

Commercial: evaluation scenario 1



Department
of Health

INVITATION TO TENDER FOR THE PROVISION OF:

**Independent Evaluation Supplier for the Fleming Fund
– ITT 60236**

Appendix I –Scenario 1 – Africa, Sierra Leone

Introduction

This is a scenario created to form part of both the price and the quality evaluation for this procurement competition; and is based on a fictitious project evaluation requirement; for a similar, but smaller scale evaluation requirement to that described in the Invitation to Tender documentation. The evaluation scenario described is based on a typical requirement in the type of location likely to be selected for the evaluation work. However, bidders should note that the Authority does not guarantee that such a scenario will take place as part of the provision of Services by the successful Evaluation Supplier.

While the scenario described below is linked to the Fleming Fund in terms of overall purpose and outcomes, the scenario must be read and responded to in isolation; that is, the response and pricing for **this scenario**, is for the service requirement described below **only**.

The response will be used for evaluation purposes, and counts towards the total score, as described in ITT Part A.

Evaluation criteria

This scenario forms part of the price and quality evaluation for the 'Independent Evaluation Supplier for the Fleming Fund' Procurement (refer to ITT part A), and a response is required to both elements.

This scenario response is weighed at **2.5%** for the quality element (question **D7 in ITT Part A**) and **4%** for the pricing element (scenario 1, **(EP4)**) in the pricing schedule (pricing schedule two).

The response to question D7 will be evaluated in exactly the same manner as described in the ITT documentation; and bidders are strongly advised to read the full methodology and scoring scheme described in **ITT Part A** (see section 6, 'proposal assessment', and section 7 'technical evaluation' for details).

The response to pricing (EP4) will be evaluated in line with the price evaluation scheme described in ITT Part A; refer to section 8 'price evaluation' and section 10 'consolidated view' for details.

Response Instructions

Bidders must complete their response to this scenario (question **D7**) in the relevant section of Schedule One (a) (which must comply with the word/page limit applied); and for the pricing element, complete the pricing schedule; tab 4 (EP4) with their pricing response. The response to this scenario must be returned to the Authority as part of your overall bid. Please answer fully as failure to do so may result in the tender being considered non-compliant and rejected.

Scenario 1 – Evaluation Supplier (DH) requirement

Your role as the Evaluation Supplier is to evaluate the grant performance in terms of how far the outputs of the country grants have led to the outcomes and desired impact of the Fleming Fund. You are therefore required to demonstrate how your proposed methodology detailed at [D3] would apply to the scenarios below by completing the response section and pricing schedule. Day rates should be based on your actual Fleming Fund Evaluation Supplier proposed Tender rates given in 'Rate Card - Sub-Saharan Africa' (EP3) as part of your overall response.

Location: Sierra Leone, primarily based in and around the city of Freetown

Total programme cost: £7.5m

Number of grantees: 3- One large grant, one medium grant, one small grant. Please see Annex C of the Specification 'Principles of Fleming Fund priority countries'.

The approved programme, which is managed by the Managing Agent, will be:

- Equipping and refurbishing one hospital laboratory so it is equipped to reliably undertake bacterial diagnosis on a larger scale and conduct antimicrobial sensitivity testing;
 - To be completed within 12 months of project start date
- Training existing hospital laboratory staff on antimicrobial sensitivity testing, using the new laboratory equipment, and on the principles of undertaking AMR surveillance. Training to include specific leader training to enable senior lab staff to conduct their own training sessions.
 - To be completed within 6 months of the refurbishment outlined in the point above.
- Equipping a relevant grantee with the hardware and software to support epidemiological investigation and improved surveillance of resistance trends;
 - To be completed within 9 months of project start date
- Supporting and enabling the University, in collaboration with identified UK academics, to develop surveillance systems that are capable of delivering real time AMR surveillance that can be shared nationally and globally;
 - To be completed within 12 months of project start date
- Supporting the use of surveillance data collected to inform policy and advocacy work with the national government, to make the case for further evidence based public health interventions.
 - Ongoing work – no agreed milestone from Management Agent at current point in time. Evaluation to take place after 12 months or as recommend by the Evaluation Supplier.
- Improving national capacity to regularly collate and upload data to an international data sharing platform represented by GLASS, and a number of other international datasets such as the Institute of Health Metrics (IHME) global burden of disease.
 - Ongoing work – no agreed milestone from Management Agent at current point in time. Evaluation to take place after 12 months or as recommend by the Evaluation Supplier.

Commercial: evaluation scenario 1

Outputs required from Evaluation and timescale:

- Pre-grant engagement with grantees to develop capability and understanding around Monitoring and Evaluation (MandE) and Theory of Change.
- Regular reporting: Activity update on all aspects of programme in a form recommended by supplier. Once per quarter.
- Formative report: a mid-point review of the programme, answering the evaluation questions indicatively and supplying formative recommendations to guide the projects on how best to achieve the outcomes and impact of the Fund
- Summative final report: The ultimate aim of the Fleming Fund theory of change is reduced morbidity and mortality associated with AMR. The Independent Evaluation Supplier will assess to what extent the outputs and outcomes detailed in the theory of change have affected or made progress towards this overarching desired impact. Paper supported by summary PowerPoint slides delivered face to face.
- Evidence brief of key thematic lessons: To be delivered as part of the summative final report in the same session.

It is for the supplier to suggest and cost an approach to ensuring stakeholders are updated and consulted as necessary to ensure a high quality and well informed output.