
INSTRUCTION FOR QUOTATION

RE-LEVELLING OF

KENNINGTON PARK SKATE BOWL

THE CLIENT

The Mayor & Burgess of the London Borough of Lambeth
Lambeth Town Hall
London SW2 1RW

PROJECT MANAGER

Caroline Streeks
Civic Centre
Brixton Hill
London, SW2 1RW

June 2022

PROJECT OUTLINE

The London Borough of Lambeth in co-development with Friends of Kennington Park propose to renovate the current Skate bowl located within Kennington Park, with the purpose of providing a safe and accessible facility.

The skate bowl was designed and installed in Kennington Park in 1978, part of the revolutionary design known as “Radical Banking”, which used a system of pre-cast concrete banked and flat-slab elements to create a skateable bowl.

Structural examination has found that the foundations for the bowl, though needing founding at a deeper level; were unfortunately set on shallow concrete pads and in some cases seated on an asphalt base. This has meant that over the years the slabs have differentially settled and become mis-aligned. In 2012 an attempt to repair and add to the existing facility was made, with a nominal concrete skim to the top with some new additional skate ramps. Whilst this temporarily dealt with the gaps between the units from differential settlement, this has now cracked leaving the facility in a worst state of repair than before. Causing issues with drainage and cracks in the joints and un-level surface. The original concrete slabs had moved exposing many large gaps between the slabs. Due to the issues posed with health and safety the bowl was subsequently closed to the public.

The intention now is to renovate the bowl and bring it back into normal use. This is proposed in the tender info through re-levelling the park and supporting it on screw piles.

To clarify how much the park had moved, the first stage was to remove the concrete skim coat and the additional ramps to take a topographical survey. This will inform the extent of re-levelling required to return the facility to its original state.

Documentation Provided by Council

1. All the documentation necessary to submit this quotation are set out in this brief and the following appendices:

- A. Specification package**
- B. Method Statements**
- C. Pricing Document**
- D. Topographical survey**
- E. Structural Engineer’s Report**
- F. Tree assessment**
- G. Location Map**
- H. Sustainability Policy**

2. The deadline for any clarifications is **27th June 2022**. All queries should be submitted to Caroline Streeks (cstreeks@lambeth.gov.uk) by this deadline.

Submission by Contractor

3. Your proposals should be set out in the method statement and pricing document and returned to Caroline Streeks by **12 Noon 4th July 2022**.

Criteria for Evaluation

4. Your submission will be evaluated by an evaluation panel. The evaluation will be based on Price: 70% and Quality 30%.

Method Statement

5. Providers are invited to submit their proposal based on the questions set out in Appendix B - Method Statements. Each question will be scored in accordance with Table 1 – Scoring Methodology.

Information Requirements

6. Please ensure your method statements are provided in Ariel Font Size 11. Please limit your responses to:

Question 1 – 2 sides of A4

Question 2 – 1 side of A4

Question 3 – 1 side of A4

Question 4 – 1 side of A4

Question 5 - 1 side of A4

- The council reserves the right to challenge any information provided in response to the RFQ and request further information in support of any statements made therein.
- Potential Providers' responses must clearly demonstrate how they propose to meet the requirements set out in the question and address each element in the order they are asked.
- Potential Providers' responses should be limited to and focused on each of the component parts of the question posed. They should refrain from making generalized statements and providing information not relevant to the topic.
- Whilst there will be no marks given to layout, spelling, punctuation and grammar, it will assist evaluators if attention is paid to these areas including identifying key sections within responses.

Table 1 – Scoring Methodology

0	Failed to address the question/issue.
1	An unfavourable response/answer/solution. There is limited or poor evidence of skill/experience sought; a high risk that relevant skills will not be available.
2	Less than acceptable. The response/answer/solution/information lacks convincing evidence of skill/experience sought; lack of real understanding of requirement or evidence of ability to deliver; medium risk that relevant skills or requirement will not be available.
3	Acceptable response/answer/solution/information to the particular aspect of the requirement; evidence has been given of skill/experience sought.
4	Above acceptable – response/answer/solution/information demonstrates real understanding of the requirement and evidence of ability to meet it (based on good experience of the specific provision required or relevant experience of comparable service or supply).
5	Excellent – response/answer/solution provides real confidence based on experience of the service or supply provision required. Response indicates that the supplier will add real value to the organisation with excellent skills and a deep understanding of the service or supply requested.

Price Submission

7. The Council is seeking an itemised submission in accordance with the deliverables set out in Appendix C – Pricing Submission. The pricing submission should assume and include all disbursements and costs associated with the re-levelling works.
8. Price proposals should include the requirements and standards as set out in Appendix A – The Specification.

Pricing considerations

9. For price, each submission will be assessed on the total cost using the following equation:

$$\text{Calculated : Price Score} = \frac{\text{Total Available Marks}}{\left[\frac{\text{Lowest Priced Technically \& Commercially Compliant Tender}}{\text{Tendered price}} \right]}$$

10. The Quality Score will be added to the Price Score to determine the Final score. The Council will select a supplier on a most economically advantageous tender.

Timescales

Milestones	Dates
Advertisement submitted on Contracts Finder	10 th June 2022
Clarification Period on Quotation Document	Noon on 27 th June 2022
Deadline for Submission of Quotation by Providers	Noon on 4 th July 2022
Evaluation of Quotation Responses	5 th July – 7 th July 2022
Appointment of Successful Provider	8 th July 2022
Start on Site	TBC

Please note that the above timetable is indicative, and dates may vary.