

Highways England Company Limited

Area 3

Maintenance and Response Contract

Scope

Annex 28

Minor Renewal and Improvement Works

CONTENTS AMENDMENT SHEET

Amend No.	Revision No.	Amendments	Initials	Date
0	0	Contract Issue	SOS	May 2021

LIST OF CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION2

1.1 Background..... 2

1.2 Purpose 2

2 RENEWAL AND IMPROVEMENT WORKS.2

2.1 Decision Criteria 2

3 THE PROCESS.....3

3.1 The Decision Tree..... 3

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

- 1.1.1 Asset Delivery is a relatively new approach for the *Client* with an emphasis on greater community collaboration, data and information sharing, improved asset intelligence, promoting a 'Community spirit' and supporting each other in the delivery of maintenance and improvement schemes.
- 1.1.2 In support of promoting a collaborative relationship there needs to be a degree of "flexibility" in aspects of the works and services. For example, some Scheme Delivery Framework (SDF) contractors are rapid response enabled in order to support during or following incidents. Similarly, it is logical to provide the Maintenance and Response (M&R) *Contractor* with the flexibility to support in the delivery of some renewal and improvement work.

1.1 Purpose

- 1.1.4 The primary function and responsibility of the M&R *Contractor* is to Provide the Service comprising:

Maintenance

- Cyclic Maintenance,
- Repair Maintenance

Response

- Service Weather,
- Incident Response.

- 1.1.5 The primary function and responsibility of the Scheme Delivery Framework (SDF) contractors is to undertake services comprising renewals and improvements to the network.
- 1.1.6 However, there are some occasions when small or minor works and services would be a more effectively and efficiently delivered by the *Contractor* and not by the SDF contractors.

2 Renewal and Improvement Works

2.1 Decision Criteria

- 2.1.1 If any identified work that possibly could be awarded to the *Contractor*, has not been previously awarded or committed to any SDF contractors or others, then circumstances or criteria for considering whether to instruct the *Contractor* to undertake the works includes, but is not limited to:
- minor works of a size not efficiently procured through the SDF,
 - urgent work due to health, safety, environmental or social reasons,
 - value for money e.g. the *Contractor* has an existing local presence in the Area, with no or little need for mobilisation,
 - the *Contractor* has a multi-skilled workforce in readiness,
 - the *Contractor* has familiarity with the asset being renewed/improved and already has good asset intelligence,

- the SDF contractors may not have the capability or capacity to undertake the works.

3 THE PROCESS

3.1 The Decision Tree

- 3.1.1 If the works or services have not been previously awarded or committed to the SDF or other contractors, then the *Client* will make the decision whether to instruct the *Contractor* to undertake any minor renewal or improvement works that meets the criteria defined in 2.1. The process is defined in the decision tree shown in **Figure 1**.

Figure 1 – The *Client*'s Decision Tree

