



Partnership	Combined Authority					
Company Name	Rakusen's Limited					
Company Address	Rakusen House Clayton Wood Rise Leeds LS16 6QN					
Company Contact	Richard Doyle <u>richard@rakusens.com</u>					
Description of Company Activity	Manufacturer of Matzos savoury biscuits.					
Objective of the proposed project (Please explain what is required in the project) (max 250 words)	Rakusen's wishes to select and appoint a suitable Contractor for the design, supply and installation of the 263kWA Solar Panel PV system and invites suppliers to submit a tender to meet our requirements:  Required PV Solar System Size: 263 kW The project value: £167,000 Roof material = Steel Cladding Roof Dimensions = 32m x 34m (1088m2) Height to Eaves = 8m					
Tenders are Invited from suppliers who can provide the necessary skills and expertise to deliver the specification	Please submit prices into four sections as per the following assumptions:  Solar Panels, framework and inverters.  Electrical infrastructure work.  Scaffolding, access, skips, etc.					
Suppliers will be bidding for a project that is part funded by the European Regional	<ul> <li>Installation and commissioning.</li> <li>The initial award will be awarded to the highest-scoring provider.</li> <li>Tenders will be scored using the following scoring scheme.</li> </ul>					







	   4 = 1	5 .				
Development Fund as part of the European	1. The most competitive Price					
Structural and Investment Growth	2. Warranty					
	3. Evidence of expertise in fitting					
Programme 2014- 2020.	4. Evidence of being able to fit the panel in the time required.					
	The project is part-funded by the England European Regional Development Fund as part of the European Structural and Investment Funds Growth Programme 2014-2020.					
	With this invitation to tender, we would like to call on interested parties to assist Rakusen's Limited with this assignment.					
Required project	Project start	date: 20/06/2022				
timescales (start and duration of project? )	Duration: 12 weeks					
Total Anticipated Project Value	£167,000					
(Please note that the procurement will only go ahead to contract once the funding has been agreed)						
Required response date (this must be at least 10 working days from posting of advert)	09/06/2022					
How to apply? Contact	richard@rakusens.com					
SME.	Responders will be free to arrange a visit to the premises to survey the property.					
Criteria for decision						
making		Criteria	%			
(Examples of Assessment Criteria		Price	70			
could include:		Warranty	10			
Price		Expertise fit -	10			
Expertise fit	Description of					
Timing Fit	previous experience					
Suitability of proposed methodology)		Timing fit – able to complete the work	10			







Partnersnip	Combined Authority						elopinent Fund
Weighting of the	within the required timescales.						
criteria to be determined by SME	Тс	Total			100		
Scoring	Price The lowest price will be calculate every 1% a price deducted from example:	ed on the e is highe that tend	basi or tha derer	s of their an the lov 's score.	deviation west, 1% The min	on from the of the score	lowest. For e will be will be 0. For
		-				an lowest	
	Te	enderer	F	Price	Score	% difference	се
		nder A		50,000	30		-
		nder B		58,000	25		16
		nder C		75,000	15		50
		Tender D Tender E		82,000	11		00
	Warranty The warranty will be scored as follows:						
		Highest gets full marks					
		Tenderer Warr		Warran	ty	Score	
		Tender	Α	Great than yea	10 irs	10	
		Tender	В	Betwee 5 and <sup>-</sup> yea	10	8	
		Tender	С	Less tha	ırs	5	
		Tender	D	Betwee 1 and		3	

years No

warranty

Tender E





Partnership	Combined Authori	Development Fund			
	Expertise Fit – Description of previous experience Quality related criteria will be evidenced through sharing of case-studies / project review documents relating to similar projects completed on other businesses. This criteria will be scored on the basis of the following scale:				
	100%	In respect of each element of the Services identified in the question, the proposals fully explain how the relevant element will be delivered to the standards required, throughout the term.			
		The proposals are clear, precise and robust.			
		The explanation is sufficient to give a high degree of confidence that all of the relevant aspects of the specification will be delivered.			
	80%	In respect of each element of the Services identified in the question, the proposals explain how the relevant element will be delivered to the standards required, throughout the term.			
		The proposals are clear, precise and robust.			
		The explanation is sufficient to give a high degree of confidence that the relevant aspects of the specification will, for the most part, be delivered. To the extent that the explanation is not sufficient to give that high degree of confidence, the explanation does not raise concerns.			
	60%	In respect of each element of the Services identified in the question, the proposals explain, to some extent, how the relevant element will be delivered to the standards required, throughout the term.			
		The proposals are clear, but there are some concerns around precision and / or robustness.			
		The explanation is sufficient to give confidence that the relevant aspects of the specification will, for the most part, be delivered. To the extent that the explanation is not sufficient to give that confidence, the explanation raises one or more concerns but no material concerns.			
	40%	In respect of each element of the Services identified in the question, the proposals explain, to some extent, how the relevant element will be delivered to the standards required, throughout the term, but for certain elements the explanation is very limited.			
		There are concerns around the clarity, and around the precision and / or robustness, of the proposals.			
		The explanation is sufficient to give confidence that the relevant aspects of the specification will be delivered to some extent. To the extent that the explanation is not sufficient to give that confidence, the explanation raises one or more concerns, one of which is a material concern.			
	20%	In respect of one or more elements of the Services identified in the question, the proposals fail to explain to any extent how the relevant element will be delivered to the standards required, throughout the term; and / or the proposals are mainly or wholly unclear; and / or the explanation is insufficient to give confidence that the relevant aspects of the specification will be delivered and / or the explanation for any one or more of the elements raises multiple material concerns.			







		0%	No res asked.		onse is irrelevant	to the question		
	ment out on ments							
	<b>Timing Fit</b> Under the ter	ms of tl	he fund	ing, the proje	ect needs to be	completed		
			s of the Funding Agreement. The anticipated start date 022. This criteria will be scored on the following scale:					
	20,00							
			Hig	hest gets full	marks			
				Ability to				
		Ter	nderer	complete work	Score			
			.d A	Within 12 week	40			
		ren	ider A	period	10			
				One month				
				over 12				
		Ten	ider B	week period	6			
				Two months				
				over 12				
		Ten	der C	week period	3			
				Over two months				
				over 12				
		Ten	der D	week period	0			
		L		· ·				
Date for Contract	13/06/2022							
Decision (i.e. how long before the SME will								
inform the successful								
respondent)								

