| add additional tenderers as required. | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| | |

| Tender Scoring Ma | atrix - Appendix B - 0 | CHP LTHW Heat Recovery Project – Principal Contractor & Installation Work Package | | | | | A | | В | | | С | | | D | | | E add |
|-------------------|------------------------|---|---------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------|-------|-----------------------|---------|-----------------------|--------|-------|--------------------|----------|-------|-----------------------|--------|-------|
| Category | Category Percentage | Subcategory | % of category | Overall % available | Total cost / Score | % | Notes | Total cost / Score | % Notes | Total cost / Score | % | Notes | Total cos Score | % | Notes | Total cost / Score | % | Notes |
| Cost | 50% | Contract Cost (Inverse Proportion): Cheapest quote gets 100% of the overall available. Remaining proposals get a proportion available based on comparison to cheapest proposal. | 100.00% | 50.00% | £ 40 | 50.00% | | £ 50 | 40.00% | £ 60 | 33.33% | | £ | 70 28.57 | % | £ 80 | 25.00% | |
| | | Contract Price Breakdown: A full breakdown of contract cost and costs per cost item and a schedule of standard hourly / daily and out of hours rates is provided: 0 - Not offsect 1 - Significant omissions 2 - Almor omissions 3 - Fully completed | 25.00% | 2.50% | 3.00 | 2.50% | | 2.00 | 3.75% | 1.00 | 7.50% | | 3. | 00 2.50 | % | 3.00 | 2.50% | |
| ial aspects | 10% | Payment schedule proposed by Supplier: 0 - Not acceptable 1 - Acceptable in part but some amendments required 2 - Acceptable but not as envisaged in ITT document 3 - As envisaged in ITT document | 25.00% | 2.50% | 3.00 | 2.50% | | 2.00 | 1.67% | 1.00 | 0.83% | | 3. | 00 2.50 | % | 3.00 | 2.50% | |
| Commerc | | Insurance requirements at acceptable levels (Public, Employers, Contractors All Risks, Professional Indemnity) O No details 1. Requires upilit / more details 2. Acceptable 3. Exceeds minimum levels | 25.00% | 2.50% | 3.00 | 2.50% | | 2.00 | 1.67% | 1.00 | 0.83% | | 3. | 00 2.50 | % | 3.00 | 2.50% | |
| | | Pirbright T&C Acceptance 0 - Not Accepted by the Tenderer 1 - Accepted with major changes requested by the Tenderer 2 - Accepted with major changes requested by the Tenderer 3 - Fully Accepted by Tenderer | 25.00% | 2.50% | 3.00 | 2.50% | | 2.00 | 1.67% | 1.00 | 0.83% | | 3. | 00 2.50 | % | 3.00 | 2.50% | |
| | | Quality of Proposal (how specification will be met): 0 - Very poor quality proposal 1 - Proposal / presentation of proposal is disjointed (difficult to understand 2 - Proposal of sche but technical content is limited 3 - Proposal of sche and etchnical content is Algus. 4 - Proposal of sche and etchnical content is Algus. 5 - Proposal of sche and etchnical content is Algus. | 30.00% | 12.00% | 3.00 | 12.00% | | 2.00 | 8.00% | 1.00 | 4.00% | | 3. | 00 12.00 | % | 2.00 | 8.00% | |
| | | Tender documents completed: 0 - Nex digres. 1 - 50% completed. 2 - 20% completed. 3 - 100% completed. | 5.00% | 2.00% | 3.00 | 2.00% | | 2.00 | 1.33% | 1.00 | 0.67% | | 2 | 00 1.33 | % | 2.00 | 1.33% | |
| | | Teom & Training Records: 0 - Ne data higher 1 - Limited details given 2 - Fall details given 3 - Fall details given and experience not fully relevant 3 - Fall details given and experience fully relevant. | 10.00% | 4.00% | 3.00 | 4.00% | | 2.00 | 2.67% | 1.00 | 1.33% | | 2 | 00 2.67 | % | 3.00 | 4.00% | |
| | | Cose Study 3: - Nex officer to devote 1 - Project not relevant 2 - Relevant project in a dismilar industry 3 - Relevant project in a similar industry | 10.00% | 4.00% | 3.00 | 4.00% | | 2.00 | 2.67% | 1.00 | 1.33% | | 1. | 00 1.33 | % | 2.00 | 2.67% | |
| uality aspects | 40% | Case Study 2: - Nex affers not relevant 1. Project not relevant 2. Relevant project in a dismillar industry 3. Relevant project in a similar industry | 10.00% | 4.00% | 3.00 | 4.00% | | 2.00 | 2.67% | 1.00 | 1.33% | | 2 | 00 2.67 | % | 1.00 | 1.33% | |
| Technical / Q | | Case Study 3: - Net office of the second of the sec | 10.00% | 4.00% | 3.00 | 4.00% | | 2.00 | 2.67% | 1.00 | 1.33% | | 2 | 00 2.67 | % | 1.00 | 1.33% | |
| | | ISO/9001 Accreditation (Quality): - Insex / and figure dystrantive system and fully relevant 1. Alternative system 2 160 9002 to not highly relevant or fully relevant alternative system 3 150/9002 to fully relevant or fully relevant alternative system | 2.00% | 0.80% | 3.00 | 0.80% | | 2.00 | 0.53% | 1.00 | 0.27% | | 2 | 00 0.53 | % | 1.00 | 0.27% | |
| | | ISO14001 Accreditation (Environment): 0 - Name / not offered / elementure system and fully relevant 2 - 150 - 1401 but and fully relevant of fully relevant elementure system 3 - 150 14001 but not fully relevant or fully relevant elementure system 3 - 150 14001 fully relevant | 2.00% | 0.80% | 3.00 | 0.80% | | 2.00 | 0.53% | 1.00 | 0.27% | | 2. | 00 0.53 | % | 1.00 | 0.27% | |
| | | ISO45001 / 8518001 Accreditation (Safety): 0 - Name for all glered / alternative system and fully relevant 2 - 1504 0310 tare fully relevant attendable system 3 - 1501 31 fully relevant 4 - 1501 31 fully relevant. | 6.00% | 2.40% | 3.00 | 2.40% | | 2.00 | 1.60% | 1.00 | 0.80% | | 3. | 00 2.40 | % | 3.00 | 2.40% | |
| | | Example RAMS: - Net offerd 1 - Poor - Acceptable 3 - Good | 15.00% | 6.00% | 3.00 | 6.00% | | 2.00 | | 1.00 | | | 3. | | | 1.00 | 2.00% | |
| | 100.00% | • | | 100.00% | | 100.00% | | | 75.42% | | 56.67% | | | 70.70 | % | | 58.60% | |

100.00%