Serapis Tasking Form

Tasking Form Part 1: (to be completed by the Authority's Project Manager)

| | Lot 5 Newman & Spurr Consultancy Ltd | From: The Author | rity | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Any Task placed as a result of your quotation will be subject to the Terms and Conditions of Framework Agreement Number: | | | | | |
| LOT 5 DSTL/AGR/SERAPIS/SSE | /01 | | | | |
| VERSION CONTROL | | | | | |
| V1.0 | | | | | |
| REQUIREMENT | | | | | |
| Proposal Required by: | [25/11/2021] | Task ID Number: | SSE33] | | |
| The Authority Project Manager: | Redacted under FOIA Section 40 – Personal Information | The Authority Technical Point of Contact: | Redacted under FOIA Section 40 – Personal Information | | |
| Task Title: | [WISE Player Interface Enha | ancements - DFuture | s OR] | | |
| Required Start Date: | [03/01/2022] | Required End Date: | [31/03/2022] | | |
| Requisition No: | [1000170968] | Budget Range | £50,000 EXC VAT | | |
| TASK DESCRIPTION AND SPE | CIFICATION | | | | |
| Serapis Framework Lot | Lot 1: Collect Lot 2: Space systems Lot 3: Decide Lot 4: Assured information infrastructure Lot 5: Synthetic environment and simulation Lot 6: Understand | | | | |
| Statement of Requirements (SOR) | | | | | |
| SERAPIS Task ID SSE13 is delivering a replacement player interface for the business critical wargame and simulation capability called WISE (Wargame Infrastructure and Simulation Environment). The version to be | | | | | |

simulation capability called WISE (Wargame Infrastructure and Simulation Environment). The version to be delivered under SSE13 will retain the original functionality along with a number of changes to the way the user interacts to enhance the player experience and productivity. However, the planned development was designed to balance both practical development and risk which meant some of the additional features or support features we would like to improve the system further were deliberately not included.

This task will enable implementation of some further improvements to the new WISE player display to build on the deliverable from SSE13, namely:

• Additional of graphical support tools within the player interface to manage the understanding of what is happening within game, i.e. management information type displays to players, e.g. display of UAV

| lookdown footprints on the map, indications o overlays etc. | f direct fire and indirect fire activity, refinement of drawing | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| • Support to integration of more innovative mapping products within the new player interface. | | | | |
| Procurement Strategy | | | | |
| ☑ Lot Lead to recommend □Single Source | e / Direct Award | | | |
| | oplier has the relevant capability and resources to undertake ith this development as an additional activity within the wider | | | |
| Pricing: | | | | |
| \Box Firm Pricing \boxtimes Ascertained Costs* | □ Other* | | | |
| Firm Pricing shall be in accordance with DEFCON 12 | 7 and DEFCON 643 | | | |
| Ascertained Costs shall be in accordance with DEFC | ON 653 or DEFCON 802. | | | |
| *only at Authority's discretion | | | | |
| Task IP Conditions | | | | |
| P identify your information and IP requirements for | Summary of the Authority's rights in foreground IP (I r generated by the supplier in performance of th contract) | | | |
| ÐÆGFFCC@NIVÆCABO I&] | Vests ownership with the Authority | | | |
| DEFCON 705 Full Rights | Enables MOD to share in confidence as GFI or IRC under certain types of agreements. | | | |
| | Can be shared in confidence within UK Government. | | | |
| OTHER IP DEFCONS: 14* □, 15* □, 16* □, 90* 90* □, 91* □, 126* □ | Generally only suitable for deliverables at TRL 6 and above. | | | |
| BESPOKE IP Clause * | Details to be added and agreed by IP Group | | | |
| * Do not use without IPG advice and approval | | | | |
| Please state in this text box if MOD or the customer has a requirement a) that one or more Other Government Departments is able to share confidentially with their own suppliers, b) to publish but you do not think there is a requirement to own or control the deliverable, or c) to share under a procurement* Memorandum of Understanding (MOU). If any of these three issues applies, please contact IPG for advice before completing this form. *Listing research MOUs is not required, but can be a helpful courtesy to the supplier. | | | | |
| DELIVERABLES | | | | |

| <u>R</u> e | <u>f</u> <u>Title</u> | | <u>Due by</u> | <u>Format</u> | <u>TRL</u> | Expected classification (subject to change) | Information required in deliverable | IPR DEFCON |
|------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------|--|---|---------------|
| D | WISE Interfa | nced Player ce and nentation | 31 st March 2022 | C++ software and libraries to support building interface on Dstl system | Redacted unc | er FOIA Section 23 - National Ser | Details of build requirements, libraries, compiler versions to support successful compilation on Dstl systems. | 703 |
| | | | | | | | | |

DELIVERABLE: ACCEPTANCE / REJECTION CRITERIA

Unless otherwise stated below, Standard Deliverable Acceptance / Rejection applies. This is 30 business days, in accordance with DEFCON 524 Rejection, and DEFCON 525 Acceptance.

Standard Deliverable Acceptance / Rejection:-

Yes
(DEFCON 524 Rejection, and DEFCON 525 Acceptance)

No \Box (if no, please state details of applicable criteria below)

Deliverable Acceptance / Rejection Criteria:-

Our proposed criteria for deliverable acceptance are outlined below.

| Deliverable | Acceptance criteria | Acceptance period |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| Monthly Progress reports | The monthly reports should contain an update on progress achieved for the sprints completed in the month, plans for the next month, overall progress against the schedule, issues and risks. If any of this information is missing the report will be rejected pending revisions. | Up to 5 working days from delivery |
| Sprint Dashboard reports | Dashboards should adhere to the format and content agreed between the WISE Project Governance team and supplier at contract commencement, if they do not they may be rejected pending revisions. | Up to 3 working days from delivery |
| Player Interface Enhancement Design Options | A presentation of the possible interface options to be enhanced to the WISE acceptance team to inform progress achieved with and final operating Capability. | On the day of the event |
| Implementation Plan | The WISE Project Governance team shall take up to 10 working days to confirm acceptance, rejection pending revisions or full rejection of the final implementation plan. To avoid full or partial rejection of the plan the supplier is required to provide early drafts of the plan as it is being developed in order for the WISE | Up to 10 working days from delivery |

| | acceptance team to provide early review and | |
|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| | feedback whilst it is still in draft form. | |
| Requirements specification | The WISE acceptance team shall take up to 10 | Up to 10 working days |
| | working days to confirm acceptance, rejection | from delivery |
| | pending revisions or full rejection of the final | , , |
| | requirements specification. To avoid full or | |
| | partial rejection the supplier is required to | |
| | | |
| | provide early drafts as it is being developed in | |
| | order for the WISE acceptance team to provide | |
| | early review and feedback whilst it is still draft. | |
| Test Report | The WISE acceptance team shall take up to 10 | Up to 10 working days |
| | working days to confirm acceptance, rejection | from delivery |
| | pending revisions or full rejection of the report. | |
| | To avoid full or partial rejection of the report the | |
| | supplier is required to provide an early draft that | |
| | shows the format and template for the WISE | |
| | acceptance team to review and approve. | |
| | | |
| | If the report identifies differences between the | |
| | output between the updated code and the | |
| | | |
| | reference codebase, these should be identified, | |
| | discussed with the WISE acceptance team and | |
| | investigated as soon as possible. If these | |
| | differences are unacceptable to the WISE | |
| | acceptance team, they may request further | |
| | investigation or revision to the source code | |
| | before full acceptance. | |
| Documentation pack | The WISE Project Governance team shall take | Up to 40 working days |
| | up to 40 working days to confirm acceptance, | from delivery – But |
| | rejection pending revisions or full rejection of | also dependent upon |
| | the documentation. To avoid full or partial | successful installation |
| | | and running of the |
| | rejection of the documentation the supplier is | 5 |
| | required to provide early drafts for the WISE | new code on the |
| | acceptance team to review. | authorities system. |
| Final documentation pack | The final documentation will be accepted once | Up to 10 working days |
| | any issues identified during the review period | from delivery. |
| | have been rectified. | |
| Updated WISE Player | Following successful installation and running of | Up to 40 working days |
| Interface | the new code on the Dstl Host infrastructure, it | of internal acceptance |
| | will take up to 40 working days of Dstl | testing |
| | acceptance testing. These tests will involve | looting |
| | running WISE-FW with several players to | |
| | | |
| | assess the Interface performance and | |
| | behaviour. | |
| | Any issues identified during this testing will be | |
| | raised promptly with the supplier to ensure it | |
| | can be addressed. Once addressed, an | |
| | updated codebase should be returned to the | |
| | WISE acceptance team for re-testing before | |
| | being accepted. | |
| | The final codebase will be accepted once any | Up to 15 working days |
| Final WISE Codebase | | op to 15 working days |
| Final WISE Codebase | | ofter delivery of the |
| Final WISE Codebase | issues identified during internal testing have been rectified and re-tested. | after delivery of the final codebase. |

Government Furnished Assets (GFA)

ISSUE OF EQUIPMENT/RESOURCES/INFORMATION/FACILITIES (*if not applicable, delete table and insert "None" in this text box*)

| <u>Unique</u> Identifier/ Serial No | Description | <u>Classification</u> | <u>Туре</u> | <u>Available</u> <u>Date</u> | <u>lssued</u> by | <u>Return or</u> <u>Disposal</u> <u>Date</u> | Any restrictions? | |
|--|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------|-----|
| Serial no | Description | Official- Sensitive | Equipment | 00/00/0000 | lssuer | 00/00/0000 | Include details here | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | STANDARDS | | , | | | | | |
| ⊠ ISO900 | 1 (Quality Mana | gement Syster | ns) | | | | | |
| □ ISO140 | 01 (Environment I | Management S | Systems) | | | | | |
| □ ISO122 | 07 (Systems and | software engin | eering — so | oftware life cy | cle) | | | |
| | Plus (Integrated a | pproach to sof | tware and IT | developmen | it) | | | |
| □ Other: | (Please speci | fy in free text b | pelow) | | | | | |
| | , I | , | , | | | | | |
| SECURITY | CLASSIFICATIO | N OF THE WO | RK | | | | | |
| | est classification ed under FC | | | lational | Socuri | tv. | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | est expected cla ed under FOIA | | | | - | ontractor | | |
| | est expected cla | | | | | | | |
| | ed under FC | | | | _ | rity | | |
| | rity Aspects Le | | quired? (A | Security As | pects Lett | er (SAL) will | be required for ea | ach |
| Task above Redacted under F | e Official-Sensitive OIA Section 23 - National Se | and above) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| TASK CYE | TASK CYBER RISK ASSESSMENT. (In accordance with <u>DEF STAN 05-138</u> and the <u>Risk Assessment Workflow</u>) | | | | | | <u>ow</u>) | |
| Cyber Ris | Cyber Risk Level Redacted under FOIA Section 26 - Defence | | | | | | | |
| Risk Assessment Reference Redacted under FOIA Section 26 - Defence | | | | | | | | |
| ADDITION | AL TERMS AND C | | APPLICABL | E TO THIS C | ONTRAC | т | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Please ensure all completed forms are copied to Redacted under FOIA Section 26 - Defence when sending to the Lot Lead.

Tasking Form Part 2: (To be completed by the Lot Lead)

| To: The Authority | | From: | The Lot Lead | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Proposal Reference NSC | 2-820-15 | 586 V1.0 | (attached) | | | | |
| Delivery of the requirement: | Delivery of the requirement: | | | | | | |
| The proposal <u>shall</u> include, bu | t not be | limited to: | | | | | |
| Requirements (Part 1 to Breakdown of individual Breakdown of Interim Mil A work breakdown struct A list of required Government | Requirements (Part 1 to Tasking Form). Breakdown of individual Deliverables, with corresponding Intellectual Property rights applied. Breakdown of Interim Milestone Payments, with corresponding due dates. A work breakdown structure/project plan with key dates and deliverables identified. A list of required Government Furnished Assets from the Authority, including required delivery dates. A clear identification of Dependencies, Assumptions, Risks and Exclusions which underpin your | | | | | | |
| PRICE BREAKDOWN | | | | , | | | |
| You are to use the costs detailed the Serapis Framework Agreeme limited to: Lot Lead Rates, Sub-co you are requested to provide c underpin your price. | ent. Plea ontractor. lear deta | se also provide a s costs and rates, ails of all Depend | price breakdown wh travel and subsistenc lencies, Assumptions | ich should include, but is not e. In support of your Proposal s, Risks and Exclusions that | | | |
| Offer of Contract: (to be comple | eted and | signed by the Cor | ntractor's Commercial | or Contract Manager) | | | |
| Total Proposal Price in £ | £49,80 | 1.83 | | (ex VAT) | | | |
| Start Date: | 11/01/2 | 22 | End Date: | 31/03/22 | | | |
| Lot Leads Representative | Name | Redacted under | FOIA Section 40 – Pe | ersonal Information | | | |
| | Tel | Redacted under FOIA Section 40 – Personal Information | | | | | |
| Email Redacted under FOIA Section 40 – Personal | | | | ersonal Information | | | |
| Date 9 December 2021 | | | | | | | |
| Position in Company | Redacted under FOIA Section 40 – Personal Information | | | | | | |
| Signature | Redacted | under FOIA Section 40 | - Personal Information | | | | |

Core Work – Breakdown

| | Lot Lead Rates for Task Management Services (TMS) Please insert/delete rows as necessary | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|------------------|-------------|----------------|---|---|--|
| Team Member Name | Role | Activity Type | Rate (£) | Total Hours | LMS recovery per role per hour ('d' element) | Total LMS recovery due (£) ('d' x total hours) | Total TMS Cost (£) (Rate x total hours) |
| N/A | Choose an item. | Choose an item. | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | |

Redacted under FOIA Section 43 – Commercial Interest

Work Delivered by Sub-Contractor(s)

We recognise that suppliers may fit into multiple categories, please choose the drop down that categorises the supplier by the definition that is lowest on the list (i.e. a Defence Supplier Academic would be treated as an Academic.

Please insert/delete rows as necessary

| Name of Sub- Contractor | Supplier Type | Activity Description | Rate (£) | Total Hours | Total Cost (£) |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------|
| N/A | Choose an item. | | | | |
| | | | Total | | |

Travel, Subsistence, Materials & Equipment *Please insert/delete rows as necessary*

| Supplier Name | Spend Type | Description / Rationale | Unit Cost (£) | Qty | Total Cost (£) |
|---------------|-----------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-------|-------------------|
| N/A | Choose an item. | | | | |
| | | | | Total | |

Core Work – Milestone breakdown costs

Proposed Milestones Payments

Your TMS bid costs shall be included in milestone 1.

The final Milestone must reflect the actual cost of the deliverable, and be greater than 20% of the Task value, unless otherwise agreed with your Commercial POC

Please duplicate the template per milestone table format below as necessary, and rename milestone number accordingly.

Redacted under FOIA Section 43 – Commercial Interest

Tasking Form Part 3:

To be completed by the Authority's Commercial Officer and copied to the Authority's Project Manager.

| 1. Acceptance of Contract: | 1. Acceptance of Contract: | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Authority's Commercial Officer | Name | Redacted under FOIA Section 40 – Personal Information | | | |
| | Tel | Redacted under FOIA Section 40 – Personal Information | | | |
| | Email | Redacted under FOIA Section 40 – Personal Information | | | |
| | Date | 15/12/2021 | | | |
| Requisition Number | | 1000170968 | | | |
| Contractor's Proposal Number | | NSC-820-1586 | | | |
| Purchase Order Number | | DSTLX-1000165901 | | | |
| Signature | | | | | |
| Please Note: Task authorisation to be issued by the Authority's Commercial Officer or Contract Manager. Any work carried out prior to authorisation is at the Contractor's own risk. | | | | | |