report on your commitments/ the impact of your proposals. You should include, but not be limited to: 3. timed action plan 4. use of metrics 5. tools/processes used to gather data 6. reporting 7. feedback and improvement 8. transparency 9. How you will influence your: staff, customers, and communities throughout the delivery of the contract to support the Policy Outcome, e.g., engagement, training, and education, partnering/collaborating, volunteering. | | |
| **Sub-Criteria for MAC 4.2** | Influence environmental protection and improvement | | |
| **Model Response Guidance** | Activities that demonstrate and describe the tenderer’s existing or planned:  ● Understanding of how to influence staff, suppliers, customers, communities and/or any other appropriate stakeholders through the delivery of the contract to support environmental protection and improvement.  ● Activities to reconnect people with the environment and increase awareness of ways to protect and enhance it. | | |
| **Illustrative Examples** | ● Engagement to raise awareness of the benefits of the environmental opportunities identified.  ● Co-design/creation. Working collaboratively to devise and deliver solutions to support environmental objectives.  ● Training and education. Influencing behaviour to reduce waste and use resources more efficiently in the performance of the contract.  ● Partnering/collaborating in engaging with the community in relation to the performance of the contract, to support environmental objectives.  ● Volunteering opportunities for the contract workforce, e.g. undertaking activities that encourage direct positive impact. | | |

From the information that you provide, the evaluators will assess, Qualitatively, your response, based on the information that you provide within your tender response.

Alongside their Commitments against the Standard Reporting Metrics, the successful Potential Provider’s method statement will form the basis of Key Performance Indicators and jointly managed throughout the life of the contract.

The Potential Providers must ensure that they answer the Social Value Model MACs asked. Any additional information which is not specific to the contract being procured will not be considered.

The Potential Providers responses are to set out the additional Social Value benefits that they will deliver against the Policy Outcomes for this procurement. It is not sufficient to only reference/use to their Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and or Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) documents.

Further information on Social Value can be found [here](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/social-value-act-information-and-resources/social-value-act-information-and-resources).

**Scoring Criteria for Questions 1-7.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Confidence Characteristic** | **Score** | **Criteria** |
| **No Confidence** | 0 | No response provided or the response failed to provide confidence that the proposal will meet the requirements. An unacceptable response that will deem the entire bid as non-compliant. |
| **Low confidence** | 25 | A poor response with reservations that only partially meets the requirement and gives concern in a number of significant areas. The response lacks convincing detail/evidence to instil confidence in the Tenderer’s ability to deliver the requirement. |
| **Moderate Confidence** | 50 | A response which broadly meets the requirements but raised concerns in some areas. There was a lack sufficient evidence or detail to warrant a higher mark and to instil greater confidence in the Tenderer’s ability to deliver the requirement. |
| **Good Confidence** | 75 | A good response that meets the requirement with supporting evidence/detail provided. There are no significant areas of concern and sufficient competence is demonstrated through the relevant evidence. |
| **High Confidence** | 100 | An excellent comprehensive response that meets the requirements which included detailed supporting evidence and no weaknesses were highlighted resulting in a high level of confidence. The response leaves no doubt as to the capability and commitment to deliver what is required. |