**SCORING SCHEME**

Responses to the ‘evaluation criteria’ or ‘factors’ will be assessed individually on a scale of 1 to 5 points

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **FACTORS** | **POINTS AVAILABLE** | **SCORING METHOD** |
| **PLAY VALUE** | (40) |  |
| Physical Play Value (Play Area)   * Landscaping curves and trees to encourage different types of play * Opportunity to challenge the environment * Potential for challenging/stimulating play * Potential for creative/exploratory play * Potential for fully inclusive play | 10 | A score will be given in relation to the offers by all tenderers as to the value of the play experiences or functionality of the offer. The layout and play value information will be used for the consultation prior to appointing a contractor. |
| Social Play Value   * Potential to socialize throughout layout and design * Opportunity to travel and run around * Opportunities for shade * Opportunities to picnic and sit | 10 |
| Provision for year round access to equipment. | 5 |
| Innovative design that is considerate of the setting and allows for future change and evolution. | 5 |
| Inclusive provision for children of different ages and abilities to play together. | 5 |
| Non-wooden equipment supporting a sustainable maintenance programme | 5 |
| **PRODUCT RANGE** | (10) |  |
| To provide opportunities for different ages and abilities | 5 | A score will be given based on the breadth of the tenderer’s range of products in relation to the ranges by all tenderers per lot. Product range information will be used for the consultation prior to appointing a contractor. |
| Written submission and accompanying illustrations | 5 |
| **WARRANTY/GUARANTEES** | (10) |  |
| Installation warranty | 5 | A score will be given in relation to the offers by all scored tenders per Lot. |
| Equipment guarantee | 5 |
| **PRESENTATION** | (10) |  |
| Written submission | 5 | A score will be given based on presentation and clarity of the written submission and accompanying illustration in terms of how well they portray the offer per Lot. The presentation and illustrations will be used for the consultation event prior to appointing a contractor. |
| Accompanying illustrations | 5 |

**EVALUATION CRITERIA AND METHODOLOGY**

EVALUATION CRITERIA

* 1. All responses will be assessed against the Evaluation Criteria set out below:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| EVALUATION CRITERIA | WEIGHTING |
| PLAY VALUE  PRODUCT RANGE  WARRANTY/GUARANTEES  PRESENTATION | 40 POINTS  10 POINTS  10 POINT  10 POINTS |

* 1. A bid may not be accepted that significantly fails to satisfy any specific criterion, even if it scores relatively well against all other criteria.
  2. A score of ‘1’ for any of the factors shall be treated as a non-compliant bid and give grounds for excluding the tender from further consideration.
  3. If a score of ‘2’ is awarded to three or more factors, then the submission will be treated as a non compliant bid and give grounds for excluding the tenderer from further consideration.
  4. Scoring (Maximum 70 points available)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1 | The response raises major concerns about understanding or approach, which are potentially highly detrimental to satisfactory service delivery or contract performance. |
| 2 | The response suggests significant shortcomings of understanding or approach,  which may impact on service delivery or contract performance. |
| 3 | The response suggests minor shortcomings of understanding or approach,  which may impact to a minor extent on service delivery or contract performance. |
| 4 | The response raises no concerns about understanding or approach, to service  delivery or contract performance. |
| 5 | The response exceeds expectation about understanding or approach, to service delivery or contract performance. |