## Q&A1 for RSSB1930 - ETCS Reference Design Hazard Assessment Support

Please find below the questions and answers received from suppliers relating to the Invitation to Tender (ITT) documents for RSSB1930.

Please confirm receipt of this email and confirm whether you will be submitting a bid for the work.

Best Regards,

Hazel Fernandes Procurement and Contracts Manager RSSB

Reference	Question	Answer
Q1.1	We note on page 2 of the ITT that	You may include prices, separately
	tenderers are not required to bid for all	identifiable, for as many individual
	4 work packages in order for their bid to	packages as you like, and state that you
	be considered. If we want to bid for 1	only want one.
	work package but don't mind which one,	
	can we do this or do we have to specify	
	which work package we want or	
	alternatively price for them all but state	
	that we only want one?	
Q1.2	The Specification, Section 10.2 specifies	The 4 topic facilities documents – R, Y,
	twelve Reference Designs. Only eight	Z2 and AA are now updated on
	are available in the Facilities zip file on	Contracts Finder. Please be advised that
	the ITT web page. Please supply the	we have also uploaded a new version of
	missing four Facility Reference Designs –	topic facility JJ on Contracts Finder
	R, Y, Z2, AA (documents)	
Q1.3	Should the PQQ document be submitted	Yes, the PQQ document should be
	as part of the supplier's response?	submitted as the part of your response
Q1.4	The "Criteria" column in the last row of	The Briefing note activity should be
	the Evaluation and Selection Criteria	shown as Estimated Effort X day rate
	table in Section C of the ITT includes	
	"Fixed price response for briefing	
	note". Conversely, the "EXAMPLE" Task	
	1 row of the Detailed Cost Breakdown	
	(pricing spreadsheet) contains "Briefing	
	Note: Estimated Effort x day	
	rate". Please resolve this apparent	
	contradiction and confirm how you	
	would like the "Briefing Note" activity to	
	be priced.	

Q1.5	The Pricing Spreadsheet implies that one "Report" is required per HAZID workshop. The Specification, Section 5.1.1 includes, "A final Hazard Analysis report for each topic area". Please confirm precisely how many and what reports are expected/required, e.g., whether one Hazard Analysis report is required for each workshop or for each topic area (Facility).	A single hazard log and report for a joint HAZID workshop is acceptable from the hazard management viewpoint, provided  1. The conclusions for the respective facilities are clear.  2. The hazards may be assigned to one of the Facility Author as the hazard owner.  3. The hazard record is clear which is the
		Facility under consideration.
Q1.6	Please confirm the locations for the HAZID workshops. E.g., will they all be held in London?	They will all be held in London, normally at the RSSB offices, or another London meeting space
Q1.7	The Specification, Section 4.5.10 states "Progress meetings between RSSB, EDT and the Suppliers will be held; it is envisaged that these will be held monthly" (i.e., 3-4 meetings according to the schedule in Section 6.1.2). However, the pricing spreadsheet makes no allowance for these progress meetings. Given that a successful supplier will be assigned between one and three Work Packages but does not know which ones in advance, please advise how best to include provision for the progress meetings in the pricing spreadsheet. Please also indicate whether RSSB expects any form of "Progress Report" as a precursor to the Progress Meetings and, if so, what the format and content of those "Progress Reports" should be.	An estimated 2d x 1 person could be shown in the cost breakdown for progress meetings against the team member's effort responsible for attendance. No formal progress reports are required as input to the meetings.