SCHEDULE 09 – SOCIAL VALUE CRITERIA

UK Pavilion at Expo 2025 Osaka, Japan: Design, Build, Maintain & Decommission (DBMD)

Project_1355

Evaluation – Summary of Social Value (SV) Questions Score Weightings (SV: 10.00%)

Question No.	Title	Weighting (%) (out of 100% of the SV envelope)	Relative Weighting
4	Fighting Climate Change	100.00	10.00

4 – Fighting Climate Change – 100.00% Weighting (10.00% Relative Weighting)					
Question	Response Format				
THEME: 3. Fighting Climate Change POLICY OUTCOME: Effective Stewardship of the environment MODEL AWARD CRITERIA: 4.2 – Influence staff, suppliers, customers, and communities through the delivery of the Contract to support environmental protection and improvement	Three (3) sides of A4 including any diagrams, photos or sketches to illustrate the concept (no annexes).				
Please describe the commitment your organisation will make to ensure that opportunities under the Contract deliver the Policy Outcome and Model Award Criteria stated above. Please include the following:	Electronic copy editable format (i.e. not PDF or any other read- only format) using Microsoft Office Word.				
(1) The "Method Statement", stating how you will achieve this and how your commitment meets the Award Criteria;	Arial pt-11 font text must be used.				
(2) A timed project plan and process, including how you will implement your commitment and by when. Also, how you will monitor, measure and report on your commitments/the impact of your proposals.	Tenderers must use the following naming convention for the single file that comprises the response to this question:				

a		Performance Indicator (KPI) by which your organisation will be measured in s commitment. This will form a KPI under the Contract within the Incentive QUESTION 4 – SOCIAL VALUE							
	SCORING METHODOLOGY FOR SOCIAL VALUE QUESTION								
SCORE		CRITERIA							
0	FAIL	The response completely fails to meet the required standard or does not provide a proposal							
25	POOR (meets some of the award criteria)	 The response meets elements of the requirement but gives concern in a number of significant areas. There are reservations because of one or all of the following: There is a least one significant issue needing considerable attention Proposals do not demonstrate competence or understanding The response is light on detail and unconvincing The response makes no reference to the applicable sector but shows some general market experience The response makes limited reference (naming only) to the social value policy outcome set out within the question 							
50	GOOD (meets all the award criteria)	 The response broadly meets what is expected for the criteria. There are no significant areas of concern, although there may be limited minor issues that need further exploration or attention. The response therefore shows: Good understanding of the requirements. Sufficient competence demonstrated through relevant evidence. Some insight demonstrated into the relevant issues. The response addresses most of the social value policy outcome and shows general market experience 							
75	VERY GOOD (exceeds some of the award criteria)	DD issues The response therefore shows: eeds ie of the - Good understanding of the requirements. rd - Sufficient competence demonstrated through relevant evidence.							

100	EXCELLENT The response exceeds what is expected for the criteria. Leaves no doubt as to the capability and commitm deliver what is required. The response therefore shows:	
	Award Criteria)	 Very good understanding of the requirements. Excellent proposals demonstrated through relevant evidence. Considerable insight into the relevant issues. The response is also likely to propose additional value in several respects above that expected. The response addresses the social value policy outcome and shows in-depth market experience.

Calculating weighted scores

The social value criteria question within the Social Value evaluation is worth 100% of the social value criteria, as indicated in the table on page 1 of this Schedule 09. When the response to the question is awarded a score (0,25, 50, 75 or 100) it will be multiplied by its sub-weighting, which in this case is 100%. This score will then be given its relative weighting (out of 10%) for the social value criteria in respect of the entire Procurement. The table below illustrates an example, using a fictional Tenderer, Tenderer A, and the score they received:

Criteria Question	Raw Score	Sub-Weighted Score (out of 100%)	Relative Weighted Score (out of 10%)
1 (100.00%)	75	75.00	7.50
	TOTAL:	75.00	<u>7.50</u>

NOTE FOR TENDERERS: The response to Schedule 09 shall form part of the Scope provided by the *Contractor* for the purposes of the Contract, and shall be incorporated into the Scope wholesale, save for any amendments that at the Client's sole discretion are required, in order to adhere to Expo requirements.