# Crown Commercial Service

#### **OFFICIAL**

## Appendix D – Response Guidance Study for National Infrastructure Commission: Infrastructure and digital systems resilience

Contract Reference: CCCC17A21

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This document provides an overview of the methodology which will be adopted by the Authority and its Agent to evaluate Potential Provider responses to each question set out within the e-Sourcing event. It also sets out the marking scheme which will apply.
- 1.2 The following information has been provided in relation to each question (where applicable):
  - 1.2.1 Weighting highlights the relative importance of the question
  - 1.2.2 Guidance sets out information for the Potential Providers to consider
  - 1.2.3 Marking Scheme details the marks available to evaluators during evaluation
- 1.3 The defined terms used in the ITT document shall apply to this document.

#### 2 OVERVIEW

2.1 The e-Sourcing event is broken down into the following Questionnaires:

Questionnaire Reference	Questionnaire Title
1	KEY PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS
2	CONFLICTS OF INTEREST
3	INFORMATION ONLY
4	CAPABILITY
5	SERVICE DELIVERY
6	APPROACH
7	PRICE
8	PRESENTATION

2.2 Quality Evaluation Process

**OFFICIAL** 



## Appendix D – Response Guidance Study for National Infrastructure Commission: Infrastructure and digital systems resilience Contract Reference: CCCC17A21

- 2.2.1 The evaluation of each response to the Quality/Service Delivery Questionnaire(s) will be conducted and consensus checked in accordance with the Consensus Marking Procedure set out in paragraph 2.3 below.
- 2.2.2 Each response to questions within the Quality/Service Delivery Questionnaire(s) will be marked in accordance with the table below:

Mark	Comment				
0	Failed to provide confidence that the proposal will meet the requirements. An				
	unacceptable response with serious reservations.				
25	A Poor response with reservations. The response lacks convincing detail with				
	risk that the proposal will not be successful in meeting all the requirements.				
50	Meets the requirements – the response generally meets the requirements, but				
	lacks sufficient detail to warrant a higher mark.				
75	A Good response that meets the requirements with good supporting evidence.				
	Demonstrates good understanding.				
100	An Excellent comprehensive response that meets the requirements. Indicates an				
	excellent response with detailed supporting evidence and no weaknesses				
	resulting in a high level of confidence.				

- 2.2.3 Each mark achieved will be multiplied by the corresponding weighting to provide an overall question score.
- 2.2.4 When the score for each question has been determined they will be added together to provide an overall score for the Quality Evaluation ("Quality Score").
- 2.3 Consensus Marking Procedure
  - 2.3.1 Tenders that are scored and require evaluation will be evaluated in accordance with the procedure described in this paragraph.
  - 2.3.2 The Consensus Marking Procedure is a two-step process, comprising of:
    - 2.3.2.1 Independent evaluation; and
    - 2.3.2.2 Group consensus marking.
  - 2.3.3 During the independent evaluation process, each evaluator will separately (i.e. without conferring with other evaluators) scrutinise the quality of answers given by

**OFFICIAL** 

# Crown Commercial

Service

#### **OFFICIAL**

## Appendix D – Response Guidance Study for National Infrastructure Commission: Infrastructure and digital systems resilience Contract Reference: CCCC17A21

Potential Providers in their Tender. Each evaluator will then allocate a mark for the answer in accordance with the Marking Scheme applicable to that question.

- 2.3.4 The Agent will review the marks allocated by the individual evaluators before facilitating a group consensus marking meeting.
- 2.3.5 During the meeting, the evaluators will discuss the independent marks until they reach a consensus regarding the marks that should be attributed to each Potential Providers' answer to the questions.
- 2.3.6 Once all quality responses have been evaluated in accordance with Section 8 of the Invitation to Tender the individual scores attributed to each response will be added together to provide a 'Quality Score'.

#### 2.4 Price Evaluation Process

- 2.4.1 Prices submitted by Potential Providers' in the Price Schedule will be recorded and evaluated in accordance with the following process.
- 2.4.2 Potential Providers' are required to submit a completed pricing schedule against the 'Price' Questionnaire within the e-Sourcing event.
- 2.4.3 Prices offered will be evaluated against the range of prices submitted by all Potential Providers for that item.
- 2.4.4 The Potential Provider with the lowest price for the requirement shall be awarded the Maximum Score Available. The remaining Potential Providers shall be awarded a percentage of the Maximum Score Available equal to their price, relative to the lowest price submitted.
- 2.4.5 The calculation used is the following:
- 2.4.6 = <u>Lowest Price Tendered</u> x Maximum Score Available Tender price

Potential Provider	Price Submitted	Score Calculation	Maximum Score Available	Score Awarded
Potential	£1,000	£1,000/£1,000	100	100
Provider A		*100		

**OFFICIAL** 



# Appendix D – Response Guidance Study for National Infrastructure Commission: Infrastructure and digital systems resilience

Contract Reference: CCCC17A21

Potential	£2,000	£1,000/£2,000	100	50
Provider B		*100		
Potential	£2,500	£1,000/£2,500	100	40
Provider C		*100		

#### 2.5 Final score

- 2.5.1 The Quality Score achieved at Stage One (1) assessment of the written bids will be added to the Price Score to determine a ranking for each Potential Provider ("Stage One Score").
- 2.5.2 The Stage One Score shall act as a gateway for progression to Stage Two (2). The highest three (3) ranked Potential Providers will be invited to participate in the Stage Two (2) evaluation. In the event of a tie between two or more Potential Providers for third place in the Stage One (1) evaluation, then more than three Potential Providers will be invited to participate in the Stage Two (2) evaluation.
- 2.5.3 For the Potential Providers invited to participate at Stage Two (2), the Award decision shall be based upon the combined scores achieved by those Potential Providers at Stage One (1) and Stage Two (2). The weighting for the Award decision following Stage Two (2) will be as follows:

Stage	Max Score	Weighting (%)
Stage One (1)	100	60%
Stage Two (2)	100	40%
Overall	100	100%

#### 3 **EVALUATION CRITERIA**

3.1 A summary of all the questions contained within the e-Sourcing event, along with; the minimum acceptable score, maximum score available and weighting (where applicable) are set out below:

**OFFICIAL** 

# Crown Commercial Service

#### **OFFICIAL**

## Appendix D – Response Guidance Study for National Infrastructure Commission: Infrastructure and digital systems resilience Contract Reference: CCCC17A21

- 3.2 Questionnaires 1 and 2 contain 'Pass/Fail' questions and act as a doorway for progression to the following stages of the evaluation. Potential Providers are strongly advised to read and understand the specific guidance provided before responding to these questionnaires.
- 3.3 Questionnaire 3 is for information only. Although this questionnaire does not form part of the evaluation process, Potential Providers are advised to complete it in full as any omissions could affect the award process.
- 3.4 The Authority and its Agent reserve the right to challenge any information provided in response to Questionnaire 3 and request further information in support of any statements made therein.

QUESTIONNAIRE 1 – KEY PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS				
GUIDANCE	The following questions are 'Pass/Fail' questions. If Potential Providers are unwilling or unable to answer "Yes", their submission will be deemed non-compliant and shall be rejected. Potential Providers should confirm their answer by selecting the appropriate option from the drop down menu.			
Question Number	Question	Max Score	Weighting (%)	
1.1	Have you read, understood and agree with Appendix A, Terms of Participation? By answering "Yes", you are confirming your 'Declaration of Compliance' at Annex 1 of Appendix A, Terms of Participation.	Pass/Fail	N/A	
1.2	Have you read, understood and accepted the Invitation to Tender and all associated appendices, specifically Appendix B, Statement of Requirement?	Pass/Fail	N/A	
1.3	Do you agree, without caveats or limitations, that in the event that you are successful the Draft Crown Commercial Service Terms and Conditions within Appendix C will govern the provision of this contract?	Pass/Fail	N/A	
1.4	Do you confirm your Organisation's e-Sourcing suite profile is complete and accurate at the time of Tendering and that any amendments made following acceptance of this event will be notified to the buyer in writing?	Pass/Fail	N/A	

**OFFICIAL** 



Appendix D – Response Guidance Study for National Infrastructure Commission: Infrastructure and digital systems resilience Contract Reference: CCCC17A21

QUESTIONNAIRE 2 – CONFLICTS OF INTEREST				
GUIDANCE	Question 2.1 is a 'Yes/No' question and will dictate whether or not question 2.2 needs to be answered.  Question 2.2 is a Pass / Fail question. Potential Providers are required to provide details of how the identified conflict will be mitigated. The Contracting Authority will review the mitigation in line with the perceived conflict of interest, to determine what level of risk this poses to them. Therefore if Potential Providers cannot or are unwilling to suitably demonstrate that they have suitable safeguards to mitigate any risk then their Tender will be deemed non-compliant and will be rejected.			
Question Number	Question	Max Score	Weighting	
		max coord	(%)	
2.1	Please confirm whether you have any potential, actual or perceived conflicts of interest that may by relevant to this requirement.	None	(%) N/A	

QUESTIONNAIRE 3 – INFORMATION ONLY			
GUIDANCE	The following questions are for information only and do not form part of the evaluation. Information provided in response to these questions may be used in preparation of any Contract Award and any omissions may delay completion of this Tender exercise.		
Question Number	Question	Max Score	Weighting (%)
3.1	Please provide the name, office address, telephone number and email address for your organisations  Tender point of contract.	None	N/A

**OFFICIAL** 



## Appendix D – Response Guidance Study for National Infrastructure Commission: Infrastructure and digital systems resilience Contract Reference: CCCC17A21

3.2	Please confirm whether your organisation is an SME as defined within <u>EU recommendation 2003/361</u>	None	N/A
3.3	Please provide details of any sub-contractors you propose to use in order to meet your obligations should you be awarded a Contract. Your response must include their:  Trading Name(s) Registered Address(es) and contact details Goods/Services to be provided	None	N/A
3.4	If you are the Lead contact for a Group of Economic Operators, please provide details of all the members of the Group. Your response must include their:  Trading Names(s) Registered address(es) Dunns Number(s) Role/responsibility within the Group	None	N/A

- 3.5 The following Quality/Service Delivery Questionnaires are designed to test Potential Providers' ability to deliver the requirement as set out in Appendix B, Statement of Requirements. Potential Providers *MUST* answer all Quality/Service Delivery questions.
- 3.6 Where only one (1) submission is received which does not meet the minimum acceptable Overall Quality Score of 50, the Authority reserves the right to enter into dialogue and seek assurances regarding the delivery of the requirement.
- 3.7 Potential Providers are able to provide attachments against each question. Question text fields must be populated with detailed references to relevant attachments or sections within their attachments.
- 3.8 Potential Providers' responses must clearly demonstrate how they propose to meet the requirements set out in the question and address each element in the order they are asked.

**OFFICIAL** 

# Commercial

Service

#### **OFFICIAL**

# Appendix D – Response Guidance Study for National Infrastructure Commission: Infrastructure and digital systems resilience

- Contract Reference: CCCC17A21
- 3.9 Potential Providers' responses should be limited to, and focused on each of the component parts of the question posed. They should refrain from making generalised statements and providing information not relevant to the topic.
- 3.10 Whilst there will be no marks given to layout, spelling, punctuation and grammar, it will assist evaluators if attention is paid to these areas including identifying key sections within responses.
- 3.11 Potential providers will be marked in accordance with the marking scheme at Section 2.
- 3.12 Answers to Questionnaires 4, 5 and 6 below should be provided via attachment. The maximum word count for answering these three Questionnaires is 6,000 words in total (i.e. not per question), including titles and paragraph headings, and answers to these three Questionnaires should be no longer than 15 pages in total (note that these word and page limits do not apply to CVs). This word count must not be exceeded and any text which is in excess of this limit shall be disregarded and shall not be considered in the evaluation process. Attachments should be submitted in Microsoft Word, Excel. PDF format, and be in Arial font size 11.

QUESTIONNAIRE 4 – CAPABILITY			Weigh	ting – 40 %
	All Potential Providers MUST answer ALL the following questions			
Question	Question	Minimum	Maximum	Weighting
Number		Acceptable	Available	100%
		Score	Score	
4.1	Potential providers should provide CVs	N/A	100	40%
	of the people (maximum 2 sides per			
	person) who would be working on,			
	quality controlling and overseeing the			
	project along with an organisational			
	chart detailing the structure of the			
	delivery team. As set out in Section 6.5			
	of Appendix B, this should either include			
	academics (with a relevant background			

**OFFICIAL** 



## Appendix D – Response Guidance Study for National Infrastructure Commission: Infrastructure and digital systems resilience Contract Reference: CCCC17A21

	e.g. in engineering, computer science and/or social science) – or how this expertise will be accessed during the project.			
	CVs will be evaluated against the skills and experience relevant to the requirement as detailed in Appendix B – Statement of Requirement.  This should be provided via an attachment.			
	attacriment.			
4.2	Potential providers should set out details of their experience and understanding of infrastructure, digital systems and the relevant literature (e.g. on normal accidents and high reliability organisations), and relate this to the particular challenges the NIC is seeking to address – set out in Appendix B – Statement of Requirements.	N/A	100	60%
	This should be provided via an attachment.			

QUESTIONNAIRE 5 – SERVICE DELIVERY			Weigh	ting – 10 %
	All Potential Providers MUST answer Al	ng questions	•	
Question Number	Question	Minimum Acceptable	Maximum Available	Weighting 100%
. 13.11501		Score	Score	.5376

**OFFICIAL** 



Appendix D – Response Guidance Study for National Infrastructure Commission: Infrastructure and digital systems resilience Contract Reference: CCCC17A21

5.1	Potential providers should provide information about their organisation's approach to demonstrate their ability to deliver the workstreams set out in Appendix B Statement of Requirements. This should also cover:  • Details of any support staff if applicable; and • Details of any sub-contracting entities if applicable. The nature of the sub-contracting relationship(s) and how long it has been in place, together with examples of successful projects should also be provided.	N/A	100	100%
	This should be provided via an attachment.			

QUESTIO	NNAIRE 6 – APPROACH		Weigh	ting – 40 %
	All Potential Providers MUST answer Al	L the following	ng questions	3
Question Number	Question	Minimum Acceptable Score	Maximum Available Score	Weighting 100%
6.1	Potential providers should set out their proposed approach to, and outline project plan for, delivering against the workstreams set out in Appendix B – Statement of Requirements. This should	N/A	100	40%

**OFFICIAL** 



# Appendix D - Response Guidance Study for National Infrastructure Commission: Infrastructure and digital systems resilience

Contract Reference: CCCC17A21

	cover the approach they would take to project planning and management.  This should be provided via an attachment.			
6.2	Potential providers should set out their proposed approach to, and outline project plan for, delivering against the workstreams set out in Appendix B – Statement of Requirements. This should cover the approach they would take to develop their understanding of the issue and the relevant data and arguments.  This should be provided via an attachment.	N/A	100	30%
6.3	Potential providers should set out their proposed approach to, and outline project plan for, delivering against the workstreams set out in Appendix B – Statement of Requirements. This should cover the sources of data, research and expertise that they would envisage using to inform their advice and how they would present their conclusions.  This should be provided via an attachment.	N/A	100	30%

**OFFICIAL** 



Appendix D – Response Guidance Study for National Infrastructure Commission: Infrastructure and digital systems resilience Contract Reference: CCCC17A21

QUESTION	NAIRE 7 – PRICE	Weighting – 10 %	
GUIDANCE	Potential Providers must enter costs within the relevant Bid Fields or upload the price schedule at the question level on the e-Sourcing event.		
	Prices should be submitted in pounds Sterling inclusive of any expenses but exclusive of VAT.		
	Potential Providers will be marked in accordance with the marking scheme at Section 2.		
Question Number	Question	Max Score	
7.1	Please confirm, by selecting 'YES' that you have entered costs within the relevant Bid Fields or attached a completed Price Schedule to the response to this question. In so doing, you are also confirming that prices offered are inclusive of any expenses, exclusive of VAT and firm for a period of 90 days following the Deadline for Submission.	100	

#### 4 **STAGE TWO**

QUESTIONNAIRE 8 – PRESENTATION	Weighting – 100%

Potential Providers who are taken through to Stage Two (2) will be asked to present to - and answer questions from - a Commission panel on the following issues (building on information provided in Stage One (1))

**OFFICIAL** 

Appendix D - Response Guidance Kevin Giles



# Appendix D – Response Guidance Study for National Infrastructure Commission: Infrastructure and digital systems resilience

Contract Reference: CCCC17A21

Potential Providers should demonstrate their ability to clearly and succinctly present the information required to a senior but non-technical panel. Presentations should be no longer than 30 minutes (excluding any panel questions).

Question Number	Question	Minimum Acceptable Score	Maximum Available Score	Weighting 100%
8.1	Potential Providers should provide a detailed proposal of their approach to the project in terms of the organisation of staff and resources.	N/A	100	30%
8.2	Potential Providers should provide a detailed proposal in terms of their approach to tackling the project questions and issues (building on answers you provided in Stage One (1)).	N/A	100	30%
8.3	Potential Providers should provide a detailed proposal in terms of their expected outcome of the project (which the panel will briefly critique).	N/A	100	40%

4.1.1 The weighting for the Award decision following Stage Two (2) will be as follows:

Stage	Max Score	Weighting (%)
Stage One (1)	100	60%
Stage Two (2)	100	40%

**OFFICIAL** 



Appendix D – Response Guidance Study for National Infrastructure Commission: Infrastructure and digital systems resilience Contract Reference: CCCC17A21

Overall	100	100%

OFFICIAL