Serapis Tasking Form

Tasking Form Part 1: (to be completed by the Authority's Project Manager)

То:	Lot 5 Newman & Spurr Consultancy Ltd	From: The Author	ity			
Any Task placed as a result of your quotation will be subject to the Terms and Conditions of Framework Agreement Number:						
LOT 5 DSTL/AGR/SERAPIS/SSE/01						
VERSION CONTROL						
V0.3 Draft						
REQUIREMENT						
Proposal Required by:	27/8/2021	Task ID Number:	SSE29			
The Authority Project Manager:	Redacted under FOIA Section 40 – Personal information	The Authority Technical Point of Contact:	Redacted under FOIA Section 40 – Personal information			
Task Title:	Simulation Enterprise Analy	sis				
Required Start Date:	1/9/2021	Required End Date:	31/3/2022			
Requisition No:	TBD	Budget Range	£100-120k			
TASK DESCRIPTION AND SPE	CIFICATION					
Serapis Framework Lot □ Lot 1: Collect □ Lot 2: Space systems □ Lot 3: Decide □ Lot 4: Assured information infrastructure □ Lot 5: Synthetic environment and simulation □ Lot 6: Understand						
Support to Simulation Enterprise Analysis Study						
Overview						
This task will support the Dstl-led	study on analysis of current re	quirements for modell	ing and simulation across			

This task will support the Dstl-led study on analysis of current requirements for modelling and simulation across the UK Defence's enterprise. They will form part of a joint team to conduct the study, but please note some data and information may be of commercial sensitive nature and accessed by Dstl staff only.

This study will draw on the range of simulation customers and providers including, but not limited to, Training, Operational Decision Support, Force Development, Test & Evaluation, threat modelling.

With a developed understanding of the requirements across Defence this study will evaluate current and planned capabilities. The existing capability plans and audits should inform this, as should engagement with other Modelling and Simulation customers and providers across Defence.

Concept of Analysis (CoA) Tasks

Task 1: Support to Data Capture

Capture data of modelling and simulation use across Defence enterprise, through supplier knowledge base, customer knowledge base and through stakeholder and supplier engagement (e.g. questionnaire, interviews). This should include:

- The customer segments for M&S across Defence:
 - Training (exercise, education) priority Air and Land operational training for interim output
 - Operations (operational planning, mission rehearsal, decision support) priority Operational Decision Support for interim output
 - Force Development (defence planning, wargaming, operational research, threat modelling)
 - Capability Development (concept development, experimentation, acquisition support, test and evaluation)
 - Emerging or maturing domains (Cyber, Space, Autonomy, Electronic Warfare)
- Identify key leading stakeholders or deliver agents, including roles and any existing association or dependencies.
- Obtain current requirements from each of those segments
- Obtain specification, use and life-cycle information of current capabilities
- Obtain requirements specification and acquisition information of planned capability development
- Identify enabling, alternative or emerging approaches to enterprise delivery of M&S capabilities.
- Emerging technologies or disruptive capabilities (e.g. XR, Digital Twins, Cloud-based Ecosystems)

Task 2: Assessment

Support Dstl in production of a requirements matrix, and populated with data obtained from Task 1 this should include.

- M&S Taxonomy and architecture framework
- Requirements matrix analysis segments v capabilities; segments v requirements
- Evaluate of common and non-common M&S requirements and overlapping developed/planned capabilities
- Assess M&S component within current capability or requirements for overlap/duplication, shortfalls/affordability, usability, security, obsolescence/sustainability, re-use/interoperability, robustness
- Evaluate any requirements that are not being met by current or planned M&S capabilities and their likely impact or dependency on customer segment outputs
- Assess the enterprise delivery approaches in terms of effectiveness and efficiency
- Assess dependency with or its inclusion in M&S capability coherence or development plans

Task 3: Conclusion / Recommendations

Conclusions to include:

- Commonality between requirements and developed/planned capabilities
- Areas of overlap where multiple capabilities are delivering similar technical requirements for different customers
- This could be an output requirement e.g. tier 2 training, or a technical input requirement, e.g. similar requirement for GEO data
- Key areas where requirements are not being met by current or planned M&S capabilities

Recommendations to include:

- Recommendations for a more effective delivery of an enterprise approach to Modelling and Simulation, taking into account the existing DMaSC model
- Recommendations for the development of the Defence Synthetic Enablers project (requirements, design, common enablers, end user pull), and identification of how they can be designed to enable use by key capability development.
- Informing JSP939 for specific segments

Supplier Team Requirements

The supplier team should have knowledge and experience of MOD Modelling and Simulation capabilities and systems and also experience working with MOD stakeholders. The task will be undertaken as part of a collobartive team with Dstl. The supplier response should include how commercially sensitive information from MOD suppliers will be controlled either through a sufficient Non Disclosure Agreement or other means.

Interim Output

Contribution to Dstl-led interim emerging findings presentation and Dstl-led interim report. This will also test the CoA and address any need to refine the CoA.

- Interim findings presentation (September 2021)
- Interim Final Report (September 2021)

Final Output

Contribution to Dstl-led1 presentation and study final report.

- Final findings presentation (February 2022)
- Study Final Report (March 2022)

Procurement Strategy							
⊠ Lot Lead to recomme	end ☐ Single Sourc	ce / Direct Award					
Pricing:							
☐ Firm Pricing		☐ Other*					
Firm Pricing shall be in accordance with DEFCON 127 and DEFCON 643							
Ascertained Costs shall be in accordance with DEFCON 653 or DEFCON 802.							
*only at Authority's discretion							
Task IP Conditions							

	Summary of the Authority's rights in foreground IP (IP generated by the supplier in performance of the contract)
DEFCON 703 ⊠	Vests ownership with the Authority
DEFCON 705 Full Rights □	Enables MOD to share in confidence as GFI or IRC under certain types of agreements. Can be shared in confidence within UK Government.
OTHER IP DEFCONS: 14* □, 15* □, 16* □, 90* □, 91* □, 126* □	Generally only suitable for deliverables at TRL 6 and above.
BESPOKE IP Clause □ *	Details to be added and agreed by IP Group

^{*} Do not use without IPG advice and approval

Please state in this text box if MOD or the customer has a requirement a) that one or more Other Government Departments is able to share confidentially with their own suppliers, b) to publish but you do not think there is a requirement to own or control the deliverable, or c) to share under a procurement* Memorandum of Understanding (MOU).

If any of these three issues applies, please contact IPG for advice before completing this form. *Listing research MOUs is not required, but can be a helpful courtesy to the supplier.

DELIVERABLES

D (T:0	.	.	TD:	F		IDD
Ref	<u>Title</u>	Due by	<u>Format</u>	TRL	Expected classification (subject to change)	Information required in deliverable	<u>IPR</u> <u>DEFCON</u>
D-1	Interim Output Contribution to Dstl- led interim emerging findings presentation and Dstl-led interim report. This will also test the CoA and address any need to refine the CoA. Interim findings presentation (September 2021) Interim Final Report (September 2021)	Sep 2021	Presentation (.pptx) and Interim Report (MS word)		Redacted under FOIA Section 2	Contribution to Interim Presentation pack and Interim Report to include but not limited to: - data captured for priority areas - assessment methodogy, application and any limitations - interim assessment and evaluation -interim conclusions and recommendations - any refinement of CoA	703
D-2	Final Output Contribution to Dstl- led presentation and study final report. • Final findings presentation (February 2022) • Study Final Report (March 2022)	February 2022 March 2022	Presentation (MS Powerpoint .pptx) Report (MS Word)		Redacted under FOIA Section	Contribution to Final Presentation pack and Final Report to include but not limited to: - data captured across enterprise - assessment methodogy and application - assessment and evaluation - simulation enterprise architecture -conclusions and recommendations	703

DELIVERABLE: ACCEPTANCE / REJECTION CRITERIA

Unless otherwise stated below, Standard Deliverable Acceptance / Rejection applies. This is 30 business days, in accordance with DEFCON 524 Rejection, and DEFCON 525 Acceptance.

Standard	Deliverable Accep	tance / Reject	tion:-						
Yes ⊠ (DE	EFCON 524 Rejecti	on, and DEFC	ON 525 Acc	eptance)					
No □ (if n	o, please state det	ails of applicab	le criteria be	elow)					
Dalimanalal	. Acceptones /D	alaatlan Onkan							
	e Acceptance / Re any other specific	-		ia you would l	like to app	ly to any of the	e deliverabl	es, ple	ase
state them	here.								
Governme	ent Furnished Ass	ets (GFA)							
	EQUIPMENT/RESthis text box)	SOURCES/INF	ORMATION	/FACILITIES	(if not a	applicable, de	elete table	and in	ser
	, 	Classification	Time	A. eddala	laguad	Datum or	A]
Unique Identifier/ Serial No	<u>Description</u>	Classification	<u>Type</u>	Available Date	<u>lssued</u> <u>by</u>	Return or Disposal Date	Any restrictions	<u>s?</u>	
Serial no	Description	Official- Sensitive	Equipment	00/00/0000	Issuer	00/00/0000	Include of here	details	
QUALITY	STANDARDS								
⊠ ISO900	01 (Quality Mana	gement Syster	ms)						
□ ISO140	01 (Environment	Management S	Systems)						
□ ICO400	•	_	,	-ft life a.	! - \				
□ ISO122	(Systems and	software engir	neering — so	ortware life cy	/cie)				
☐ TickITP	Plus (Integrated a	pproach to soft	ware and IT	development	t)				
□ Other:	(Please spec	ify in free text t	pelow)						
SECURITY	CLASSIFICATION	N OF THE WO	DRK						
_	est classification			Security					
_	est expected cla I under FOIA Se				t by the	contractor			

The highest expected classification of Deliverables/Output Redacted under FOIA Section 23 - National Security

Is a Security Aspects Letter (SAL) required? (A Security Aspects Letter (SAL) will be required for each Task above Official-Sensitive and above)

Redacted under FOIA Section 23 - National Security

TASK CYBER RISK ASSESSMENT. (In accordance with DEF STAN 05-138 and the Risk Assessment Workflow) Cyber Risk Level Redacted under FOIA Section 26 – Defence Risk Assessment Reference Redacted under FOIA Section 26 – Defence ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS APPLICABLE TO THIS CONTRACT

Please ensure all completed forms are copied to Redacted under FOIA Section 40 - Personal information when sending to the Lot Lead.

Tasking Form Part 2: (To be completed by the Lot Lead)

То:	The Authority		From:	The Lot Lead
Propo	sal Reference	NSC-820-1500 Technical Proposa	SSE29 I V1.0	_ (attached)

Delivery of the requirement:

The proposal shall include, but not be limited to:

- A full technical proposal that meets the individual activities that are detailed in Statement of Requirements (Part 1 to Tasking Form).
- Breakdown of individual Deliverables, with corresponding Intellectual Property rights applied.
- Breakdown of Interim Milestone Payments, with corresponding due dates.
- A work breakdown structure/project plan with key dates and deliverables identified.
- A list of required Government Furnished Assets from the Authority, including required delivery dates.
- A clear identification of Dependencies, Assumptions, Risks and Exclusions which underpin your Technical Proposal.
- Sub-Contractors Personnel Particulars Research Worker Form and security clearances (if applicable)

PRICE BREAKDOWN

You are to use the costs detailed in Item 2 Table I in the Schedule of Requirement and at Annex E Table 2 of the Serapis Framework Agreement. Please also provide a price breakdown which should include, but is not limited to: Lot Lead Rates, Sub-contractors costs and rates, travel and subsistence. In support of your Proposal you are requested to provide clear details of all Dependencies, Assumptions, Risks and Exclusions that underpin your price.

Offer of Contract: (to be completed and signed by the Contractor's Commercial or Contract Manager)

	1					
Total Proposal Price in £	119,43	4.73 (Ascertained	(ex VAT)			
Start Date:	01 Nov	ember 2021	End Date:	31 March 2022		
Lot Leads Representative	Name	Redacted unde	er FOIA Section 40	IA Section 40 – Personal information		
	Tel	Redacted unde	er FOIA Section 40 – Personal information			
	Email	Redacted unde	er FOIA Section 40	 Personal information 		
	Date 22/10/2021					
Position in Company	Redacted under FOIA Section 40 – Personal information					
Signature						

Core Work - Breakdown

Redacted under FOIA Section 43 – Commercial Interest

Core Work - Milestone breakdown costs

Redacted under FOIA Section 43 – Commercial Interest

Tasking Form Part 3:

To be completed by the Authority's Commercial Officer and copied to the Authority's Project Manager.

1. Acceptance of Contract:		
Authority's Commercial Officer Name		Redacted under FOIA Section 40 – Personal information
	Tel	Redacted under FOIA Section 40 – Personal information
	Email	Redacted under FOIA Section 40 – Personal information
	Date	12/11/2021
Requisition Number		
Contractor's Proposal Number		NSC-820-1500 V1.0
Purchase Order Number		DSTLX-
Signature	•	

Please Note: Task authorisation to be issued by the Authority's Commercial Officer or Contract Manager. Any work carried out prior to authorisation is at the Contractor's own risk.