Annex to Schedule 7 Order Form Template

This Order Form is issued subject to the provisions of the Framework Agreement 2017/S 243-506532 entered into between NOE CPC and the Supplier on 01/06/2018 ("Framework Agreement").

The Supplier agrees to supply the Services specified below on, and subject to, the terms of this Contract and for the avoidance of doubt the Contract consists of the terms set out in this Order Form and the Contract terms, including the call off terms and conditions at Appendix A, together with the Schedules thereto.

NOEJ..0217.0 Framework Order Form - For the provision of Healthcare Consultancy Services

Date	22/09/21	Order no.	WP2083
		110.	

From:

Authority name	Secretary of State for Health and Social Care acting as part of the Crown
	through the Department of Health & Social Care "Authority"
	Department of Health and Social Care,
Authority's address	39 Victoria Street, London, SW1H 0EU
	Payment and Invoicing
Invoice Address	39 Victoria Street
	Westminster
	London
	Name:
Contact Ref:	Phone:
	E-mail:

To:

Supplier	NatCen "Supplier"
	NatCen Social Research
Supplier's Address	35 Northampton Square
	London EC1V 0AX
	Name:
Account Manager	Phone:
	E-mail:

1. Statement of Service requirements

Services required	o Lot 4 Healthcare Innovation, Research and Related Services
Commencement	
date for call off of	09/08/2021
services	
Duration or long	31/05/2022
stop expiry date	0.1703/2022
Oamdaa laad	o Deadlines for completion of the services
Service Levels	o Appropriate levels of staff to be employed
required	o Key meetings appropriate supplier representative must attend
	Task 1: Project initiation
	This task includes a one- off kick-off meeting (and immediate follow-up/exchange of information) with the NHS Test and Trace evaluation team in order to agree key practical aspects to underpin evaluation planning:
KPIs required	 Information sharing regarding the pilot and any other relevant documents, including support for data access (especially with regard to the NHS Test and Trace dashboard). Protocols for the working with the pilot area, including key contacts. Discussion of priorities and parameters for the evaluation given the need to provide the strongest possible evidence, yet the need to do so in the context of a fast-moving pilot situation. Upfront discussion of potential scenarios/options in terms of what the data review might show in order to pre-empt how any analytical limitations will be addressed.

Task 2: Engagement and scoping with the pilot area

We have included resource for what we presume to be a number of engagements/discussion/exchanges with the pilot area (including potentially key stakeholders in the local area) in order to develop a clear enough view of the pilot delivery parameters and landscape. We make no assumptions about the format of this engagement, other than it is likely to be iterative and somewhat investigatory in nature. In summary, it will involve:

- Unpacking the pilot purpose, aims and implementation approach in order to ensure that the evaluation plan is meaningfully aligned to what is happening on the ground.
- Scoping out key local stakeholders in terms of how the evaluation plan may need to incorporate inputs from different local actors.
- Understanding the nature of and process by which additional local data is collected that may be of relevance to the evaluation.
- Frequency Between NatCen and Pilot area/ LA/ PHE and evaluation team. Weekly meetings to begin with, however may go down to fortnightly, will continuously review the frequency of meetings.

Task 3: Data review and impact design

The first two weeks of work will be devoted to exploring the feasibility of econometric analysis to estimate the impact of the pilot. Ideally, a counterfactual-based impact evaluation approach should be used as it will provide more robust empirical evidence of the pilot's causal effect. Therefore, we will assess the existence of suitable data on both pilot participants and non-participants (these could be either local areas or individuals residing in them).

Data to be scoped include the Test and Trace Dashboard, national datasets (from DHSC, ONS, etc.), any additional data collected locally (as identified in Task 2), and will need to include quantitative measures of outcomes and contextual variables (impact confounders). Data aspects to be investigated include data quality (notably, existence of measurement error) and coverage (in terms of both variables and sample sizes). The most appropriate econometric methods to suit the pilot's features and circumstances (difference-in-differences, propensity score matching, synthetic control, etc.) will be identified. The plausibility of assumptions underlying the chosen method and expected impacts (needed to calculate minimum sample size requirements) will be discussed with the NHS Test and Trace team. Frequency.

Weekly meetings (In line with KPI Task 2) with potential to reduce over time/increase if needed.

Task 4: Development of the evaluation plan

The format and structure of the evaluation plan will be agreed as part of the project initiation. We envisage that it will include the following: Pilot summary from an evaluation perspective (capturing key activities, stakeholders, implementation approach) connected to an outline theory of

change for the pilot that translates the pilot activities into an analytical framework for measures outcomes/impact. Proposed approach to identifying impact based on the initial scoping including, options (if relevant), analytical considerations, constraints and limitations and a clear outline of what the evaluation is likely to be able to show and not show given the pilot, evaluation and reporting timelines. Proposed approach to qualitative/process evaluation based on the initial scoping including the value added by this evaluation component. Outline of data sources and other evidence that can contribute to the evaluation and how this material will be used as part of the evaluation. Timeline and proposed evaluation method/tasks. Weekly meetings (In line with KPI Task 2) with potential to reduce over time/ increase if needed. Weekly check-in meetings with (Programme Evaluation . Supported by to assess progress against milestones Weekly review meetings to ensure work is focused on priorities, as well as to flag risks and issues for mitigation, as well as on Programme-level documentation (if required) Fortnightly show-and-tell meetings with wider team to demonstrate progress Quarterly (or more regularly if required) team retrospectives to ensure supportive and effective working culture and practice - documented on team Trello board and retro Miro board Management All of the above reflected in programme process at weekly ExCo and PDF Information (Portfolio Delivery Forum) Review of deliverables (custom measurement for 'commissioned' pilots, reports Self-Isolation Framework and evaluation/validation methodology) against internal T&T evaluation standards, aligned to the Maryland Scientific Methods scale (to be outlined and accepted at the start of the project) There are 2 checkpoints of findings (interim and final) for all pilots. LAs will share the interim findings (target end of Aug or Sept) depending on how far the pilot duration period is. This will be analysed by the DHSC Evaluation team and results shared in Sept or Oct to relevant stakeholders (CO, Treasury etc). This will determine whether an LA should adopt a revised (or stay as) approach for the next phase of the pilot until end date. Progress Report: Contract Review On the first Working Day of each calendar month Progress Meeting: Meetings Frequency Quarterly on the first Working Day of each quarter If yes, confirm if either **Processing** of o Data Processor personal data o Data Controller https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/nhs-standard-terms-andconditions-of-contract-for-the-purchase-of-goods-and-supply-of-services

Variable Name	Description	Dataset
MSOA	Medium Super Output Area Code	
		DHSC
LTLA	code of Local Authority	(reference)
Phecnm	Regions	DHSC (CTAS)
Country	Country	DHSC (Input)
Population	Population per MSOA/LA	
		DHSC
Decile	IMD decile per LSOA	(reference)
Gender	Gender	DHSC (CTAS)
Age2	Age	DHSC (CTAS)
Ethnicity	Ethnicity	DHSC (Input)
Ethnicity Group	Grouping of Ethnicity	DHSC (Input)
Positivity rate	Positivity rate (formula is in the notes)	DHSC(NPEX)
Testing rate(all)	Testing rate per LA	DHSC(NPEX)
Testing rate(positive)	Positive testing rate per LA	DHSC(NPEX)
	DIVIDE([Total Cases Reached],[Total	
% Cases reached	Cases]) AVERAGEx(DATESINPERIOD('Date'[Date'])	DHSC
% Cases reached - 7 day	e],LASTDATE('Date'[Date]),-7,DAY),[%	
rolling average	Cases Reached])	DHSC
% Cases reached with at least one contact	% Cases reached with at least one contact	DHSC (CTAS)
% Cases reached with at	% Cases reactied with at least one contact	DHSC (CTAS)
least one contact - 7 day	% Cases reached with at least one contact	
rolling average % Cases with at least one	- 7 day rolling average % Cases with at least one non-household	DHSC (CTAS)
non-household contact	contact	DHSC (CTAS)
% Cases with at least one	N 0 111 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	,
non-household contact - 7 day rolling average	% Cases with at least one non-household contact - 7 day rolling average	DHSC (CTAS)
% Successful calls	% Successful calls	DHSC
% Successful calls - 7 day	/o Successiul calls	טווטכ
rolling average	% Successful calls - 7 day rolling average	DHSC
	% of cases case when contacts>0 then 1	I

Total contacts	Mean number of contacts shared DHSC (CTAS)
Self-isolation com	Proportion of isolating cases and contacts with 100% success in check-in calls (i.e. T&T check in calls on isolation days 4,7, and 10 were all completed successfully (if made)) DHSC
Total cases without household contact	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Vaccine_one_dos	% of population with at least one vaccine does
Vaccine_two_dos	% of population with both doses of a vaccine

	[Guidance Note: detail or reference any lease or
Lease or Licence granted (if applicable)	licence being granted by the Authority or Supplier to
	enable it to provide the service.

2. Charges and Payment

Contract Charges payable by the	
Authority (including any applicable	
discount but excluding VAT) - in	The Estimated Year 1 Charges are £105,242
accordance with Price Schedule G of the	
Framework Agreement or the outcome of	Payment Method
a further competition.	

	Monthly in arrears by BACs or alternative payment method as agreed between the Buyer and the Supplier.
Invoicing	o Electronic o Electronic and Consolidated o Other, detail below

3. TUPE Arrangements at Commencement

	No TUPE Staff Transfers
	o Both parties agree that Section 7, Part A of the
	NHS Terms and Conditions for the Provision of
	Services (Contract Version) (August 2014) shall
	apply
	TUPE Staff Transfer – In House NHS Provider
	o Both parties agree that Section 7, Parts B and D of
	the NHS Terms and Conditions for the Provision of
	Services (Contract Version) (August 2014) shall
	apply.
TUPE at commencement	
Total at commencement	TUPE Staff Transfer – Third Party Provider
	o Both parties agree that Section 7, Parts C and D of
	the NHS Terms and Conditions for the Provision of
	Services (Contract Version) (August 2014) shall
	apply.
	TUPE Staff Transfer – In House NHS and Third
	Party Provider
	o Both parties agree that Section 7, Parts B, C and D
	of the NHS Terms and Conditions for the Provision
	of Services (Contract Version) (August 2014) shall
	apply.

4. Formation of Contract

The Supplier shall enter into the Contract by returning a signed copy of this Order form (*Order form for the provision of External (Statutory) Audit Services* to the Authority.

The Contract shall be formed when the Authority acknowledges receipt of the signed copy of this Order Form (*Order form for the provision of External (Statutory) Audit Services*) to the Authority.

For and on behalf of the Supplier:

Signature	
Name and Title	
Date	29/09/2021

For and on behalf of the Authority:

Signature	
Name and Title	
Date	30/09/21