**Serapis Tasking Form**

**Tasking Form Part 1:** *(to be completed by the Authority’s Project Manager)*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **To:** | Lot 1 Roke Manor Research Ltd | **From:** | The Authority |
| Any Task placed as a result of your quotation will be subject to the Terms and Conditions of Framework Agreement Number:LOT 1 DSTL/AGR/SERAPIS/COL/01  |
| **VERSION CONTROL** |
| 1.0 |
| **REQUIREMENT**  |
| **Proposal Required by:** | NLT 29 Apr 22 | **Task ID Number:**  | [XXX] |
| **The Authority Project Manager:** | REDACTED | **The Authority Technical Point of Contact:** | REDACTED  |
| **Task Title:** | Project ZODIAC Beta |
| **Required Start Date:** | 27/05/2022 | **Required End Date:** |  31/04/2024 |
| **Requisition No:** |  61392466 | **Budget Range** |  REDACTED inc VAT |
| **TASK DESCRIPTION AND SPECIFICATION**   |
| **Serapis Framework Lot** |  [x]  Lot 1: Collect [ ]  Lot 2: Space systems [ ]  Lot 3: Decide  [ ]  Lot 4: Assured information infrastructure [ ]  Lot 5: Synthetic environment and simulation [ ]  Lot 6: Understand |
| **Statement of Requirements (SOR)**The primary objective for the ZODIAC BETA project is to deliver evidence to support the Outline Business Case submission and inform future development of an operational capability through the following Key Outcomes:1. ZODIAC Technical Demonstrator System (Tech-Demo System)
2. Test and Validation of ZODIAC System Architecture (Validate System)
3. Evidence Contributions for OBC (OBC Evidence)
4. Further Risk Reduction (Risk Reduction)
5. Technical Assurance (Tech Assurance)
6. US/UK Interoperability (US/UK interop)
7. Update to ZODIAC Technical Documentation Set (Tech Doc Update)
8. Initial Design Authority & Integration Services (Integration Office)

For more detail, please enclosed: Project ZODIAC Beta SoR, dated 8 Apr 22 |
| **Procurement Strategy**[x]  Lot Lead to recommend [ ] Single Source / Direct Award (Direct Award to Roke approved by SRO 22/6/21) |
| **Pricing:**[ ]  Firm Pricing [ ]  Ascertained Costs\* [x]  Other\* Time and Materials Firm Pricing shall be in accordance with DEFCON 127 and DEFCON 643 Ascertained Costs shall be in accordance with DEFCON 653 or DEFCON 802.\*only at Authority’s discretion |
| **Task IP Conditions**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Task IP Conditions** (Follow the [NIPPY](https://wikid.o.dstl.gov.uk/o/Defining_IP_Requirements_using_the_NIPPY_process) guide to identify your information and IP requirements for each deliverable) | **Summary of the Authority’s rights in foreground IP (IP generated by the supplier in performance of the contract)** |
| DEFCON 703  [x]     | Vests ownership with the Authority |
| DEFCON 705 Full Rights  [ ]  | Enables MOD to share in confidence as GFI or IRC under certain types of agreements.Can be shared in confidence within UK Government. |
| OTHER IP DEFCONS: 14\*  [ ] , 15\*  [ ] , 16\*  [ ] , 90\*  [ ] , 91\*  [ ] , 126\*  [ ]  | Generally only suitable for deliverables at TRL 6 and above. |
| BESPOKE IP Clause [ ]  \* | Details to be added and agreed by IP Group |
| \* Do not use without IPG advice and approval  |
| *Please state in this text box if MOD or the customer has a requirement a) that one or more Other Government Departments is able to share confidentially with their own suppliers, b) to publish but you do not think there is a requirement to own or control the deliverable, or c) to share under a procurement\* Memorandum of Understanding (MOU).* *If any of these three issues applies, please contact IPG for advice before completing this form. \*Listing research MOUs is not required, but can be a helpful courtesy to the supplier.* |

 |
| **DELIVERABLES** - To be read in conjunction with the SOR

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Ref | Title | Due by | Format | TRL | **Expected classification (subject to change)** | **Information required in deliverable** | IPR DEFCON |
| B1.1  | Technical Demonstrator System Instance - LSRC | 30 June 2022 | Hardware | 4 | OFFICIAL SENSITIVE (up to SECRET when connected to SECRET networks) | Release Certificate | 703 |
| B1.2 | Technical Demonstrator System Instance – Exercise-Deployable (PC’22) | 10 August 2022 | Hardware | 5 | OFFICIAL SENSITIVE (up to SECRET when connected to SECRET networks) | Release Certificate | 703 |
| B1.3 | Technical Demonstrator Preliminary Design Review (PDR) & Data/Presentation Pack | 30 May 2022 | Documents (.docx, .xlsx, .pdf, .pptx, .archimate) | N/A | OFFICIAL SENSITIVE | Demonstrator Use Cases (Initial)Demonstrator Objectives and Requirements (Initial)Demonstrator Solution Architecture and High-Level Design (Initial)Supporting slide-deck for review by stakeholders. | 703 |
| B1.4 | Technical Demonstrator Critical Design Review (CDR) & Data/Presentation Pack | 30 June 2022 | Documents(.docx, .pdf, .xlsx, .pptx, .archimate) | N/A | OFFICIAL SENSITIVE | Demonstrator Use Cases (Fixed)Demonstrator Objectives and Requirements (Fixed)Demonstrator Solution Architecture and High-Level Design (Fixed)Demonstrator Concept Definition (Initial)Demonstrator ICD (Initial)Demonstrator Test Plan (Initial)Supporting slide-deck for review by stakeholders. | 703 |
| B1.5 | Technical Demonstrator LSRC Test Readiness Review (TRR-1) & Data/Presentation Pack | 30 July 2022 | Documents(.docx, .pdf, .xlsx, .pptx, .archimate) | N/A | OFFICIAL SENSITIVE | Demonstrator Concept Definition (LSRC - Fixed)Demonstrator ICD (LSRC - Fixed)Demonstrator Test Plan (LSRC - Fixed)LSRC Experiment Plan & Config (Fixed)Supporting slide-deck for review by stakeholders. | 703 |
| B1.6 | Technical Demonstrator PC’22 Test Readiness Review (TRR-2) & Data/Presentation Pack | 30 Aug 2022 | Documents(.docx, .pdf, .xlsx, .pptx, .archimate) | N/A | OFFICIAL SENSITIVE | Demonstrator Concept Definition (PC22 - Fixed)Demonstrator ICD (PC22 - Fixed)Demonstrator Test Plan (PC22 - Fixed)PC22 Experiment Plan & Config (Fixed)Supporting slide-deck for review by stakeholders. | 703 |
| B2.1 | LSRC Experiment Report with Evidence Annexes. | 15 Sep 2022 | Documents(.docx, .pdf, .xlsx, .pptx) | N/A | OFFICIAL SENSITIVE | Results, conclusions and recommendations from LSRC experimentData supporting Operational AnalysisData supporting Benefits AnalysisData supporting Test and Validation of ZODIAC System Architecture.Data supporting Technical De-riskingTechnical/User lessons learned | 703 |
| B3.1 | PC22 Expermiment Report with Evidence Annexes | 10 Jan 2023 | Documents(.docx, .pdf, .xlsx, .pptx) | N/A | OFFICIAL SENSITIVE | Results, conclusions and recommendations from PC22 experimentData supporting UK/US InteroperabilityData supporting Technical De-riskingTechnical/User lessons learned | 703 |
| B4.1 | Technical Assurance Summary report | 15 Sep 2022 | Document(.docx, .pdf) | N/A | OFFICIAL SENSITIVE | Technical Assurance Summary | 703 |
| B5.1 | UK/US Interoperablity Report | 15 Sep 2022 | Document (.docx, .pdf) | N/A | OFFICIAL SENSITIVE | Interoperability objectivesInteroperability planInteroperability test pointsInteroperability test results and lessons learned | 703 |
| B6.1 | ZODIAC Technical Documentation Set Update | 30 Mar 2023 | Documents(.docx, .pdf, .xlsx, .pptx, .archimate) | N/A | OFFICIAL SENSITIVE | ZODIAC Requirements Set ZODIAC System Joining RulesZODIAC Architecture updateZODIAC Release Pipeline concept & high-level design | 703 |
| B7.1 | Design Authority & Integration Services Monthly Report | Monthly | Documents(.docx, .pdf, .xlsx, .pptx) | N/A | OFFICIAL SENSITIVE | Monthly update on progress, status and plans. |  |

 |
| **DELIVERABLE: ACCEPTANCE / REJECTION CRITERIA**Unless otherwise stated below, Standard Deliverable Acceptance / Rejection applies. This is 30 business days, in accordance with DEFCON 524 Rejection, and DEFCON 525 Acceptance.**Standard Deliverable Acceptance / Rejection:-**Yes [x]  (DEFCON 524 Rejection, and DEFCON 525 Acceptance)No [ ]  (if no, please state details of applicable criteria below)**Deliverable Acceptance / Rejection Criteria:-***If there are any other specific acceptance/rejection criteria you would like to apply to any of the deliverables, please state them here.* |
| **Government Furnished Assets (GFA)****ISSUE OF EQUIPMENT/RESOURCES/INFORMATION/FACILITIES –** Under best endeavours, Army aim to support the requirement for:Shared IT working areaAccess to UsersAccess to test facilitiesAccess to equipment and personell needed to deliver experimentation in LSRC and PC22, to include:* TacCIS network and gateways configured for experimentation.
* OpCIS network and gateways configured for experimentation.
* Sensors systems (Puma, Watchkeeper) configured for experimentation.

Requests for GFA documentation will be actioned on a case by case / need to know basis |
| **QUALITY STANDARDS** [x]  **ISO9001**  (Quality Management Systems)[ ]  **ISO14001** (Environment Management Systems)[ ]  **ISO12207** (Systems and software engineering — software life cycle)[ ]  **TickITPlus**  (Integrated approach to software and IT development)[ ]  **Other:**  (Please specify in free text below) |
| **SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THE WORK** **The highest classification of this SOR**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| OFFICIAL | [ ]  | OFFICIAL-SENSITIVE | [x]  | SECRET | [ ]  | TOP SECRET | [ ]  | STRAP | [ ]  | SAP | [ ]  |

**The highest expected classification of the work carried out by the contractor**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| OFFICIAL | [ ]  | OFFICIAL-SENSITIVE | [ ]  | SECRET | [x]  | TOP SECRET | [ ]  | STRAP | [ ]  | SAP | [ ]  |

**The highest expected classification of Deliverables/Output**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| OFFICIAL | [ ]  | OFFICIAL-SENSITIVE | [x]  | SECRET | [ ]  | TOP SECRET | [ ]  | STRAP | [ ]  | SAP | [ ]  |

**Is a Security Aspects Letter (SAL) required?** *(A Security Aspects Letter (SAL) will be required for each Task above Official-Sensitive and above)*Yes [x]  No [ ]   |
| **TASK CYBER RISK ASSESSMENT**. *(In accordance with* [*DEF STAN 05-138*](http://dstan.uwh.diif.r.mil.uk/standards/defstans/05/138/00000100.pdf) *and the* [Risk Assessment Workflow](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/supplier-cyber-protection-service-risk-assessment-workflow))

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Cyber Risk Level | LOW |
| Risk Assessment Reference | RAR-738955483 |

 |
| **ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS APPLICABLE TO THIS CONTRACT** **DEFCON 5J (Edn 18/11/16) – Unique Identifiers****DEFCON 522 (Edn 11/17) – Payment and Recovery of Sums Dues** |

**Please ensure all completed forms are copied to** **DSTLSERAPIS@dstl.gov.uk** **when sending to the Lot Lead.**

**Tasking Form Part 2:** *(To be completed by the Lot Lead)*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| To: | The Authority | From: | The Lot Lead |
|

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Proposal Reference** | **25951 SERAPIS Lot 1 Roke Tasking Form - Project ZODIAC Beta V2, dated 04 May 2022** | **(attached)** |

**The following Annexes are deemed to form part of this Proposal:****Annex A – Commercial Letter (Ref:** **BU02/GE/25951/Beta V2 dated 04 May 2022)****Annex B – Technical Proposal (Ref: 72-22-P-139-R, Issue 02-000 dated May 2022)****Annex C – Land ISTAR Integration Office Concept Note (V1.0 dated 29 April 2022)****Annex D – Risks, Assumptions, Dependencies and Opportunities, dated May 2022****Annex E - 703473452 ZODIAC BETA DINFOCOM 0218 - Low Cyber Risk Profile****Delivery of the requirement:** **The proposal shall include, but not be limited to:*** A full technical proposal that meets the individual activities that are detailed in Statement of Requirements (Part 1 to Tasking Form).

**Please see attached at Annex B.** * Breakdown of individual Deliverables, with corresponding Intellectual Property rights applied.

**Roke’s Proposal aligns to the deliverables in Part 1 of the Task Form and statement of requirements for the Zodiac BETA phase.*** Breakdown of Interim Milestone Payments, with corresponding due dates.

**This Proposal is made on a Time and Materials basis, with payment in arrears of monthly milestones based on actual effort expended and costs incurred during the previous month**.**It has been agreed that this Task shall be delivered on a Time and Materials basis, therefore any cost breakdowns included within the Tasking Form are indicative only.****Due to the agile nature of the Task, no milestone information or Annex A breakdown have been provided.****At each monthly meeting, Roke and the Authority shall agree the milestone payment based on the previous months effort and the Authority shall upload an Order to CP&F such that Roke can submit its corresponding invoice. Payment terms of 30 (thirty) days apply from submission of invoice.****Roke respectfully requests that the uploading of the Authority’s Order shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed.*** A work breakdown structure/project plan with key dates and deliverables identified.

**Please refer to Section 6.2 and Figure 3 of the Technical Proposal at Annex B.*** A list of required Government Furnished Assets from the Authority, including required delivery dates.

**Any GFA/GFE/GFI/GFX required in addition to that shown within Part 1 of this Tasking Form and within Roke’s Proposal, shall be requested on a case by case basis.*** A clear identification of Dependencies, Assumptions, Risks and Exclusions which underpin your Technical Proposal.

**Dependencies, Assumptions, Risks and Exclusions are included within Annex D to this Tasking Form. The risks identified within the Proposal have been included within the budget costing.*** Sub-Contractors Personnel Particulars Research Worker Form and security clearances (if applicable)

**To be submitted as required following Contract Award.** |
| **PRICE BREAKDOWN** *You are to use the costs detailed in Item 2 Table I in the Schedule of Requirement and at Annex E Table 2 of the Serapis Framework Agreement. Please also provide a price breakdown which should include, but is not limited to: Lot Lead Rates, Sub-contractors costs and rates, travel and subsistence. In support of your Proposal you are requested to provide clear details of all Dependencies, Assumptions, Risks and Exclusions that underpin your price*. |
| **Offer of Contract:** *(to be completed and signed by the Contractor’s Commercial or Contract Manager)* |
| **Total Proposal Price in £**  | **£6,083,332.07****(Six Million Eighty-Three Thousand Three Hundred and Thirty-Two Pounds and Seven Pence Sterling)** | (ex VAT) |
| **Start Date:** | 27 May 2022 | **End Date:** |  | 31 Mar 2023 |
| **Lot Leads Representative** | Name | REDACTED |
| Tel | REDACTED |
| Email | REDACTED |
| Date | 27 May 2022 |
| **Position in Company** | Commercial Manager |
| **Signature** | SIGNED ON PDF VERSION |

**Core Work – Breakdown**

|  |
| --- |
| **Lot Lead Rates for Task Management Services (TMS)** *Please insert/delete rows as necessary* |
| **Team Member Name** | **Role** | **Activity Type** | **Rate (£)** | **Total Hours** | **LMS recovery per role per hour**(‘d’ element) | **Total LMS recovery due (£)**(‘d’ x total hours)  | Total TMS Cost (£) (Rate x total hours) |
|  |  | Choose an item. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Choose an item. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Choose an item. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Choose an item. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Choose an item. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Choose an item. |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Total**  |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Lot Lead Rates for Self-Delivery** (only complete if applicable – otherwise delete table)*Please insert/delete rows as necessary* |
| **Team Member Name** | **Role** | **Activity Type** | **Rate (£)** | **Total Hours** | **LMS recovery per role per hour**(‘d’ element) | **Total LMS recovery due (£)**(‘d’ x total hours)  | Total Self Delivery Cost (£) (Rate x total hours) |
| REDACTED | Graduate | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 64,380.32 |
| REDACTED | Admin | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 46,683.62 |
| REDACTED | Admin | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 42,968.03 |
| REDACTED | Admin | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 78,375.26 |
| REDACTED | Assistant Project Manager | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 137,296.57 |
| REDACTED | Assistant Project Manager | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 68,661.00 |
| TBC | Assistant Project Manager | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 109,857.60 |
| TBC | Assistant Project Manager | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 109,857.60 |
| TBC | Assistant Project Manager | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 13,732.20 |
| REDACTED | Analyst | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 160,546.90 |
| TBC | Analyst | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 165,049.15 |
| REDACTED | Engineer | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 179,289.60 |
| REDACTED | Engineer | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 182,291.10 |
| TBC | Engineer | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 108,787.77 |
| REDACTED | Project Manager | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 241,296.24 |
| REDACTED | Senior Engineer | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 70,636.05 |
| REDACTED | Project Manager | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 167,738.82 |
| REDACTED | Principal Analyst | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 53,936.29 |
| TBC | Senior Engineer | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 113,802.53 |
| TBC | Senior Engineer | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 23,545.35 |
| REDACTED | SeniorEngineer | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 156,969.00 |
| REDACTED | Principal Engineer | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 134,557.32 |
| REDACTED | Senior Principal Engineer | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 128,642.81 |
| REDACTED | Capability Lead | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 158,798.48 |
| REDACTED | Senior Principal Engineer | DS | REDACTED | REDACTED |  |  | 38,496.60 |
| Special Indirect Costs | Various | DS | - | - |  |  | 81,635.85 |
| **Total**  |  | **20,563.25** |  |  | **2,837,832.02** |

In the absence of agreed rates past 31 July 2022, Roke has applied the current rates. Any work performed after 31 July 2022 in relation to this Task will be subject to review and amendment to include any agreed uplift.

In order for Roke to support sub-contracting activities £81,635.85 has been set aside to manage contracting, assurance and wider business governance. This will be invoiced in arrears in line with associated costs incurred and applicable Roke rate card.

Roke reserves the right to amend its Proposal should the scope of work or any assumptions, exclusions or dependencies change during pre or post contract discussions, or where Customer dependencies are not met.

|  |
| --- |
| **Work Delivered by Sub-Contractor(s)**We recognise that suppliers may fit into multiple categories, please choose the drop down that categorises the supplier by the definition that is lowest on the list (i.e. a Defence Supplier Academic would be treated as an Academic.*Please insert/delete rows as necessary* |
| **Name of Sub-Contractor** | **Supplier Type**  | **Activity Description** | **Rate (£)** | **Total Hours** | **Total Cost (£)** **(Indicative %)** |
| ATOS | Defence Supplier | Deliver and integrate Hydra MS |  |  | 17% |
| SNC | Defence Supplier | Deliver and integrate Trax software. Build Zodiac edge node. |  |  | 24% |
| Systematic | Defence Supplier | Deliver and integrate Sitaware software. |  |  | 5% |
| Nexor | SME | Security accreditation. |  |  | 8% |
| QinetiQ | Defence Supplier | Provide SME support. |  |  | 25% |
| General Dynamics | Defence Supplier | Provide Bowman support. Undertake scaling modelling.  |  |  | 7% |
| Palantir | Defence Supplier | Provide SME advice. Potential integration with Hydra MS. |  |  | 4% |
| CGI | Defence Supplier | Assistance with FC-BISA integration. |  |  | 1% |
| Integration Office Capacity | Contractor | Land ISTAR Chief Architect |  |  | 8% |
| Mastek | SME | Customer friend.  |  |  | 1% |
| **Total** | REDACTED | **100%** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Travel, Subsistence, Materials & Equipment***Please insert/delete rows as necessary* |
| **Supplier Name** | **Spend Type** | **Description / Rationale** | **Unit Cost (£)** | **Qty** | **Total Cost (£)** |
| Material | Other | Ad hoc | REDACTED | 1 | 350,000.00 |
| Travel and Subsistence | Other | Ad hoc | REDACTED | 1 | 285,000.00 |
| **Total** | **635,000.00** |

**Core Work – Milestone breakdown costs**

**Proposed Milestones Payments**

*Your TMS bid costs shall be included in milestone 1.*

*The final Milestone must reflect the actual cost of the deliverable, and be greater than 20% of the Task value, unless otherwise agreed with your Commercial POC*

*Please duplicate the template per milestone table format below as necessary, and rename milestone number accordingly.*

|  |
| --- |
| Following the approach taken in the original Alpha phase, Roke’s Proposal is based on payment in arrears of monthly milestones based on actual effort expended and costs incurred during the previous month.At each monthly meeting, Roke and the Authority shall agree the milestone payment based on the previous months effort and the Authority shall upload an Order to CP&F such that Roke can submit its corresponding invoice. Payment terms of 30 (thirty) days apply from submission of invoice.Roke respectfully requests that the uploading of the Authority’s Order shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed. |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Milestone 01** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Description** | **TMS cost (£)** | **Self-Delivery cost (£)** | **Sub-contractor cost (£)** | **Total milestone cost (£)** | **Milestone due date**  | **DEFCON** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Travel/Subsistence |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Materials/Equipment  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 703 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Milestone LMS recovery (£)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Options – Summary – Customer Scope Change**

**© Crown copyright 2014 Dstl**

|  |
| --- |
| **Options Breakdown***Full breakdowns will be requested upon invoking through the Serapis Contract Amendment Form. (If you do not currently know the full options breakdown, please include what you do know and rough order of magnitude costs.)****Only complete if applicable – otherwise delete table.*** |
| **Ref No.** | **Description**  | **TMS cost (£)**  | **Self-Delivery cost (£)** | **Sub-contractor cost (£)** | **T&S, Material & Equip Cost (£)** | **Pricing** | **Start date** | **End date** |
| 1 |       |       |       |       |       | Choose an item. |       |       |
| 2 |       |       |       |       |       | Choose an item. |       |       |
| 3 |       |       |       |       |       | Choose an item. |       |       |
| 4 |       |       |       |       |       | Choose an item. |       |       |
| 5 |       |       |       |       |       | Choose an item. |       |       |
| *Please Note: Task Option authorisation is to be issued by the Authority’s Commercial Officer through a completed Contract Amendment Form and approved purchase order. No work is to be carried out prior to both of these being issued.* |

SOR 1.3.6: Costed Option asked us to augment the MUAS-focussed PC’22 demonstration to add EWSI and TUAS sensor types. The EWSI element is included within the Limit of Liability shown above. Roke is working closely with its supply chain and will submit a Costed Option for TUAS integration as part of SOR 1.2.8 as soon as possible.

**Tasking Form Part 3:**

*To be completed by the Authority’s Commercial Officer and copied to the Authority’s Project Manager.*

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Acceptance of Contract:**
 |
| **Authority’s Commercial Officer** | Name | REDACTED |
| Tel | REDACTED |
| Email | REDACTED |
| Date | 07 June 2022 |
| **Requisition Number** | 61392466 |
| **Contractor’s Proposal Number** | 25951 |
| **Purchase Order Number** | TBC |
| **Signature** | REDACTED |
| *Please Note: Task authorisation to be issued by the Authority’s Commercial Officer or Contract Manager. Any work carried out prior to authorisation is at the Contractor’s own risk.* |