

- 1) Please confirm size of the three wrap around sections of new concrete. The two quoted sizes for the northerly and easterly sections do not seem to correlate with the diagram - there needs to be an additional 0.8x2.4x0.1 to fill the northerly section. Please confirm that the slab "c" is the entire triangular section to the south. [The diagram is correct. Slab c includes the 2nd triangular section to the south-east of the existing slab, effectively 2.4 m long and 1.5 m wide at west, 0.7 m wide at east, which accounts for the missing piece noted in the question.](#)
- 2) Please confirm depth of concrete is 0.1m. In my experience, this is insufficient for traffic as outlined in the description. [The shed will receive only occasional usage by vehicles, so this thickness of 0.1 m should suffice.](#)
- 3) Please confirm that the entirety of the pad is to be level or is it envisaged that slab "c" will be profiled to aid run-off into channel drain. [Yes, both elements of slab c should be profiled slightly to aid runoff to the drainage system installed.](#)
- 4) Please confirm that the concrete is to have mesh present as well as dowel bars - if so what spec? – [Yes, as noted in the specification diagram, reinforcing is required. A252 mesh should be used.](#)
- 5) Please confirm the proposed diameter of the B125 pipe – [The pipe should be 150 mm diameter.](#)
- 6) Please confirm how the new drainage links to existing drain interceptor - there is no mention of this in the text but it is shown as being linked in the diagram – [The method for connection will need identifying in the field. It is likely to be by drilling a suitable size hole in the existing drainage interceptor to make the connection.](#)
- 7) Please confirm what services are present – [Discussion with Council staff operating the waste site suggests no services are expected to be present, but this should be checked with a cable detection device.](#)
- 8) Please confirm whether the spoil from excavations is to be removed to an appropriate site. If so is it inert and non-haz? – [The site thought not to be contaminated, so the spoil will be inert and non-hazardous. The site adjoins the knotweed control area for the Stoneyard. A member of Council staff who is qualified to identify knotweed will inspect the area to be excavated before excavation and advise whether any knotweed is present and, if present, how it should be managed during the excavation. The spoil shall be removed by the contractor to a place within the waste site nominated by the Waste Site Manager for re-use within the site.](#)
- 9) Please confirm how far from the edge of new concrete the drainage channel is to sit? – [The centre of the drainage channel should be 400 mm from the edge of the new concrete.](#)
- 10) [Concrete specification: C30](#)
- 11) [Drainage direction for slab run-off to be towards the interceptor with an over flow into the leat](#)
- 12) [Concrete finish as existing slab and trowelled off at edge](#)
- 13) [Inspection port to be adjusted to be flush with slab if possible, otherwise slab to be raised up around the inspection port to avoid trip hazard](#)
- 14) [Reinforcing mesh to be bent to fit below dowels, adjacent to existing slab margin.](#)
- 15) [Alternative methods to dowelling to be investigated including dove-tails into existing slab](#)
- 16) [Aggregate to be sourced locally a best available price, or re-use of existing Council stocks.](#)

17) Water for concrete mixing is available on site